



THE PATTERN OF ENGAGEMENT TO CARE AFTER FIRST EMERGENCY PSYCHIATRY VISIT AT AMANUEL SPECIALIZED MENTAL HOSPITAL, ADDIS ABABA, ETHIOPIA

A Partial Fulfillment of the Post Graduate Program in Psychiatry

Principal Investigator-Abayneh Girma (MD)

Psychiatry Resident, Department of Psychiatry, School of Medicine,
College of Health Science, Addis Ababa University, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia

Advisors

Atalay Alem (MD, PHD)

Professor of Psychiatry, Department of Psychiatry, School of Medicine,
College of Health Science, Addis Ababa University, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia

Ribka Birhanu(MD)

Psychiatrist, Department of Psychiatry, School of Medicine,
College of Health Science, Addis Ababa University, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia

**ADDIS ABABA UNIVERSITY COLLEGE OF HEALTH
SCIENCES SCHOOL OF MEDICINE ,DEPARTMENT OF
PSYCHIATRY**

**THE PATTERN OF ENGAGEMENT TO CARE AFTER FIRST
EMERGENCY PSYCHIATRY VISIT AT AMANUEL
SPECIALIZED MENTAL HOSPITAL, ADDIS
ABABA,ETHIOPIA**

*A Thesis Submitted to the Department of Psychiatry, School of Medicine, College of
Health Science, Addis Ababa University in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the
Specialty Certificate in Psychiatry*

By

Abayneh Girma, MD

Psychiatry Resident, Department of Psychiatry, School of Medicine
College of Health Science, Addis Ababa University, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia

Acknowledgment

I would like to express my heartfelt gratitude to my principal advisor Proff. Atalay Alem and co advisors Dr Ribka Birhanu for their contributions to the conduct of this research, their intellectual guidance, support and leadership.

I would like to thank the department of psychiatry, Addis Ababa University and Amanuel Specialized Mental Hospital for giving me the opportunity to conduct this research.

The staffs and nurses of Amanuel Specialized Mental Hospital deserve my unreserved thanks and appreciation for their remarkable cooperation, which greatly facilitated my work.

Finally I would like to thank my beloved wife for helping me prepare this thesis and in many other regards.

List of Abbreviations

APA – American Psychiatrists Association

ASMH – Amanuel Specialized Mental Hospital

RCP – Royal College of Psychiatrists

CJP - Canadian Journal of Psychiatry

FEP-First Episode psychosis

WHO-World Health Organization

OPD –Out Patient Department

PI -primary investigator

RVI –Retro-viral infection

List of Tables

Table1: Baseline Socio-demographic characteristics of study participants.....	18
Table 2: Season, Diagnosis and intervention at first visit	19
Table3: Reasons for emergency visit.....	20
Table 4: Clinicians who attended the participants at first emergency visit.....	21
Table 5: Engagement and disengagement after first emergency visit.....	22
Table 6: socio-demographic determinants of disengagement.....	23
Table 7: other determinants of disengagement.....	24

Executive summary

Background: - Aim of this study was to give an overview of how patients were engaging to the service at the Hospital after first emergency visit to identify the gaps in the service and factors associated with disengagement to care. Psychiatric service in Ethiopia has been provided by Amanuel Hospital for the entire country for many years and the service was not yet decentralized well. But there has not been systematic study conducted to see how patients were engaging to care after visit. We believe the findings will help to plan to improve the service and it will also raise questions for further research.

Objective: - To describe the pattern of engagement to care after first emergency psychiatry visit at Amanuel Specialized Mental Hospital.

Methods: - A retrospective study was conducted involving those who visited emergency outpatient department unit at ASMH from December /2015 to November /2016. Data extraction sheet were used to collect information for the study. All cases who visited emergency OPD of ASMH for the first time during the study period were included for stratified simple random sampling and 385 participants were included in the study. The data sheets were coded and data entry, cleaning and analysis was done using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 20. bivariate and Multivariate logistic regression was done to see socio-demographic and other determinants of disengagement to care

Results: - Three hundred and eighty five cases were included in the study. Of these, 57.4% were males. The mean age of the participants was 31 years. In terms of region, majority of the participants (near to 71%) come from either from Oromia (47%) or Addis Ababa (23.6%). In majority of the participants (83.1%) the main reason for emergency visit was either aggression or other behavioral disturbance and near to 76% got diagnosis of non-affective psychotic spectrum disorder. Near to 81% of the participants have disengaged from care and from those who were disengaged 44.5% had no re-visit to the hospital. Among participants 90.3% of female and 77.4% of male who were included in the study disengaged from care. Majority of the participants (75.6%) were seen by Master or BSC psychiatry prescriber's and only 9.9% of the participants were seen by either psychiatrist or psychiatry residents at first visit. Near to 95% of those participants seen by non-psychiatry professionals were disengaged from care.

Conclusion: - disengagement from care has deleterious effect on over all prognoses of patients and mental health care system in general. Proper emphasis should be given for psychosocial interventions specially giving due emphasis on psycho-education about illness, lifestyle management, family intervention and support, relapse prevention strategies.

Recommendation: - Giving proper psycho-education and patient centered care should be the rule than the exception. Improving the referral system, working in co-ordination and collaboration with other health institutions and religious leaders might help to improve patient care and engagement.

Table Of Contents	
Acknowledgment.....	3
List of Abbreviations.....	4
List of Tables.....	5
Abstract.....	6
Table of Contents.....	7
CHAPTER ONE.....	9
1.1. Introduction	9
1.2. Statement of the problem	10
1.3 - Research objectives.....	11
1.3.1-General objective.....	11
1.3.2-Specific objectives.....	11
1.4 Definition of terms (Operational definition).....	11
CHAPTER TWO.....	12
2.1. Literature r.....view	12
CHAPTER THREE.....	15
3.1. Methods	15
Study design.....	15
Study period.....	15
Study Setting.....	15
3.2. Study population	15
Inclusion criteria.....	15
Exclusion criteria.....	15
3.3. Sample size	16
3.4. Variables	16
3.4.1Independent variables:-.....	
3.4.2. Dependent variables:-	16
3.5. Data collection and procedure	16

3.6 Ethical considerations	17
3.7-Data processing and analysis	17
CHAPTER FOUR	18
4.1. Results	18
4.1.1. Characteristics of the participants	
4.1.2. Reason for emergency visit	18
4.1.3. Diagnosis and intervention at fist visit	20
4.1.4. Clinicians attended the patients at first visit	21
4.1.5. patters of engagement and disengagement after first emergency visit	22
4.2. Determinats of disengagement	23
4.2.1. Socio-demegraphic determinats of disengagement	23
4.2.2. other determinats of disengagement	24
4.3. reason for disengagement	25
CHAPTER FIVE	26
5.1. Discussions	26
5.2. Strength	26
5.3. Limitations	26
5.4. Conclusion	27
5.5. Recommendations Error! Bookmark not defined.	27
References	28
Appendix	31
Study questioner	31

