

1122

**ADDIS ABABA UNIVERSITY
FACULTY OF VETERINARY MEDICINE**

**PREVALENCE OF BOVINE TRYPANOSOMOSIS IN SOKORU
WOREDA, JIMMA ZONE, OROMIA REGION, SOUTH WEST
ETHIOPIA.**

**BY
YESHITILA AMEDE YEMER**

**JUNE 2006.
Debre Zeit, Ethiopia**

1122

**ADDIS ABABA UNIVERSITY
FACULTY OF VETERINARY MEDICINE**

**PREVALENCE OF TRYPANOSOMOSIS IN SOKORU WOREDA, JIMMA ZONE
OROMIA REGION, SOUTH WEST ETHIOPIA**

**A thesis submitted to the Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Addis
Ababa University in partial fulfillment of Degree of Master of Science in Tropical
Veterinary Medicine**

**By
YESHITILA AMEDE YEMER**

**June 2006.
Debre Zeit, Ethiopia.**

**Prevalence of Bovine Trypanosomosis in Sokoru Woreda,
Jimma Zone, Oromia Region, Southwest Ethiopia.**

By
YESHITILA AMEDE YEMER

Board of examiners

Signature

1. Professor Phlippe Dorchies
2. Prof essor Stieg Einarson
3. Dr. Mark Eiser
4. Maximillan Bauman
5. Dr Mohammad Abdella

Academic advisor

Dr Asoke Kumar Basu

DEDICATION

This thesis is presented to be a remembrance to my darling parents, my late father AMEDE YEMER and my late mother MULUNESH EMIRU for their maximum affinity of parental care and love they have rendered me, and for unreserved help and concern they have provided me to promote my life in deed.

TABLE OF CONTAINTS

LIST OF TABLES.....	VII
LIST OF FIGURES	VIII
LIST OF ANNEXES	IX
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....	X
ABBREVIATIONS	XII
ABSTRACT	XIII
1. INTRODUCTION	1
2. LITERATURE REVIEW	3
2.1 AFRICAN ANIMAL TRYPANOSOMOSIS	3
2.1.1 Trypanosomes	3
2.1.2. Classification	3
2.1.3. Life Cycle of Trypanosomes	4
2.2. THE VECTOR, TSETSE FLY	4
2.2.1. Classification and morphology	4
2.2.2. Distribution and Diversity	5
2.3. EPIDEMIOLOGY	6
2.4 Pathogenesis	8
2.5 Clinical Features.....	8
2.6 PATHOLOGY	8
2.7 Diagnosis.....	9
2.8 CONTROL.....	10
2.8.1 Parasite Control.....	10
2.8.2 Vector Control.....	12
2.8.3 Trypanotolerance.....	14
2.8.4. Tsetse and Trypanosomosis in Ethiopia.....	15
3. MATERIALS AND METHODS	16
3.1. The study area.....	16
3.1.1 Climate.....	18
3.1.2. Vegetation	18
3.1.3. Agriculture.....	18
3.1.4. Animal husbandry	18
3.1.5. Disease Situation in the Woreda.....	20
3.2. Study Population.....	20
3.3. STUDY DESIGN.....	19
3.3.1. Questionnaire Survey.....	20
3.3.2. Entomological survey	201
3.3.2.1. Collection of tsetse and other biting flies.....	21
3.3.2.2. Parasitological Survey.....	22
3.3.2.3. Sampling Method and Sample size Determination.....	22
3.3.2.4. Sample Collection and Parasitological Examination.....	22
3.3.2.5. Assessment of Trypanocidal Drug Efficacy.....	23
3.4. DATA ANALYSIS	224
4. RESULTS	25
4.1. Questionnaire Survey.....	27
4.1.2 Livestock Production.....	25
4.1.3 Disease Situation	25
4.1.4. Treatment opportunities against Trypanosomosis.....	30
4.1.5. Socio-economic Practice	30
4.2. Cross sectional Study.....	32
4.2.1. Entomological Survey	30
4.2.2. Parasitological Findings	32
4.2.3. Prevalence of trypanosomes according to age & sex of animals.....	34
4.2.4. Infection Risk Factor	36
4.3. Haematological Findings.....	39
4.4. Longitudinal study.....	44

4.4.1. Assessment of Trypanocidal Drug Efficacy.....	44
4.4.2. PCV Findings.....	47
5. DISCUSSION.....	49
6. CONCLUSION	48
7. RECOMMENDATION.....	54
8. REFERENCES	50
9. ANNEXES	70-92
ANNEX 1 QUESTIONNAIRE FOR INTERVIEWING FARMERS IN THE STUDY AREA	
10 CURRICULUM VITAE	96
11. SIGNED DECLARATION SHEET	97