CHAPTER ONE

1.1. Introduction

Engagement has been defined as a complex phenomenon that encompasses factors that include acceptance of a need for help, the formation of a therapeutic alliance with professionals, satisfaction with the help already received and a mutual acceptance of and working toward shared goals.(O'Brien A, Fahmy R, Singh SP;2009)

We know that schizophrenia and other serious mental illnesses generally require ongoing maintenance treatments over the long term to facilitate recovery. As evidenced by treatment recommendations and other clinical guidelines for severe mental illness, there are a number of psychopharmacologic and psychosocial treatments for which there is consistent scientific evidence showing that they improve outcomes. Although the lack of widespread availability of these evidence-based treatments is a significant problem, especially in low income countries like Ethiopia , another challenge that can seriously undermine the effectiveness of evidence-based care for schizophrenia and other severe mental disorders is consumers' disengagement from mental health treatment.(Kreyenbuhl J, Nossel IR, Dixon LB ,2009)

This could lead to devastating consequences including exacerbation of psychiatric symptoms, repeated hospitalizations, first episode or recurrent homelessness, violence against others, and suicide.(Dixon L, Goldberg R, Iannone V,2009)

Individuals living with serious mental illness are often difficult to engage in ongoing treatment, with high dropout rates which may lead to worse clinical outcomes, with symptom relapse and re-hospitalization.

(Lisa B. Dixon, Yael Holoshitz, Ilana Nossel,2016)

Currently, there are no universally accepted definitions for mental health service disengagement and engagement, although typically they are assessed using proxies, such as attendance, treatment drop out, therapeutic alliance, and treatment adherence. But engagement to care is a dynamic phenomenon that changes in relation to stages of treatment and patient needs, and, also, which takes into consideration developmental factors.

So, there are several dimensions related to engagement to care. These include:

1. Engagement in relation to the continuum of care:-patients may minimally engage or not engage at the onset of treatment, after a period of receiving treatment, and (or)during transfer of services
2. Engagement in relation to types of services received: - with case management services, medication interventions, or group therapies
3. Pattern of engagement:- disengaging from services and then re-engaging with services over a period of time
4. Engagement in relation to duration:- length of time participating in services before beginning to disengage
5. Patient and family perceptions of engagement:- patients may attend appointments and participate in treatment, but may feel disempowered by, or dissatisfied with, the services they receive, which can be a precursor to service disengagement

Among individuals with schizophrenia or other serious psychiatric disorders who have some contact with mental health services, a variety of manifestations of disengagement or drop out from treatment have been described. This has included evaluations of missed initial appointments, referral failures from emergency services, non-adherence with aftercare following psychiatric hospitalization, and various other conceptions of treatment dropout.

It has been said that engagement occurs in the context of an individual's unique personality, social and life circumstances, and symptom burden. . (ShaliniLal, PhD; Ashok Malla, MBBS, FRCPC,2015)

Among few suggested ways that increase engagement to care, one way of engaging patient to care is enhancing a client's experience of mental health treatment and hope for recovery. This can be facilitated by having good therapeutic alliance with the patient and client..(Lisa B. Dixon, Yael Holoshitz, Ilana Nossel,2016)

This is not practical if one patient is seen by multiple personnel within first few encounters to care which is the case in setups like 'Amanuel specialized mental hospital' with limited number of personnel and high number of patients.

Engagement strategies can be targeted to patients, families, service providers, and the system. Among patients and families, engagement strategies can be focused on early assessment of barriers to engagement and enhancing motivation for treatment (for example, perceived need for treatment, confidence in treatment, and perceived ability to participate in treatment).

At the system level, engagement strategies can target the accessibility of services, for example, in relation to transportation assistance, scheduling flexibility, location, and timing of service delivery. (Smith TE, Easter A, Pollock M,2013)

Service providers can be trained on how to assess barriers to engagement with patients and families and how to address these barriers using, for example, brief motivational interviewing techniques.(Miller WR, Rollnick S,2013).

Enhancing service providers' capacities in expressing caring, respectful, and nonjudgmental attitudes toward patients is also identified as a strategy in the qualitative literature to increase engagement

1.2. Statement of the problem

As we know although there is an evidence for effective treatments for various mental illness, availability of these evidence-based treatments is a significant problem, especially in low income countries like Ethiopia. Top on this another challenge that can seriously undermine the effectiveness of evidence-based care for severe mental disorders is consumers' disengagement from mental health treatment. Even in high income countries, the rate of disengagement was reported to be as high as 50%; which severely impacts the delivery of effective treatment in need of it. To our knowledge, there has not been any systematic scientific study that saw the pattern of engagement to care after emergency visit to this date in our study area. So aim of the study is to give an overview of how patients were engaging to the service at the Hospital after first emergency visit to identify the gaps in the service and factors associated with disengagement to care. We believe the findings will help to plan to improve the service and it will also raise questions for further research.

1.3 Research Objectives

1.3.1. General objective

To describe the pattern of engagement to care after first emergency psychiatry visit at Amanuel emergency OPD from December 2015 to November 2016 GC

1.3.2. Specific objectives

1. To describe the socio-demographic characteristics of patients presenting to emergency psychiatry clinic for the first time
2. To determine the diagnosis given at first emergency psychiatry visit
3. To assess the prevalence of side effects on subsequent emergency psychiatry re- visit
4. To describe pattern of inpatient admission and stay after first emergency psychiatry visit
5. To assess the pattern of hospital re-visit and duration after first emergency psychiatry visit
6. To assess the prevalence of disengagement from care after first emergency psychiatry visit
7. To describe factors associated with disengagement from care after first emergency psychiatry visit

1.4. Definition of terms (Operational definition)

1. Engagement to care: not dropping out from care more than four months at any visit still on follow-up.
2. Disengagement by one month: not showing up for follow-up within a month after first visit or discharge from the hospital and currently not on follow-up. (Operational definition1)
3. Disengagement by four: not showing up for at least four months after first visit or discharge from the hospital and currently not on follow-up (Operational definition2)

4. Disengagement by six: not showing up for at least six months after first visit or discharge from the hospital and currently not on follow-up.(Operational definition3)
5. Re-engagement: disengaged by the above time line definition but still on follow-up.

Chapter two

2.1. Literature review

Service disengagement is a clinical challenge that continues to afflict the mental health care system and disengagement rates in mental health services are higher than those associated with other medical services.(Mitchell AJ, Selmes T;2007)

It has been reported that up to 50% of patients receiving mental healthservices disengage from treatment with adolescents and young adults being particularly at high risk ,contributing to poor outcomes and escalating health care costs.(ShaliniLal, PhD; Ashok Malla, MBBS, FRCPC;2015)

It is estimated that 50% of patients suffering from chronic illness are not taking medication as prescribed after six months (World Health Organization, 2003).

Individuals with serious mental illness have high rates of treatment discontinuation. Between 30–45% fail to attend initial scheduled clinic visits or routinely discontinue treatment, and fewer than 50% receive continuous treatment for 12 months (Kessler RC,2001)

Epidemiological studies have shown that 33% to 55% of people who meet criteria for an axis I diagnosis (according to the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fourth Edition) have received no mental health care in the past year. Of those who do seek care or are hospitalized, an estimated 24% do not attend treatment sessions as scheduled, 20% drop out of treatment before it is completed, and 18% to 67% do not attend outpatient treatment after hospitalization (Victoria Stanhope, Janis Tondora, Larry Davidson, Mimi Choy-Brown and Steven C Marcus,2015)

Although rates vary across studies, reviews of the literature suggest that up to one-third of individuals with serious mental illnesses who have had some contact with the mental health service system disengage from care(Julie Kreyenbuhl, Ilana R. Nossel, and Lisa B. Dixon, 2009)

A vast body of research spanning the last several decades suggests that far fewer than half of all individuals with serious mental illnesses in the United States who require ongoing mental health treatment are adequately connected to care (Julie Kreyenbuhl, Ilana R. Nossel, and Lisa B. Dixon; 2009)

Among factors reported to have a negative effect on adherence are greater symptom severity (positive symptoms, especially delusions and paranoia), hostility, poorer cognitive functioning, lower parental social class, less education, medication side-effects, longer duration of untreated psychosis, substance abuse, alcohol consumption, and poor insight. (Edlund MJ, Wang PS, Berglund PA,2002; Lisa B. Dixon, Yael Holoshitz, Ilana Nossel,2016)

Different factors affect patient who was brought to emergency department to end up being admitted in hospital. Among this; Suicidality , drug treatment administered in the emergency department, restraining measures applied, committal ordered under state laws, the diagnosis of dementia, the number of consultations, female gender, referral to hospital by a physician, or the patient presenting at the emergency department unaccompanied are the main factors that favors the indication for inpatient admission. (Marc Ziegenbein, Christoph Anreis, Bernhard Brüggem, Martin Ohlmeier and Stefan Kropp,2006)

In a review of the literature by O'Brien et al on treatment disengagement, when asked why they had dropped out of treatment, individuals cited unsympathetic providers, not being listened to, not being able to actively participate in decision making, and being dissatisfied with services as reasons for disengagement. (O'Brien A, Fahmy R, Singh SP;2009)

Study done in Maryland's Medicaid program indicate that approximately half (577 of 1127, or 51%) of young adults did not have an outpatient mental health clinic encounter within 30 days after being discharged from an inpatient mental health stay. (Leslie Marino,2016) Those who did not attend follow-up care were more likely to be black, have a co-occurring substance use disorder diagnosis and not have had any contact with outpatient mental health providers in the public mental health system or received any psychotropic medications in the 6-month period immediately prior to their inpatient discharge(Leslie Marino,2016)

Using data from a community sample in the NCS,Kessler et al found that one-sixth (16.6%) of respondents who met diagnostic criteria for a serious mental illness had dropped out of treatment, defined as having sought treatment during the prior 12 months but not being in treatment at the time of the interview for a reason other than symptom improvement.(Kessler RC, Berglund PA, Bruce ML,2007)

Among several recent studies that have examined the phenomenon of lack of outpatient follow-up after hospital discharge, rates of failure to attend a first outpatient appointment have ranged from 18%–67%, with a median rate of 58% (Julie Kreyenbuhl^{1–3}, Ilana R. Nossel⁴, and Lisa B. Dixon ,2009)

Numerous variables may affect level of treatment engagement, including therapeutic alliance, issues of utility (people feel the treatment is not working), accessibility of care which is practical reasons (treatment may be difficult to get to, difficult to schedule), and a client's trust that the treatment will address his/her own unique goals (people feel mistrustful, or coerced).(Lisa B. Dixon, Yael Holoshitz, Ilana Nossel,2016)

A number of studies and reviews provide insight into the characteristics of individuals who fail to engage in mental health treatment and the reasons they give for not remaining in care.

In terms of socio-demographic characteristics, younger age, male gender, and ethnic minority background have been consistently associated with treatment disengagement.(Kessler RC, Berglund PA, Bruce ML,2001)

Low social functioning and social isolation, as evidenced by not being married or being out of contact with family, as well as low socioeconomic status, as indicated by low educational attainment and unemployment, have also been linked to dropout.(O'Brien A, Fahmy R, Singh SP,2008)

In terms of clinical characteristics, individuals with a co-occurring serious mental illness and a substance use disorder have very high rates of treatment disengagement, as do individuals with higher levels of psychopathology. (O'Brien A, Fahmy R, Singh SP ,2008)

Particular populations of people have historically been difficult to engage, such as young adults experiencing a first episode of psychosis, individuals with coexisting psychotic and substance use disorders, and those who are homeless.(Lisa B. Dixon, Yael Holoshitz, Ilana Nossel,2016)

Relative to other serious mental illness diagnoses, a diagnosis of schizophrenia has been found to be associated with lower rates of treatment disengagement, although it is unclear to what extent this might be related to the symptoms or course of the illness or to efforts of the treatment system to increase outreach and engagement efforts for patients with schizophrenia.(O'Brien A, Fahmy R, Singh SP,2008;Kreyenbuhl J, Nossel IR, Dixon LB,2009)

Research suggests that approximately one third of young adults experiencing a first psychotic episode delay treatment for 1-3 years. Further, 80% drop out within the first year of care. .(Lisa B. Dixon, Yael Holoshitz, Ilana Nossel,2016)This high attrition rate highlights the inherent difficulties in engaging young people in care. Multiple causes for early dropout from treatment or disengagement have been offered, including poor alliance, mistrust of the system, and poor insight into the need for treatment.

Other than those mentioned above several clinical and family factors also influence disengagement:-

- Among this for FEP include duration of untreated psychosis, symptom severity, insight, diagnoses of non-affective psychosis, forensic history, substance use, and the presence of family support. (ShaliniLal, PhD; Ashok Malla, MBBS, FRCPC 2015)

Within the broader mental health literature, ethnic minority background and social deprivation (for example, homelessness) are commonly reported socio-demographic factors associated with service disengagement.(ShaliniLal, PhD; Ashok Malla, MBBS, FRCPC,2015)

Chapter three

3.1. Methods

Study design: - This was a prospective cross sectional hospital based study

Study period: - Data were collected from September 16 to October 26, 2017

Study Setting

Ethiopia, located in the Horn of Africa, is the second most populated country in sub-Saharan Africa, with >100million inhabitants, of whom >80% live in rural areas. Addis Ababa is the capital city of Ethiopia, with a population of over 3 million, according to the 2007 population census, and an annual estimated growth rate of 3.8% per year.