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1. Tsetse and other fly catches in late and dry seasons at Abelty and Tiroshashama villages in Sokoru district, Southwest Ethiopia.....	31
Table 2: Prevalence of infection in cattle in different seasons and 95% confidence interval at Abelty and Tiroshashama villages Sokoru district of Southwest Ethiopia.....	33
Table 3: The abundance and seasonal distribution of trypanosome species at Abelty and Tiroshashama villages in Sokoru district of Southwest Ethiopia.	33
Table 4: Trypanosome infections of cattle, illustrated in terms of sex difference in Abelty and Tiroshashama village, Southwest Ethiopia.....	34
Table 5: Different types of trypanosome infection in different age and sex group at Abelty and Tiroshashama villages of Sokoru district, Southwest Ethiopia.	35
Table 6: Mean PCV (%) results of sampled cattle during cross sectional study and mean PCV (%) value of cattle which had PCV (%) value of > 26% and < 26% in Sokoru district, Abelty & Tiroshashama villages of Southwest Ethiopia.....	37
Table 7: Mean PCV (%) of aparasitaemic and parasitaemic cattle observed during cross sectional study at Abelty and Tiroshashama villages, Sokoru district, Southwest Ethiopia.	38
Table 8: Isometamidium chloride efficacies treated at a dose of 1mg/kg/bw in naturally trypanosome infected local cattle at Abelty village Sokoru district, Southwest Ethiopia.	41
Table 9: Isometamidium chloride efficacy, treated at a dose of 1mg/kg bw in naturally trypanosome infected local cattle, at Tiroshashama village, Sokoru district Southwest Ethiopia.....	41
Table 10 : Trypanosome infection identified in control groups of animals during longitudinal study at Abelty and Tiroshashama.....	42
Table 11 Mean PCV (%) values after Isometamidium treatment and mean PCV (%)of control group at Abelty ,Sokoru district, Southwest Ethiopia	43
Table 12: Mean PCV (%) values after Isometamidium treatment and mean PCV (%) of control group at Tiroshashama, Sokoru district, Southwest Ethiopia.	43

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1: Map of Ethiopia and Sokoru Woreda.....	17
Figure 2: Priority of Livestock Diseases of Economic Importance.....	26
Figure 3: The signs of trypanosomosis in cattle reported by interviewed persons at Abelty and Tiroshashama villages in Sokoru district, Southwest Ethiopia.	27
Figure 4 : Personnel concerned in the treatment of trypanosomosis at Abelty and Tiroshashama PAs indicated by questionnaire results.....	28
Figure 5. Dosage of trypanocidal drugs used by farmers as indicated during questionnaire inspection at Abelty and Tiroshashama villages in Southwest Ethiopia.	29
Figure 6: Frequency of treatments rendered in the year time to treat trypanosomosis as verified in questionnaire survey at Abelty & Tiroshashama sites, Sokoru Woreda, Southwest Ethiopia.	30
Figure 7: Species of tsetse flies found in different seasons at Abelty & Tiroshashama village in Sokoru district of Southwest Ethiopia.	32
Figure 8: Trypanosome infections in cattle by age & sex groups at Abelty and Tiroshashama villages, Sokoru district, Southwest Ethiopia.	35
Figure 9: Frequency distribution of PCV (%) values of the total herds in two villages of Sokoru district (Abelty & Tiroshashama), Southwest Ethiopia	36
Figure 10: Mean PCV % values of cattle in two study sites and seasons, Sokoru district, Southwest Ethiopia.	38
Figure 11: Frequency distribution of PCV (%) of parasitaemic cattle at Abelty and Tiroshashama villages, Sokoru district, Southwest Ethiopia	39
Figure 12: The correlation between the prevalence (%) of trypanosome infection and mean PCV (%)value of examind cattle populations.	39

LIST OF CHARTS:

Chart 1: The Study Design.....	23
Chart 2: Plan of Work.....	24

LIST OF ANNEXES

Annex 1: Questionnaire for interviewing farmers in the study area.....	60
Annex 2: Photographs illustrating different duties of prevalence of trypanosomosis study at Abelty and Tiroshashama villages, Sokoru Woreda, Southwest Ethiopia	70
Annex 3 List of cattle registerd for cross sectional trypanosomosis prevalence study in late rainy season at Abelty village Sokoru district.	71-73
Annex 4: List of cattle sample for cross sectional trypanosomosis disease prevalence study in dry season .At Abelty village sokoru district.	74-77
Annex 5: Cross sectional trypanosomosis pervalence study in late rainy season at Tiroshashama village Sokoru district Soutj West Ethiopia.	78-84
Annex 6: Cross sectional trypanosomosis disease prevalence study in dry season at Tiroshashama village, Sokoru district .	80
Annex 7: PCV (%) values of treatment grupes of cattle during longitudinal study days after isometamidium block treatment at Abelty and Tiroshashamavillages Sokoru district .	85 -88
Annx 8: List of registerd for cross sectional trypanosomosis prevalence in late rainy season at Abelty village Sokoru district.	89
Annx 9: Animals used for drug efficacy test at Abelty site isometamidium treated and none treated.	90-91
Annex 10: Summery description of questionnaire results conducted during questionnaire survaye at Abelty and tiroshashama villages at sokoru district.	92



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I express my gratitude to my former advisors Professor Getachew Abebe and Dr. Asoke Kumar Basu for their unreserved advice, intellectual guidance and correction of the paper, kind and positive response when I compiled this MSc thesis.

My sincere thanks also go to Dr Kelay Belihu, the current and Dr. Bayleyegn Molla, the former Associate Dean for Research and Graduate Studies for their encouragement and advice during the course program.

I would like to express my deepest gratitude and love to my wife Dr. Alemtshaye Birhanu for her unreserved help and encouragement in my study and taking over the responsibility of the family during my stay far from home.

My sincere thanks go to Sokoru Woreda administration and Sokoru Woreda Agricultural & rural Development office, for unreserved help they have rendered me.

I am very much grateful to the staff members of the Bedele Regional Laboratory, Pan African Control of Epizootics (PACE) of Bedele branch and NTTICC for the logistics and technical help.

I am thank ful land great full to Dr Adunya Tadesse the head of Bedele regional laboratory and Dr Bekele Batiso the head of Bedele branch pan African control of epizootics (PACE) office for their maximum affinity and help they have provided me during my research work.

. My sincere thanks also go to Sokoru Woreda Finical Resource Development Office.

I forward my appreciation to Ato Kasahun Werku for his genuine help in professional cooperation during field studies.

I would like to extend my gratitude to the staff of Sokoru Woreda animal health and resource development team for their mutual help.