Amanuel Specialized Mental Hospital is Ethiopia's largest and the only specialized and referral public mental health hospital. Currently the hospital is under the federal ministry of health. The hospital has more than 242 beds and offers diagnosis and treatment for approximately more than 10,000 in-patients and out-patients a year. Services are given in different case teams each led by a Psychiatrist. One of such case teams is the emergency case team which is led by two senior Psychiatrists, 12 nurses, 4 masters and 2 BSC psychiatry prescribers. The emergency case team has one emergency outpatient case team plus female and male emergency wards. The hospital receives patients who are referred from across the country, as well as patients from Addis Ababa.

3.2. Study population

All patients with first ever presentation to the emergency outpatient department of Amanuel Specialized Mental Hospital and registered on the mandatory handwritten register between December, 2015 to November, 2016 and fulfilled all the inclusions criteria

3.2.1. Inclusion Criteria:

Those patients who were recorded on the mandatory emergency OPD Registry, whose date of first emergency visit was between December, 2015 to November, 2016 and got psychiatric clinical diagnosis or seizure disorder

Repeated entry of the same patient on different dates will be cross checked with their charts to see if the patients re-visited emergency OPD more than once and registered as new patient.

The registry found in the emergency OPD data base of ASMH was used to identify cases to be included in the study. Over this study period over 1,793 new cases with any psychiatry disorder or seizure disorder diagnosis were seen and among this (414, 471,477 and 431 cases) respectively seen in the season Autumn/‘mehir’/, summer/‘bega’/, winter /‘kiremt’/ and spring/ ‘tsedey’/. From each season we selected equal number 96 cases except winter for which 97 cases were selected. Data for each case was extracted from the patient chart and from emergency data base. Information regarding the profile of the study participant, such as date at first emergency visit, socio demographic characteristics, reason for visit, substance abuse histories, clinical diagnosis, intervention provided and side effect was taken from patient chart. In addition to this information which was not documented on the chart and information like reason for disengagement was collected from the study participants or from the relatives of the study participants through cell phone number documented on each participants chart. Information regarding the clinician who attended the participants at each visit was collected after sample of the signature and hand writing of staff clinicians who worked at emergency was taken and compared with each participant chart by PI and two clinicians (data collectors) who worked at emergency OPD during the study period. Given the absence of a standardized tool for extraction of the necessary details from the case files, data extraction tool was developed on the basis of review of relevant literature and suggestions obtained from the advisors. This Data extraction tool was used to extract the necessary information from the recorded files and the Register.

3.6. Ethical consideration

- Ethical permission was sought from the Department of Psychiatry, College of Health Sciences, Addis Ababa University, and ethics committee at Amanuel Specialized Mental Hospital.
- Names of the study participants were not mentioned in the study to keep the confidentiality of the participants.

3.7. Data analysis

The data sheets were coded and data entry, cleaning and analysis was done using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 20. Data was organized with variables including age, gender, educational status, employment, marital status, religion, diagnosis, side effect, disengagement and e t c.

Chapter four

4.1. RESULTS

4.1.1. Socio-demographic characteristics of the study participants

Three hundred and eighty five cases were included in the study. Of these, ---were males. The mean age of cases at first emergency visit evaluation was 31 years (SD 12.1; range 14–85

years) and most of the participants (54.3%) were single and around 32.2% were married. Around 59.5% of the participants were Christian in religion and 40.5% were Muslim. In terms of region, near to half of the patients [181 (47 %)] were from Oromia, 91(23.6%) from Addis Ababa, 65 (16.9%) from SNNP, 40(10.4%) from Amhara and the rest from different part of Ethiopia. The socio-demographic characteristics of the study participants are

Characteristics		Frequency	Percent
Age	<20	46	11.9
	21-29	155	40.3
	30-39	108	28.1
	40-59	58	15.1

shown in
Table 1

Table 1: socio-demographic characteristics of the study participants

	60 and above	18	4.7
Gender	Male	221	57.4
	Female	164	42.6
Marital status	Married	124	32.2
	Single	209	54.3
	Divorced	19	4.9
	Widowed	6	1.6
Religion	Christian	229	59.5
	Muslim	156	40.5
Level of education	high school	202	52.5
	elementary school	120	31.2
	college student and above	22	5.7
	no formal education	5	1.3
Address by region	Oromia	181	47.0
	Addis Ababa	91	23.6
	SNNP	65	16.9
	Amhara	40	10.4
	Others*	6	1.6
Employment Status at first visit	Unemployed	164	42.6
	Employed	157	40.8
Employment Status current	Unemployed	221	57.4
	Employed	77	20.0

Note: others*(Tigray, Ethiopian Somali, Harar regions and Diredawa)

4.1.2. Reason for emergency visit

With regard to the main reason for emergency visit either verbal or physical aggression was reported for 157(40.8%) patients; refusal to eat or drink for 31(8.1%) of patients; suicidal ideation, attempt or homicidal behavior for 17 (4.4%) of patients; other disturbances like:- other behavioral disturbances, sleep disturbance, suspiciousness, abnormal body movement and other psychopathologies were reported by 163(42.3%) patients and abnormal body movement with or without other presentation was reported by 17(4.4%) of the patients. The distribution of reason for first emergency visit is shown in (table 2).

Table 2: the main reason for first emergency visit

Characteristics		Frequency	Percent
Reason for first emergency visit	aggression: verbal or physical	157	40.8
	refusal to eat or drink	31	8.1
	suicidal ideation, attempt, self harm or homicidal behavior	17	4.4
	Seizure	17	4.4
	*other disturbances	163	42.3

Note:* *other disturbances(include behavioral disturbances like urge to be on the move, restlessness, walking naked; sleep disturbances; suspiciousness or other psychopathology and referral from other health institutions)

4.1.3. Diagnosis and intervention at first visit

The diagnosis of the participants and the interventions they received at first emergency visit is shown in (Table 3). Majority (65.3%) of the participants were give diagnosis of non affective psychosis which includes Schizophrenia [143(31.1%)], brief psychotic disorder [86(22.3%)], psychosis secondary to GMC or substance induced psychosis [34(8.8%)] and Schizophreniform disorder [12(3.1%)]. Other diagnosis were bipolar disorder [38(9.9%)], depression [8(2.1%)]; seizure disorder and other psychiatric disorder were diagnosed among 64(16.6%) of participants. Among the participants 62 had reported to have co-morbid substance diagnosis. From the substance ‘khat’ 41(10.6%) was the commonest to be reported and alcohol 27(7%) and tobacco 23(6%) was also reported at first emergency visit by the participants.

Regarding intervention given at first visit only 71(18.4%) patients were admitted at first visit and only 2(0.5%) of the participants in the study, who were followed after first visit, were admitted on subsequent visit. Not for small number of the participants [29(7.5% of the total study population) patients] admission was requested but they were not admitted. Majority [201(52.2%)] of the patients received either injection of haloperidol and diazepam together with po antipsychotic or any other po medication. From the participants 6(1.6%) patients received long acting injection (modicate) at initial visit and 158 (41%) patients were given only po medications.

Regarding interventions other than admission and medication; only 14(3.6%) of the patients were given psycho-education which was documented on the charts and 10(2.6%) of the participants were referred to other health institutions after first emergency visit either for co-morbid medical management or to have follow-up at nearby health institutions.

Table 3: Season, Diagnosis and intervention at first visit

Season, Diagnosis and intervention at first visit

Characteristics		Frequency	Percent
first clinical diagnosis	Brief psychotic disorder	86	22.3
	Schizophreniform disorder	12	3.1
	Schizophrenia	143	31.1
	Bipolar disorder	38	9.9
	Depression	8	2.1
	Psychosis secondary to GMC or substance induced	34	8.8
	Others**	64	16.6
*Medications	Po	158	41.0
	im/iv	24	6.2
	both po and im/iv	201	52.2
Admission	Yes	71	18.4
	no	312	81.0
	admitted on subsequent visit	2	.5
psycho education	Yes	14	3.6
	No	371	96.4
Referral given	Yes	64	2.6
	No		97.4
Seasons	Autumn('mehir')	96	24.9
	Summer ('bega')	96	24.9
	Winter('kiremt')	97	25.2
	spring ('tseyey')	96	24.9

Note: others**(Anxiety disorder, seizure disorder, post partum psychosis)

*The sum of values does not add up to 385 because of missing values

4.1.4. Clinicians attended the participants at first emergency visit

Regarding the clinicians who attended the participants at first visit majority [204(53.2%)] were attended by masters psychiatry prescribers followed by BSC psychiatry prescribers [87(22.6%)]. Among the participants only 32 (8.3%) patients and 6(1.6%) patients were seen by psychiatry residents or psychiatrists respectively at first emergency visit. Other different clinicians like Health Officers, General Practitioners, non-psychiatry nurses and BSC or master psychiatry trainees have attended 56(14.5%) patients at first emergency visit (see table 3)

Table 4: Clinicians who attended the participants at first emergency visit

Characteristics		Frequency	Percent
Clinicians	Psychiatrist	6	1.6
	psychiatry resident	32	8.3
	masters psychiatry prescriber	204	53.0
	BSC psychiatry prescriber	87	22.6
	Others	56	14.5

4.1.5. Patterns of engagement to care after first emergency

A. Patterns of engagement to care after first emergency visit by operational definition one

Participants who show up for re-visit less than 1 month after first emergency visit or after discharge from hospital and engaged to care without missing at least more than four months on subsequent visit were only 41(10.6%). The rate of disengagement was very high [344(89.4%)]. Among disengaged participants 138(35.8%) had no re-visit to hospital; 81(21%) participants disengaged after three or more visit to the hospital; 60(15.6%) participants disengaged after second visit and near to 17%(65) disengaged initially but they were re-engaged again to care. We have also looked patterns of engagement by considering no re-visit more than four months at any visit and according to this only 76(19.7%) participants were engaged to care.

B. Patterns of engagement to care after first emergency visit operational definition two

Among the participants 39.7 %(153 patients) had no revisit to the hospital after they were seen at the emergency OPD [80.4 %(80 patients)] or discharged from the hospital [19.6 %(30 patients)].

Majority of the participants [55.6 %(214 patients)] had only two visits to the hospital over the study period and near to two third [64.9 %(250 patients) had only three visit to the hospital over the study period.

Participants who show up for re-visit less than four months at first visit and still who are on follow-up without dropping out from care more than four months were 75(19.5%) participants. The disengagement rate in this case was 80.5%. Among disengaged participants 9.4% (36) disengaged initially and re-engaged at different time on subsequent visits. The rest participants disengaged either after second visit (59%) or third visit (77%).

C. Patterns of engagement to care after first emergency visit operational definition three

When we see the patterns of engagement by operational definition three (not showing up for re-visit after first visit for six months and not currently on follow-up) 103 (26.8%) of the participants were engaged to care. But still the disengagement rate was high (73.2%). By this definition 8 (2.1%) participants were disengaged (drop out) from care more than six months after initial visit but then re-engaged to care at different time period on subsequent follow-up.

Table 5: Engagement and disengagement after first visit at different time line

Characteristics		Disengagement one month*		Disengagement four months**		Disengagement six months***	
		Frequency	Percent	Frequency	Percent	Frequency	Percent
Disengagement	No	41	10.6	75	19.5	103	26.8
	Yes	344	89.4	310	80.5	282	73.2
Patterns of engagement	disengaged after first visit	138	35.8	138	35.8	138	35.8
	disengaged after second visit	59	13.3	59	13.3	59	13.3
	disengaged after three or more visit	77	20.0	77	20	77	20.0
	disengaged initially and re-engaged	70	18.2	36	9.4	8	2.1
	engaged to care	41	10.6	75	19.5	103	26.8

Note: * By operational definition for disengagement 1

** By operational definition for disengagement 2

*** By operational definition for disengagement 3

4.2.1 The socio-demographic determinants of disengagement

The only socio-demographic characteristics that was associated with disengagement after first emergency visit by operational definition two was gender. At those who are female had odds of 2.79 of disengagement (OR=2.79, 95% CI= (1.065-7.325), P=.037). (See table 6)

Table 6: Socio-demographic factors associated with disengagement after first emergency

Note: * participants come from SNNP, Ethiopain Somalia region, Tigray, Dire Dewa and Harare

Characteristics	Disengagement by four months			Disengagement by six months	
	Crude odds ratio (95% Confidence Interval)	Adjusted odds ratio (95% Confidence Interval)	P value	Adjusted odds ratio (95% Confidence Interval)	P value
Gender					
Male					
Female	1.626(.957-2.761)	2.793(1.065-7.325)	.037**	1.276 (.396-4.114)	.061
Age					
<20			.697		.366
21-29	.804(.355-1.823)	.597(.157-2.89)	.449	.816 (.228-2.9142)	.754
30-39	1.302(.533-3.183)	1.205(.278-5.223)	.803	1.770 (.417-7.513)	.439
40-59	.932(.355-2.451)	1.143(.210-6.220)	.877	.476 (.088-2.568)	.388
60 and above	4.135(.484-35.298)	--	.999	4.313(.44-42.55)	.999
Marital status					
Married			.382		.405
Singe	.927(.530-1.618)	2.995(.213-42.015)	.416	2.899 (.209-40.206)	.428
Widowed/Divorced	1.260(.396-4.013)	.742(.041-13.487)	.840	746 (.045-12.351)	.838
Educational level					
Elementary school					
High school and above	.849(.487-1.479)	.349(.027-4.582)	.423	.204(.0162-.696)	.228
Previous employment status					
Employed					
Unemployed	1.647(.942-2.880)	1.448(.488-4.294)	.504	1.188 (.421-3.355)	.744
Current employment status					
Employed					
Unemployed	2.267(1.058-4.858)	1.199(.333-4.312)	.781	.923 (.267-3.192)	.900
Address in region					
Addis Ababa			.370		.010
Oromia	1.193(.63-2.27)	2.363(.713-7.828)	.159	1.276 (.396-4.114)	.684
Others*	.767(.39-1.51)	1.793(.519-6.191)	.356	.314 (.092-1.069)	.064

**p<.05 statically significant

4.2.2. Other determinants of disengagement

Other than the socio-demographic factors, clinicians who attended the patients at first visit were significantly associated with disengagement after first emergency visit. Participants who were seen by Master or BSC psychiatry prescriber's had odds of 6.22 of disengagement (odds ratio [OR] = 6.22, 95% CI= (1.126-34.39), P=.034). Where as participants who were seen by other non-psychiatry professionals including general practitioners, health officers or psychiatry nurse trainees had odds of 52.45 of disengagement (odds ratio [OR] = 52.45, 95% CI= (5.36-513.40)), P=.001). Apart from this, participants who visited emergency OPD

at the month of winter ('Kiremt') had lesser chance of disengagement with odds ratio of .17 of disengagement (odds ratio [OR] = .17, 95%CI= (.040-.730), P=.017).