I would like to acknowledge Ato Temam Gelan Kirubel Tesfaye and Sleshi for their help and professional participation.

My special thanks go to the community leaders and people of Tiroshashama and Abelty villages for their genuine cooperation and mutual help. My appreciation and thank also goes to Ato Mohammad, leader of Kunbi kebele.



ABBREVIATIONS

BCT	Buffy Coat Technique
bw.	Body weight
CFT	Complement Fixation Test
ELISA	Enzyme Linked Immunoabsorbent Assay
ESTC	Ethiopian Science and Technology Commission
FAO	Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations
GTZ	Germany Technical Assistance
IAEA	International Atomic Energy Agency
IBAR	International African Bureau for Animal Resources
ICIPE	International Center of Insect Physiology and Ecology
ILCA	International Livestock Center for Africa
ILRI	International Livestock Research Institute
Km	kilometers
Kg	Kilogram
m.a.s.l.	Meters above sea level
mg	Miligram
ml	Mililiter
MOA	Ministry of Agriculture
NTTICC	National Tsetse and Trypanosomiasis Investigation and Control Center
PAs	Peasant Associations
SIT	Sterile Insect Technique
STEP	Southern Tsetse Eradication Project
UNDP	United Nations Development Program

ABSTRACT

Tsetse transmitted animal trypanosomosis is a serious constraint in animal production and Agricultural development in Ethiopia. The vast area adjacent to the Ghibe valley in South West Ethiopia is tsetse infested where animal trypanosomosis is a serious threat to livestock economic development. The objectives of the study were to investigate the prevalence and magnitude of bovine trypanosomosis in representative and selected villages of Sokoru Woreda, to assess and analyze the efficacy of trypanocidals in use, to determine the extent of the disease based on the study findings to point out and search for the possible, sustainable and effective control options of tsetse transmitted trypanosomosis. The study was conducted from September 2005 to February 2006. The study methodology was based on questionnaire survey, seasonal cross sectional studies and longitudinal study for trypanocidals drug efficacy in villages of Abelty and Tiroshashama. The result of the questionnaire survey revealed that all the interviewees agreed that trypanosomosis was the most important and major problematic disease in their area. Cross sectional study was done on 515 sampled - cattle. Animals were examined using parasitological method a buffy coat technique (BCT). A total of 64 monoconical traps were deployed along suspected tsetse habitat in the range of 1,392-1,629 meters above sea level. And entomological survey revealed that *Glossina m. submorsitans* was the highly prevalent tsetse fly species followed by *Glossina pallidipes* and with several *Stomoxys spp.* The apparent fly density tsetse was relatively higher in late rainy season (0.194 flies/ trap /day) at Abelty in late rainy season and none in dry season respectively where as in Tiroshashama village the fly catch was 0.028 fly / trap / day in late rainy and 0.017 fly /trap/ day of *G.m. submorsitans* and 0.017 *G. pallidipes* was caught in dry season . The fly catch was declined may be because of the high temperature of the dry season, low humidity and bush fire which occurred few weeks before the study period, and such condition may damage the suitable tsetse habitat and also inavailability of favorable hosts in the area, forced the flies to evacuate to the extreme low land areas towards the river basins. Nevertheless high catches of *Stomoxys* 10 flies /trap / day in late rainy season at Abelty and none flies of *Stomoxys* /trap /day in dry season at Abelty . Thirteen flies of *Stomoxys* /trap /day in late rainy season 20 flies of *Stomoxys* /trap /day in dry season at Tiroshashama village were caught. In the parasitological survey a total of 515 animals out of which 180 cattle in late rainy season 335 cattle in dry season were examined with buffy coat technique and the result showed the prevalence of trypanosomosis was highest in dry season

ABSTRACT

Tsetse transmitted animal trypanosomosis is a serious constraint in animal production and Agricultural development in Ethiopia. The vast area adjacent to the Ghibe valley in South West Ethiopia is tsetse infested where animal trypanosomosis is a serious threat to livestock economic development. The objectives of the study were to investigate the prevalence and magnitude of bovine trypanosomosis in representative and selected villages of Sokoru Woreda, to assess and analyze the efficacy of trypanocidals in use, to determine the extent of the disease based on the study findings to point out and search for the possible, sustainable and effective control options of tsetse transmitted trypanosomosis. The study was conducted from September 2005 to February 2006. The study methodology was based on questionnaire survey, seasonal cross sectional studies and longitudinal study for trypanocidals drug efficacy in villages of Abelty and Tiroshashama. The result of the questionnaire survey revealed that all the interviewees agreed that trypanosomosis was the most important and major problematic disease in their area. Cross sectional study was done on 515 sampled - cattle. Animals were examined using parasitological method a buffy coat technique (BCT). A total of 64 monoconical traps were deployed along suspected tsetse habitat in the range of 1,392-1,629 meters above sea level. And entomological survey revealed that *Glossina m. submorsitans* was the highly prevalent tsetse fly species followed by *Glossina pallidipes* and with several *Stomoxys spp.* The apparent fly density tsetse was relatively higher in late rainy season (0.194 flies/ trap /day) at Abelty in late rainy season and none in dry season respectively where as in Tiroshashama village the fly catch was 0.028 fly / trap / day in late rainy and 0.017 fly /trap/ day of *G.m. submorsitans* and 0.017 *G. pallidipes* was caught in dry season . The fly catch was declined may be because of the high temperature of the dry season, low humidity and bush fire which occurred few weeks before the study period, and such condition may damage the suitable tsetse habitat and also inavailability of favorable hosts in the area, forced the flies to evacuate to the extreme low land areas towards the river basins. Nevertheless high catches of *Stomoxys* 10 flies /trap / day in late rainy season at Abelty and none flies of *Stomoxys* /trap /day in dry season at Abelty . Thirteen flies of *Stomoxys* /trap /day in late rainy season 20 flies of *Stomoxys* /trap /day in dry season at Tiroshashama village were caught. In the parasitological survey a total of 515 animals out of which 180 cattle in late rainy season 335 cattle in dry season were examined with buffy coat technique and the result showed the prevalence of trypanosomosis was highest in dry season