Regarding the duration of the illness participants who had intermediate duration of the illness at the time of first visit were less likely to disengage with odds ratio of .30 of disengagement (odds ratio [OR] = .30, 95%CI= (.11-.85), P=.024). (See table 6)

Table 7: other determinants of disengagement

Characteristics	Disengagement by four months		Disengagement by six months	
	odds ratio (95%Confidence Interval)	P value	odds ratio (95%Confidence Interval)	P value
Season at first emergency visit				
Autumn('mehir')		.013*		.007
Summer ('bega')	.856(.223-3.288)	.821	.481 (.130-1.777)	.273
Winter('kiremt')	.170(.040-.730)	.017	.108 (.026-.446)	.002
spring ('tse dey')	.892(.213-3.731)	.875	.376 (.095-1.490)	.164
Duration of illness before first visit				
<6 month		.076		.039
6 months -2 years	.298(.105-.851)	.024	.280 (.105-.747)	.011
>2 years	.736(.222-2.441)	.616	.655 (.203-2.108)	.478
Admission at first visit				
Yes				
No	2.370(.782-7.187)	.127	1.409(.467-4.248)	.543
Diagnosis at first visit				
non-affective psychotic spectrum disorder		.173		.138
affective disorder	1.341(.492-3.659)	.566	1.389 (.535-3.610)	.500
Other**	.203(.031-1.335)	.097	.186 (.027-1.272)	.086
substance use				
Yes				
No	1.261(.403-3.948)	.691	1.958 (.582-6.583)	.278
Side effect reported after first visit				
No				
Yes	396(.013-12.069)	.595	1.431 (.031-65.697)	.854
Medication given at first visit				
Took only po medications		.601		.974
Took only im/iv medications	.547(.070-4.249)	.564	1.173 (.153- 8.985)	.878
Took both po medications and injectables- haloperidol or diazepam	1.348(.548-3.314)	.515	1.093 (.459- 2.603)	.841
Psycho education given and documented				
Yes				
No	1.943(.262-14.377)	.516	.424 (.052-3.440)	.422
Clinicians attended at first visit				
Psychiatrist or psychiatry residents		.003		.034
Masters or BSC psychiatry prescribers	6.224(1.126-34.387)	.036	4.190(.768-22.843)	.098
Other***	52.445(5.357-513.396)	.001	13.524(1.789-02.252)	.012

Note: * $p < .05$ statically significant

** Anxiety disorder, seizure disorder, post partum psychosis

*** Health Officers, General Practitioners, non-psychiatry nurses and BSC or master psychiatry trainees

4.3. The reason for disengagement

In this retrospective study we have also accessed the family member of the participants or the participant themselves who were disengaged from care through cell phone. We were able to access 205 participants and among those accessed 167 were participants disengaged from care. We also contacted 38 participants through cell phone to gate information which was not available on the chart even if they were engaged to care.

Regarding the reason for disengagement, most of the participants [51(30%)] said they were disengaged from care because they went to holly water or other spiritual places. the reason either economic problem or far distance was given by thirty eight participants. For an equal number of participants (thirty eight) their family member said they were not able to bring their beloved one because they refused to come to the hospital or to take any medication. In addition to this 18 participants said they were disengaged because they have improved. From those who said they were improved 5 individual said they were not ill in the first place and they only came because their family brought them without their will. Apart from this eleven participant said treatment was either ineffective or they developed side effect of the medication and disengaged. Among those accessed only 4 participants said they changed the hospital either to have follow up to their nearby health institutions or they couldn't gate bed for admission during the follow up so they went to private hospital and continued follow up there. For five participants their family said their beloved one disappeared from home and they were not able to know where they are. Sadly two of the study participants passed away according to their family. According to their family, one of the participants passed away secondary to alcohol related liver disease and the other passed away due to medical problem related to RVI.

Chapter 5

Discussions

The frequency of disengagement from care, more than four months at any visit, in this retrospective study of pattern of engagement to care after first emergency visit was 80.5%. This result is significantly different from several other studies in which the reported prevalence of disengagement varied from 30 to 50 % (2, 5, and 19). This variability has been dependent on the criteria used to define disengagement to care. There are no universally accepted definitions for mental health service disengagement and engagement and several studies used varies proxy measures of engagement and disengagement like attendance, treatment drop out, therapeutic alliance or treatment adherence. In this retrospective study we

used treatment drop out as proxy measure for disengagement. Due to lack of clear cut off point to define treatment drop out, we looked disengagement at three different time line. Those includes: not showing up at one month after first visit or discharge from hospital and dropout from care more than four months at any visit; not showing up for more than four months at any visit; not showing up for visit more than six months.

The majority of patients in our study were male 221 (57.4%) and their mean age \pm SD were 31.2 \pm 12.1. Regarding socio-demographic characteristics we found significant association of disengagement with gender. Unlike several other studies the disengagement rate for female in this study was high. Among female participants who visited emergency OPD near to 85% were disengaged from care.

Majority of the patients present to emergency OPD either due to aggressive behaviour or other behavioural disturbances like urge to be on the move, walking naked, restlessness or any other behavioural disturbances. This is consistent with that found in other literatures for emergency presentation. This might explain why most patients who come to emergency OPD were males and those who disengaged were more females because it is less easy to contain females than men. In addition to this majority of the participants were given a diagnosis of non affective psychotic spectrum disorder which is again consistent with other studies (6, 12, and 18). Regarding the diagnosis given at the initial visit majority got diagnosis of non-affective psychosis and near to this they were given a diagnosis of mood disorder either bipolar I d/o or MDD. This finding is again consistent with other literatures (2, 7, and 15).

Participants who visited emergency OPD in the months of winter ('kiremt') relatively better engaged to care. Patients with intermediate duration of the illness (6months to two years) had better engagement to care than patients with shorter(less than 6months) or longer (more than two years) duration of the illness.