ABSTRACT

Tsetse transmitted animal trypanosomosis is a serious constraint in animal production and Agricultural development in Ethiopia. The vast area adjacent to the Ghibe valley in South West Ethiopia is tsetse infested where animal trypanosomosis is a serious threat to livestock economic development. The objectives of the study were to investigate the prevalence and magnitude of bovine trypanosomosis in representative and selected villages of Sokoru Woreda, to assess and analyze the efficacy of trypanocidals in use, to determine the extent of the disease based on the study findings to point out and search for the possible, sustainable and effective control options of tsetse transmitted trypanosomosis. The study was conducted from September 2005 to February 2006. The study methodology was based on questionnaire survey, seasonal cross sectional studies and longitudinal study for trypanocidals drug efficacy in villages of Abelty and Tiroshashama. The result of the questionnaire survey revealed that all the interviewees agreed that trypanosomosis was the most important and major problematic disease in their area. Cross sectional study was done on 515 sampled - cattle. Animals were examined using parasitological method a buffy coat technique (BCT). A total of 64 monoconical traps were deployed along suspected tsetse habitat in the range of 1,392-1,629 meters above sea level. And entomological survey revealed that *Glossina m. submorsitans* was the highly prevalent tsetse fly species followed by *Glossina pallidipes* and with several *Stomoxys spp.* The apparent fly density tsetse was relatively higher in late rainy season (0.194 flies/ trap /day) at Abelty in late rainy season and none in dry season respectively where as in Tiroshashama village the fly catch was 0.028 fly / trap / day in late rainy and 0.017 fly /trap/ day of *G.m. submorsitans* and 0.017 *G. pallidipes* was caught in dry season . The fly catch was declined may be because of the high temperature of the dry season, low humidity and bush fire which occurred few weeks before the study period, and such condition may damage the suitable tsetse habitat and also inavailability of favorable hosts in the area, forced the flies to evacuate to the extreme low land areas towards the river basins. Nevertheless high catches of *Stomoxys* 10 flies /trap / day in late rainy season at Abelty and none flies of *Stomoxys* /trap /day in dry season at Abelty . Thirteen flies of *Stomoxys* /trap /day in late rainy season 20 flies of *Stomoxys* /trap /day in dry season at Tiroshashama village were caught. In the parasitological survey a total of 515 animals out of which 180 cattle in late rainy season 335 cattle in dry season were examined with buffy coat technique and the result showed the prevalence of trypanosomosis was highest in dry season

rainy season was observed. A significant difference ($P < 0.05$) was noticed between the mean PCV values in parasitaemic (95% CI 21.24, 23.59) aparasitaemic (95% CI 23.93, 24.73) cattle.

Abelty and Tiroshashama villages were selected for Isometamidium chloride block treatment study. A total of 100 animals 50 from each of the villages identified and the selected animals in each village were grouped into 25 treatment and 25 control groups and they were identified with ear tags to allow easy access during field visits. Fourteen days prior the Isometamidium chloride treatment study all the 100 cattle were treated with Diminazene aceturate at a dose rate of 7mg /kg bw. Both groups of cattle were treated after 2 weeks (day 0). The treatment groups were given Isometamidium chloride at a dose rate of 1mg/kg bw. Both groups of cattle were examined for trypanosome parasite using buffy coat technique every 14 days interval until 84 days. The result of the total of 22.67% recurrent parrasitaemia of *T. congolense* infection and 35.29% *T. vivax* infection with 56 day after the treatment indicated a better protection of Isometamidium chloride remain a choice of priority drug which could be used for prophylaxis of animal trypanosomes to protect cattle against trypanosomosis at Abelty and Tiroshashama villages and other risk areas of Sokoru Woreda. And the out put of the findings of the efficacy Diminazen aceturate revealed that the use of the drug was still curative and effective at both study villages.

Key words bovine trypanosomosis, prevalence, tsetse fly, apparent density, Abelty and Tiroshashama villages and Ghibe valley.

INTRODUCTION

One of the blackest clouds hanging over the civilization of tropical Africa is the scourge of trypanosome disease, which affects both human and his domestic animals (Chandler and Read, 1961). The trypanosomosis of domesticated livestock in Africa are diseases caused by infection with several different species of trypanosomes transmitted by tsetse flies of the genus *Glossina*. The diseases caused by these organisms vary considerably in severity and duration and depends on a number of factors associated with both the host species and the species of trypanosome involved (Brown *et al.*, 1990).

The disease associated with African trypanosomosis occurs in 38 African countries affecting 10 million km² area of land between latitudes 14⁰N and 29⁰5 (Brown *et al.*, 1990). Close to 50 million cattle and countless numbers of other domestic animals live within tsetse infested area. African farmers spend 35 million US dollar per year on trypanocidal drugs to protect their cattle. If it were possible to eradicate trypanosomosis from Africa it is predicted that the benefit to over all agricultural production would gradually rise to 4.5 billion US dollar per year, the lives of over 40% of sub-Saharan Africa's 625 million population would benefit significantly and 55,000 deaths per year from sleeping sickness could be avoided (Budd, 1999).

Tsetse transmitted animal trypanosomosis is a serious constraint to livestock production and agricultural development in Southern and South Western and Western part of Ethiopia (Ford *et al.*, 1976). Currently 220,000km² area of the country is infested with tsetse flies (NTTICC, 1996). Five species of *Glossina*, namely *Glossina pallidipes*, *G. morsitans submorsitans*, *G. fuscipes fuscipes*, *G. longipennis* and *G. tachinoides* invaded productive agricultural areas in the West, South and Southwest parts of Ethiopia (Langridge, 1976). More than 10 million heads of cattle are risking variable degrees of trypanosomosis infection at any time each year of which six million are from tsetse born (Lamecha, 1994). Non-tsetse transmitted trypanosomosis also affects considerable number of animal populations in tsetse free zones of the country (MOA, 1995). According to the report of the MOA (1995) one to two million doses of trypanocidal are administered at the cost of some US dollar 0.5 - 1 million annually by the government (NTTICC, 1996).

The antigenic diversity of trypanosomes has prevented the development of immunization techniques (no vaccines are available) as a result of which prevention and control of tsetse-transmitted trypanosomosis depends on minimizing contact between livestock, wild life and tsetse. Control measures against tsetse and trypanosomosis focus on parasite control with chemotherapy and chemoprophylaxis; vector control with insecticides and target / traps and use of trypanotolerant animals (Brown *et al.*, 1990). Community based tsetse trypanosomosis control operation show successful results if they are attached to local organization with objectives of livestock issues (Habtewold, 1997). Alternative approach is using trypanotolerant breeds of ruminants perhaps combined with judicious drug therapy may be in the future, offer a realistic solution in many areas where the disease is endemic and this aspect is currently under intensive study (Urquhart *et al.*, 1996).

Oromia is one of the five regions of Ethiopia infested with tsetse flies. The tsetse distribution in Oromia is unique in nature that all the five tsetse flies species reported in Ethiopia are found along the main river basins of Oromia. Almost all the major rivers of Ethiopia either originate or pass through the Oromia region. The Ghibe valley, which is known for its high tsetse challenge and trypanosomosis, is adjacent to Sokoru woreda, where the current prevalence study was conducted. Sokoru is located in Jimma zone, partly extending to the tsetse infested Ghibe valley. As a result the livestock of the Woreda suffer from tsetse-transmitted trypanosomosis. The objectives of the study were as follows:

1. To study the prevalence and magnitude of trypanosomosis in selected sites of Sokoru Woreda,
2. To assess the strength and duration of the efficacy of trypanocidal drugs currently in use in naturally trypanosome infected cattle in the field condition.
3. To illustrate the extent of the disease constraint and
4. To search for the possible, sustainable and effective control options of tsetse trypanosomosis based on the present study.

1. LITERATURE REVIEW



2.1 AFRICAN ANIMAL TRYPANOSOMOSIS

2.1.1 Trypanosomes

Trypanosomes are flagellated protozoa, which belong to the family *Trypanosomatidae*. The family consists of several genera and many species; all are parasitic in habit. The species, which parasitize vertebrates, require a vector for transmission (Adam *et al.*, 1979). Trypanosomes live and multiply in the bloodstream, lymphatic vessels, and tissues, including the cardiac muscle and the central nervous system. The most common form of *Trypanosomatidae* is the blood stream form of the mammalian parasites (Itard, 1989).

2.1.2. Classification

Trypanosomes are microscopic, elongated & flattened cells, which move with the help of a single flagellum directed anteriorly, at the base of which is found a characteristic structure, the kinetoplast (Itard, 1989). The length and position of the trypanosome's flagellum is variable. In trypanosomes the flagellum originates near the posterior end of the cell and passes forward over the cell surface to extend freely at the anterior end where the flagellum is adherent to the cell surface. The sheath is expanded and forms a wavy flange, called the undulating membrane (Adam *et al.*, 1979).

The systematic position of *Trypanosoma* among the protozoa and revised classification of the mammalian trypanosomes was made by arranging related species into subgenera corresponding to the two sections i.e. Stercoraria & Salivaria (Mulligan, 1970). Pathogenic trypanosomes in the genus *Trypanosoma* are divided according to their development in the vector and transmission by either the saliva (inoculative) (Salivarian group,) or transmission by faecal contamination (Stercoraria) (Adam *et al.*, 1979; Losos, 1986; Mulligan, 1970). The Salivarian consists of species of veterinary and medical importance (Adam *et al.*, 1979;

Mulligan, 1970) and can be separated into four groups or sub-genera (*Duttonella*, *Nannomonas*, *Trypanozoon* and *Pycnomonas*) according to their morphology in the blood and their pattern of development in the tsetse fly (Adam *et al.*, 1979; Mulligan, 1970). The major pathogenic trypanosomes of veterinary and public health importance are *T. vivax*, *T. congolense*, *T. brucei*, *T. rhodesiense* and *T. gambiense*.

2.1.3. Life Cycle of Trypanosomes

According to Seifert (1996), the transmission and interchange of hosts of African trypanosomiasis, which is transmitted by tsetse fly, can be summarized as follows:

- The tsetse fly gets infected with the trypomastigote blood form, which loses its surface coat in the goitre of the fly and, while remaining there at least one hour, restructures its mitochondrion.
- The trypanosomes enter the mid gut where they transform through lengthwise division into the epimastigote form in the cardia.
- The trypanosomes penetrate the haemocoel via the peritrophe membrane and the mid gut epithelium and move from there to the salivary glands of the tsetse fly where they develop into the metacyclic infectious trypomastigote form which has now got its surface coat; the trypanosomes are haploid. Because of the complicated development of the trypanosomes within the tsetse fly, only about 0.1-0.4% of the flies is infected and thus is potential vectors of trypanosomiasis.
- After the vertebrate host has been infected by the tsetse fly, syngamy takes place; the trypanosomes become diploid and multiply through lengthwise division.