Amanuel specialized mental hospital is the only largest mental health referral hospital in the country and most patients come to hospital for better care and to be seen by better higher mental health professionals. But contrary to this only 9.9% (38 patients) of the study participants were seen by either psychiatrists or psychiatry residents at initial first visit in emergency OPD. Participants who were seen by Master or BSC psychiatry prescriber's took the majority but surprisingly patients who were seen by other non-psychiatry professionals including general practitioners, health officers or psychiatry nurse trainees constitute 14.5%(56 patients). The majority of patients (92.9%) who were seen by non-mental health professionals disengaged from care.

- 5.1. **Strength:** This is the first comprehensive study on emergency psychiatry patient's engagement in an Ethiopian setting. We were able to access 205(53.2% of study population) patients through a cell phone to know their reason for disengagement although the number was not as we wished to get.
- 5.2. **Limitation:** The drawback of the study was that it is a retrospective patient chart report review and there was poor documentation identified which limited as to include important variables like living condition of the patient in to our analysis that might be an important determinants of disengagement.
- 5.3. **Conclusion:** - Disengagement from care has deleterious effect on over all prognoses of patients and mental health care system in general. Proper emphasis should be given for psychosocial interventions specially giving due emphasis on psycho-education about illness, lifestyle management, family intervention and support, relapse prevention strategies. The disengagement rate in this retrospective study was extremely high (80.5%) and there was strong association with clinicians attended the patients at initial visit in the emergency OPD. During data collection basic elements of patient assessment were missing and the number of patients who were reported that they were given psycho-education was extremely low [14(3.6%)]. Participants who were assessed and judged to be admitted by health care professionals at first visit [29(7.5% of the total study population) patients] were not able to be admitted. So we need to look in to the reasons for this and other areas that hinder patient engagement and care.
- 5.4. **Recommendation:**
- Proper assessment, diagnosis and documentation are an important initial step in patient care and it needs to improve.
 - Giving standardized evidence based treatment is essential to improve the care.
 - Proper psycho-education should be given and treatment should be patient centered.
 - At this higher level of specialized mental hospital patients need to be seen by higher mental health professionals.
 - Improving the referral system, working in co-ordination and collaboration with other health institutions might improve disengagement.
 - As most patients went to holly water or other spiritual places after disengagement from care, working in collaboration with religious leaders and creating awareness about mental illness is very important.
 - Decentralizing the service with the training of mental health professionals might solve some problems associated with the service engagement.

References

1. Kreyenbuhl J, Nossel IR, Dixon LB. Disengagement From Mental Health Treatment Among Individuals With Schizophrenia and Strategies for Facilitating Connections to Care: A Review of the Literature. *Schizophrenia Bulletin*. 2009 vol. 35 no. 4 pp. 696–70
2. Soleimani A, Rosychuk RJ, Newton AS. Predicting time to emergency department re-visits and inpatient hospitalization among adolescents who visited an emergency department for psychotic symptoms: a retrospective cohort study. (Soleimani et al. *BMC Psychiatry* (2016) 16:385 DOI 10.1186/s12888-016-1106-0
3. Shalini Lal, PhD; Ashok Malla, MBBS, FRCPC Service Engagement in First-Episode Psychosis: Current Issues and Future Directions *Can J Psychiatry* 2015;60(8):341–345
4. Doyle R, Turner N, Fanning F, et al. First-episode psychosis and disengagement from treatment: a systematic review. *Psychiatr Serv*. 2014;65(5):603–611
5. O'Brien A, Fahmy R, Singh SP: Disengagement from mental health services: a literature review. *Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol* 2009, 44:558–568.
6. Kessler RC, Berglund PA, Bruce ML, et al. The prevalence and correlates of untreated serious mental illness. *Health Services Research*. 2001; 36:987–1007.
7. Marc Ziegenbein, Christoph Anreis, Bernhard Brüggem, Martin Ohlmeier and Stefan Kropp: Possible criteria for inpatient psychiatric admissions: which patients are transferred from emergency services to inpatient psychiatric treatment? *BMC Health Services Research* 2006, 6:150 doi:10.1186/1472-6963-6-150
8. Katarina Kelin, Timothy JR Lambert, Alan JM Brnabic, Richard Newton, Wendy Ye, Raúl I Escamilla, Kuang-Peng Chen, Liana Don, William Montgomery, Jamie Karagianis, Haya Ascher-Svanum: Treatment discontinuation and clinical outcomes in the 1-year naturalistic treatment of patients with schizophrenia at risk of treatment nonadherence *Patient Preference and Adherence* 2011;5 213–222
9. JOHN M. KANE, TAISHIRO KISHIMOTO, CHRISTOPH U. CORRELL: Non-adherence to medication in patients with psychotic disorders: epidemiology, contributing factors and management strategies; *World Psychiatry* 2013;12:216–226
10. Mitchell AJ, Selmes T. Why don't patients attend their appointments? Maintaining engagement with psychiatric services. *Adv Psychiatr Treat*. 2007;13(6):423–434.
11. Olfson M, Mojtabai R, Sampson NA, et al. Dropout from outpatient mental health care in the United States. *Psychiatr Serv*. 2009 ;60(7):898–907
12. Rossi A, Amaddeo F, Sandri M, Marsilio A, Bianco M, Tansella M. What happens to patients seen only once by psychiatric services? Findings from a follow-up study. *Psychiatry Res*. 2008;157:53–65
13. Edlund MJ, Wang PS, Berglund PA, et al. Dropping out of mental health treatment: patterns and predictors among epidemiological survey respondents in the United States and Ontario. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2002; 159(5):845–851
14. Smith TE, Easter A, Pollock M, et al. Disengagement from care: perspectives of individuals with serious mental illness and of service providers. *Psychiatr Serv*. 2013;64(8):770–775.
15. Turner M, Smith-Hamel C, Mulder R. Prediction of twelve-month service disengagement from an early intervention in psychosis service. *Early Interv Psychiatry*. 2007;1(3):276–281.

16. Miller WR, Rollnick S. Motivational interviewing: helping people change. New York (NY): Guilford Press; 2012.
17. SeiichiroTarutani , Hiroki Kikuyama, MunehiroOhta, Tetsufumi Kanazawa, Takehiko Okamura, and Hiroshi Yoneda: Association between Medication Adherence and Duration of Outpatient Treatment in Patients with Schizophrenia; Psychiatry Investig 2016;13(4):413-419

Appendix

Data Extraction Sheet

Data extraction sheet

1/what was the season for the first emergency visit

- | | |
|-------------------|--------------------|
| 1 AUTOMON' MEHIR' | 2 SUMMER' BEGA' |
| 3 WINTER 'KIREMT' | 4 SPRING ' TSEDEY' |

2 /age of the participants

- | | |
|----------------|---------|
| 1 < 20 | 2 20-29 |
| 3 30-39 | 4 40-59 |
| 5 60 AND ABOVE | |

3 /gender of the participants

- | | |
|--------|----------|
| 1 male | 2 female |
|--------|----------|

4 /what is the address of the participant in region

- | | |
|---------------|----------|
| 1 Addis Ababa | 2 Oromia |
| 3 Dehub | 4 Amhara |
| 5 Others | |