According to Putt *et al.* (1980), *T. brucei* develops in the tsetse mid gut, proventriculus and salivary glands, while *T. congolense* develops in the mouth parts in addition to mid gut and proventriculus, and *T. vivax* develops entirely in the mouth parts (Ford, 1971; Putt *et al.*, 1980)

2.2. The Vector, Tsetse Fly

2.2.1. Classification and morphology

The vector, tsetse fly, can be classified in the order *Diptera* (the two-winged flies), family *Glossinidae*, and within the genus: *Glossina*. There are about 23 species and 8 sub-species of *Glossina* identified so far (Moloo, 1993; Leak, 1999). From morphological point of view, tsetse flies are elongated and robust, of various shades of brown ranging from yellowish to grayish to dark or blackish brown in colour and about 6 to 16mm long excluding the

proboscis. Males are usually smaller than the females (Itard, 1989). Useful features for identification include: wings being held closed over the abdomen fully overlapping one another; a piercing proboscis which sticks out horizontally from the front of head; widely separated compound eyes; the distal medial cell of the wing is shaped like a butcher's cleaver and is sometimes referred to as the 'hatchet cell' and the hairs on the arista of antenna have further hairs branching off them (Robertson, 2004).

The genus is further subdivided into well-marked species groups (subgenera) identified based on differences from ecological characteristics (Leak, 1999). These are the subgenus *Glossina* (the *Glossina morsitans* group), the subgenus *Nemorhina* (the *Glossina palpalis* group) and the subgenus *Austenina* (the *Glossina fusca* group). The *G. morsitans* group is typically found in savannahs and thicket habitats. The *G. palpalis* group is riverine flies requiring a higher humidity; this group, however, is also capable of adapting to peridomestic habitats in West Africa or lantana thickets in Uganda. The *Glossina fusca* group is found in high forest habitats or in more humid relict forests on the periphery of the rain forest belts (Cox, 1993). Within the subgenera, males of the palpalis group are identified mainly from the morphology of the inferior claspers, males of the morsitans group from the superior claspers and fusca group females most easily from the signum, which is a chitinized plate at the anterior of the oviduct (Leak, 1999).

2.2.2. Distribution and Diversity

Tsetse flies (genus *Glossina*) are the principal vectors of African trypanosomosis, which infect humans and their domestic animals over some 10 million km² (Green, 1994). They occur exclusively in the sub-Saharan Africa over an area extending on both sides of the equator from 15°N to 29°S latitude (Ford, 1971). According to Mooloo (1993), most of the *fusca* and *palpalis* group of tsetse are concentrated in west and central Africa, while most of the *morsitans* group of tsetse species are found in eastern and southern Africa. *Glossina brevipalpis* and *G. longipennis* seem to be the only *fusca* group species found in eastern Africa.

The general distribution of tsetse flies, determined principally by climate and influenced by altitude, vegetation and the presence of suitable host animals, has been known for a long time (Leak, 1999). Each of these factors may directly affect the birth, death or migration rates of

the vector and thus the population size (Hay *et al.*, 1996). The limit of distribution is closely correlated with the tropical savannah (summer rain) climate, which follows the 508 mm annual isohyet. Climate, though dependent on latitude, is modified by altitude and of course has a great effect on the vegetation, which is vital for providing shade and maintaining a suitable microclimate for tsetse as well as a habitat for their vertebrate hosts. As a generalization, the tropical rain forest (equatorial) climate controls the habitats of the *fusca* and *palpalis* groups, and the surrounding woodlands are the habitats of the *morsitans* group. Altitude influences tsetse distribution through its effect on climate, particularly temperature (Leak, 1999). In Ethiopia, 1600m above sea level was considered the rough upper altitudinal limit to tsetse distribution according to Langridge (1976). Subsequently, however, *G. pallidipes* was found at 1700m altitude and *G. morsitans submorsitans* at altitudes up to 2200m (Tikubet and Gemetchu, 1984).

Generally, the habitat of livestock is directly related to the presence of human settlements. The most important factor to develop an optimum habitat for the disease is the direct interaction between host, vector and parasite each with their own optimum habitat (Glasgow, 1963).

2.3. Epidemiology

Tsetse-transmitted trypanosomes occur in Africa according to the distribution of vector. Mechanically transmitted trypanosomes can occur elsewhere in Africa (Hall, 1985), large areas of Asia, Middle East and South America (ILRAD, 1987). Among the Salivarian group, only *T. vivax* is considered to be spread beyond the confines of tsetse fly belt by mechanical transmission (Hall, 1985).

Tsetse-transmitted trypanosomosis infects various species of mammals but, from an economic point of view, it is particularly important in cattle. It is mainly caused by *T. congolense*, *T. vivax*, and to a lesser extent, *T. brucei brucei*. *T. uniforme*, *T. simiae* and *T. suis* are other, less common tsetse-transmitted species (OIE, 2002). The occurrence of trypanosomosis within the overall tsetse infested area is irregular because of differences in animal husbandry practices which affect the nature of the contact between tsetse flies and livestock and because of variation in the distribution and density of particular groups and species of tsetse flies

which differ in their capacities to transmit the pathogenic trypanosomes. The pattern of the disease is also affected by differences in the distribution of the pathogenic trypanosomes; *T. congolense*, *T. vivax* and *T. brucei* are always found within tsetse infested areas. *T. simiae*, *T. uniforme*, and *T. suis* occur less frequently (Robertson, 1976). Beside the tsetse flies, the appearance of game is of great importance for the persistence of the disease within an infested area. Highly resistant wild ruminants harbour the trypanosomes and being alternative hosts for the tsetse fly become a reservoir of infection for the tsetse fly and contribute to its survival (Seifert, 1996).

The infection with *T. vivax* is widespread: if transmitted by *G. palpalis*, it shows a light and chronic course, but if as in east Africa, *G. pallidipes* becomes the vector or if it is *G. morsitans* or *G. tachinoides* as in West Africa, the disease occurs with high fever, oedemas in the sub-cutis and causes death after 3-4 weeks. The *T. congolense* infection shows the most severe course. High fever is a symptom for the heavy multiplication of these, the smallest pathogenic *Trypanosoma* species within the blood up to 3 weeks after natural infection (Seifert, 1996). Multiple infections are also of the most important features of trypanosomosis in cattle (Losos, 1986). *T. vivax* causes major losses in cattle in West Africa; whereas in East Africa, the disease is usually characterized by low mortality and morbidity (Hoare, 1972). However, there have been outbreaks of haemorrhagic disease caused by *T. vivax* in Kenya (Olubayo *et al.*, 1985). On the other hand *T. congolense* is most important in East Africa causing serious economic loss (Losos, 1986).

There is also a difference in host susceptibility to trypanosomes, which is best exemplified by the small West African breeds of cattle such as the N'Dama and West African short horn. These animals are less susceptible to the disease than zebu or the European breeds and are commonly found in endemic areas of trypanosomosis. They are referred to as 'trypanotolerant' breeds (Morrison *et al.*, 1981). There are few data on trypanosomosis in small ruminants, which have received much less attention from scientists and veterinary researchers compared with cattle. This may be due to their smaller size and lesser apparent importance, but may also be because they are less susceptible to trypanosomosis (Leak, 1999).

2.4. Pathogenesis

The pathogenesis of the disease caused by the African trypanosomes differs according to the species causing the infection. *T. vivax* and *T. congolense* appear to be strictly parasites of the blood plasma and produce tissue injury primarily by the anaemia associated with infection. *T. brucei* is more widely distributed in the host affecting the intercellular fluids of the body cavities (Robertson, 1976). Here, although anaemia occurs, it is considered to be of secondary importance to the extensive degenerative, necrotic and inflammatory changes. The pathogenesis of trypanosomosis includes: chancre, lymphadenopathy, anaemia, and tissue damage (Mulligan, 1970).

2.5. Clinical Features

Acute infections may be seen occasionally in all domestic animals notably with *T. vivax* in cattle leading to death after 1-3 weeks (Robertson, 1976). Regardless of the species of trypanosomes and the species of the host, the principal clinical signs are intermittent fever, an increasing degree of anaemia and progressive loss of condition and the disease is seen more commonly as a chronic form (Hall, 1985; Robertson, 1976). Infected animals are dull, have a staring lusterless coat, lose weight and are easily exhausted, lagging behind the herd, superficial lymph nodes are enlarged and prominent. Cattle infected with *T. vivax* often show photophobia and excessive lachrymation (Hall, 1985; Losos, 1986; Murray *et al.*, 1983; Robertson, 1976).

2.6. Pathology

Post-mortem examination of animals after acute trypanosomosis may show extensive small haemorrhages involving mucous and serous surfaces, areas of emphysema in the lungs and mild gastroenteritis. After more chronic infections, the carcass may be anaemic and emaciated with an enlarged spleen and lymph nodes (Robertson, 1976). Subcutaneous oedema and accumulations of pericardial and thoracic fluid containing trypanosomes are found particularly in horses and dogs infected with *T. brucei* (Seifert, 1996). Degenerative changes have been observed in testis and epididymis of sheep, goats, and cattle infected with *T. congolense*, *T. brucei* and *T. vivax* (Morgan, 1990). Pathological changes of pituitary and

adrenal glands with associated endocrine dysfunction have been observed in Boran (*Bos indicus*) cattle infected with *T. congolense* (Abebe, 1991).

2.7. Diagnosis

The history of the affected animals, the geographical incidence of the disease and the clinical signs of infection may arouse suspicions of trypanosomosis, but definitive diagnosis depends on the demonstration of trypanosomes of pathogenic species. In the field, reliance is placed on the examination of blood smears and occasionally, on lymph node biopsy (Mulligan, 1970; Losos, 1986; Robertson, 1976; Wilson, 1969). It can be accomplished through direct and /or indirect demonstration of the parasite. In spite of certain differences between the different species, direct demonstration of the parasite can generally be accomplished with a blood smear in the form of a wet film with or without concentration, e.g. by centrifugation in a haematocrit capillary (HCT), dark ground buffy coat technique (DG) or by separating trypanosomes from blood by anion-exchange chromatography (e.g. diethylaminoethyl cellulose), stained (Romanowski or Giemsa stain) blood smears as either thick or thin films; a lymph node biopsy may be useful (*T. vivax*) and transmission of blood to splenectomized calves or small laboratory animals. Since, depending on the species of trypanosomes, the pathogens are either found in the peripheral blood (*T. congolense*) or in the blood of the large vessels, collecting the blood has to be done accordingly (Seifert, 1996).