5/what is the religion of the participant

- | | |
|-------------|----------|
| 1 Christian | 2 Muslim |
|-------------|----------|

6 /What is the marital status of the participants

- | | |
|------------------|-----------|
| 1 MARIED | 2 SINGLE |
| 3 DIVORCED | 4 WIDOWED |
| 5 NO INFORMATION | |

7 /what is the maximum educational level achieved by the participants

- | | |
|-----------------------|---------------------|
| 1 NO FORMAL EDUCATION | 2 ELEMANTARY SCHOOL |
| 3 HIGH SCHOOL | 4 COLLEGE AND ABOVE |
| 5 NO INFORMATION | |

8/ what was the employment status before the illness

- | | |
|------------------|------------|
| 1 UNEMPLOYED | 2 EMPLOYED |
| 2 NO INFORMATION | |

1 /what is the current employment status of the participants

- | | | |
|--------------|------------|------------------|
| 1 UNEMPLOYED | 2 EMPLOYED | 3 NO INFORMATION |
|--------------|------------|------------------|

10 /what is the living condition of the participants

- | | |
|-----------------------------|----------------------------|
| 1 HOMELESS | 2 LIVES WITH THE FAMILY |
| 2 LIVES IN THE RENTAL HOUSE | 4 LIVES IN THEIR OWN HOUSE |
| 3 NO INFORMATION | |

11/what was the main reason for emergency visit

- | | |
|---|----------------------------|
| 1 suicidal ideation, attempt, self harm or homicidal behavior | 3 refusals to eat or drink |
| 2 aggressions: verbal or physical | 4 other disturbances |
| 3 seizures | |

11 /what was the duration of the illness before first emergency visit

- | | |
|--------------|---------------------|
| 3 < 6 MONTHS | 2 6 MONTHS -2 YEARS |
| 3 > 2 YEARS | 4 NO INFORMATION |

12 /what was the first clinical diagnosis given for the participants at first presentation

- | | |
|----------------------------|---|
| 1 BRIEF PSYCHOTIC DISORDER | 2 SCHIZOPHRINIFORM DISORDER |
| 3 SCHIZOPHRENIA | 4 BIPOLAR DISORDER |
| 5 DEPRESSION | 6 PSYCHOSIS SECONDERY TO SUBSTANCE OR GMC |
| 7 OTHERS | |

13 /if a participant was given a diagnosis of psychotic spectrum disorder what was the specific diagnosis

- | | |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| 1 BRIEF PSYCHOTIC DISORDER | 2 SCHIZOPHRINIFORM DISORDER |
| 3 SCHIZOPHRENIA | 4 POST PARTUME PSYCHOSIS |
| 5 SUBSTANCE INDUCED PSYCHOSIS | 6 PSYCHOSIS SECONDARY TO GMC |
| 6 OTHER PSYCHOTIC SPECTRUM DIS ORDER | |

14 /was there any substance use history reported at first visit

- | | |
|-------|------|
| 1 yes | 2 no |
|-------|------|

15 /if yes what was the specific substance used

16 /any laboratory investigation done at first visit for the participants

- | | |
|-------|------|
| 1 Yes | 2 no |
|-------|------|

17/ If yes what was the specific lab investigation

18 / what was the medication given at emergency at initial visit

19 / antidepressant given on first psychiatry emergency visit

- | | |
|-------|------|
| 1 yes | 2 no |
|-------|------|

20 /mood stabilizer or antiepileptic given on first psychiatry emergency visit

- | | |
|-------|------|
| 1 yes | 2 no |
|-------|------|

21 /diazepam injection given for the participants on first psychiatry emergency visit

- | | |
|-------|------|
| 1 yes | 2 no |
|-------|------|

22 /po benzodiazepine given on first psychiatry emergency visit

- | | |
|-------|------|
| 1 yes | 2 no |
|-------|------|

23/ po anti psychotic given on first psychiatry emergency visit

- | | |
|-------|------|
| 1 yes | 2 no |
|-------|------|

24 haloperidol injection given for the participants at first visit on first psychiatry emergency visit

1 yes 2 no

25 /what was the route of drug administration at first visit on first psychiatry emergency visit

1 PO 2 IM/IV
3 BOTH PO AND IM/IV

26 /long acting anti psychotic injection given for the participant on first psychiatry emergency visit

1 yes 2 no

27 /Artane given for participant on first psychiatry emergency visit

1 yes 2 no

28 /was the participant given psycho education which was documented

1 yes 2 no

29 /was the participant given psychotherapy which was documented

1 yes 2 no

30 /any side effect after first medication administration for the participants

1 yes
2 no

31 /what was the clinical response of the participants after treatment

1 WORSE 2 NO CHANGE
3 IMPROVED 4 NO INFORMATION

32 /was the participants admitted at first visit

1 yes 2 no

33/ admission was requested for the participant but not admitted

1 yes 2 no

34 what was the duration of hospital stay after admission

1 < 1MONTHH 2 1-2 MONTHS
3 >2 MONTHS

35 /what was the duration of the first hospital revisit

0 NO RE-VISIT 1 < 2 WEEKS
2 2-4 WEEKS 3 > 1MONTH

36 /did the participants disengage from care with operational definition for disengagement

1 yes 2 no

37 /did the participants disengage from care more than four months

1 yes 2 no

38 /what was the reason for disengagement

1 REFUSAL TO COME 2 CHANGED HOSPITAL
2 WENT TO HOLLY WATER OR OTHER SPIRTUAL PLACE 4 TREATEMENT INEFFECTIVE
3 ECONOMICAL REASONS OR DISTANCE 5 IMPROVED
4 OTHER REASON 8 NO INFORMATION

39 /was the participant referred to other hospital after emergency visit

1 yes 2 no

40 /what was the pattern of engagement to care

1 DISENGAGED AFTER FIRST VISIT 2 DISENGAGED AFTER SECOND VISIT
3 DISENGAGED AFTER THIRD OR MORE VISIT 4 DISENGAGED INITIALLY AND RE-ENGAGED
5 ENGAGED TO CARE

41 /who saw the participants at first emergency visit1 psychiatrist

1 psychiatrist 2 masters prescriber
3 BSC prescriber 4 others (includes:-GP, HO, BSC or master students ,non psychiatry nurse)

42 /who saw the participants at second emergency visit

1 psychiatrist 2 masters prescriber
3 BSC prescriber 4 others (includes:-GP, HO, BSC or master students ,non psychiatry nurse)

43 /who saw the participants at third emergency visit

1 psychiatrist 2 masters prescriber
3 BSC prescriber 4 others (includes:-GP, HO, BSC or master students ,non psychiatry nurse)

44 /who saw the participants at fourth emergency visit

1 psychiatrist 2 masters prescriber
3 BSC prescriber 4 others (includes:-GP, HO, BSC or master students ,non psychiatry nurse)