A large number of serological tests have been used to indicate infections with trypanosomes indirectly. However, few of them have found practical application. The most commonly used techniques are: immunofluorescence agglutination test (IFAT), enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA), card agglutination test (CAT) (*T. evansi*). With use of the mentioned serological techniques, the responsible *Trypanosoma* species may be identified within certain limitations (Seifert, 1996). Interpretation of the results is made difficult because antigens from different trypanosome species show considerable cross-reactivity, and antibodies persist for several months after trypanocidal drug treatment. The most promising test apparently is the ELISA. Species-specific monoclonal antibodies are currently being developed which should allow preparation of defined antigens for use in assays for antibody detection. In addition, monoclonal antibodies can be used in a sandwich-ELISA to detect trypanosomal antigens and thus the presence of active infections (Brown *et al.*, 1990). An

antigen-detection enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (antigen-ELISA) for trypanosomosis has been described (Nantulya and Lindquist, 1989) and is now available for the diagnosis of *T. vivax*, *T. congolense* and *T. brucei* infections in cattle. However, field evaluations of the test have given inconsistent results. Works done in various countries have shown unexpected results such as high prevalence of *T. brucei* infections which were not usual. Therefore, additional work is needed to discover and overcome the cause of those inconsistencies before the test can be used in the routine diagnosis of trypanosomosis (OIE, 2002). Specific circulating antigens can be detected in cattle from 8-14 days after infection, but within 14 days of treatment they are not longer detectable. Therefore, this test seems to be an important tool for controlling the efficiency of trypanocidal treatment, or whether or not a treatment has eliminated premunity (Nantulya, 1990; Nantulya *et al.*, 1989).

New tools developed by molecular biologists now make it possible to characterize trypanosomes both in the vectors and the hosts. The use of molecular biological tools, and in particular the Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR), introduced an exceptional sensitivity and especially the possibility of characterization at the specific or intra-specific level, which had been impossible previously (Solano *et al.*, 2000).

2.8. Control

Programs to control trypanosomosis have been in operation for nearly 100 years (ILRAD, 1984). The different options currently in use for trypanosomosis control, with particular reference as to their suitability for control and/or eradication, amenability to community participation, suitability for large scale use and a general appraisal of their advantages and disadvantages were briefly indicated as follows.

2.8.1. Parasite Control

In many parts of Africa where bovine trypanosomosis is a serious constraint to development, trypanocidal drug treatments constitute the principal method of controlling the disease. Despite the availability of effective vector control methods, it is very likely that in the foreseeable future, chemotherapy and chemoprophylaxis will continue to contribute significantly to the control of bovine trypanosomosis (Van den Bossche *et al.*, 1999). Certain compounds have specific effects on some enzyme system or block essential metabolic

pathways and thus kill trypanosomes or inhibit their development (Uilenberg, 1998). Drugs currently recommended for chemotherapy of animal trypanosomosis come from only three closely related groups. These are the phenanthridines, Isometamidium, and Homidium, and the aromatic diamidine, Diminazene. Only Isometamidium and homidium are recommended for prophylaxis (Peregrine, 1994). Chemoprophylaxis against bovine trypanosomosis has been in widespread use in tropical Africa for many years and Isometamidium chloride (Samorin[®]) has been marketed since 1961 as a prophylactic and therapeutic drug. Because of the cost of developing new trypanocides, and the relatively small commercial market, there have been no new drugs for about 30 years and there is little prospect of new ones being developed in the near future. The use of the same drugs over such a long period has resulted in the widespread development of drug-resistant strains of trypanosomes (Leak, 1999; Peregrine, 1994). In Ethiopia, presences of moderate to high prevalence of trypanosomes resistant to drugs were reported in different sites (Afework *et al.*, 2000; Codjia *et al.*, 1993; Tewolde *et al.*, 2004).

Resistance in trypanosomes to the available trypanocides is a constant and, in some areas, increasing threat. Drugs are not always available and their purchase consumes valuable foreign exchange (Erkelens *et al.*, 2000). Resistance to mainly chemoprophylactic trypanocides used in cattle has been reported at sites in west, central, east and southern Africa (Peregrine, 1994). The only alternative for circumventing the problem of drug resistance is the alternating application of products, which should not have a chemical relationship and thus are not subject to a cross-resistance. However, known prophylactic products do not fulfill this prerequisite, and therefore Diminazene aceturate offers itself as a secondary “sanative” trypanocide (Seifert, 1996). ‘Sanative pairs’ of drugs, by means of which induction of resistance to one drug can be eliminated by use of the other that can effectively be used (Seifert, 1996; Leak, 1999). In addition to this, it is widely accepted that the best way to delay the development of drug resistance is to reduce selection pressure on parasite populations. This is best achieved by using the correct dose, decreasing the treatment frequency and reducing the number of animals treated (Geerts and Holmes, 1998).

In view of the increasing prevalence of drug resistance, it is important to know how effective tsetse control would be in alleviating trypanosomosis in livestock in situations where trypanocidal drugs alone have become ineffective. Studies carried out at Ghibe, in Southwest Ethiopia, where a high prevalence of multiple drug resistance was detected (Codjia *et al.*,

1993), showed that tsetse control, in combination with trypanocidal drug use, can effectively reduce the apparent trypanosome prevalence in cattle (Leak *et al.*, 1993).

2.8.2. Vector Control

Vector control is the most reliable means of disease control since it removes the threat of trypanosomosis on a permanent basis. Many vector control methods including woody vegetation clearance to remove tsetse shelter, and large-scale application of insecticides by air (non-persistent and persistent formulations) and ground spraying (only persistent insecticides) and large wild life elimination (to deny tsetse its source of food i.e. blood), could be applied (SIT, 1996).

With regards to removal of vegetation in savannah areas, larviposition occurs in shaded places, so one control method is to remove trees and bushes so one is just left with grass. This method was used quite extensively with success in the past but is labour intensive and requires that there be re-planting of vegetation on an annual basis. The method fell into disuse with the advent of insecticides. However, removal of vegetation for firewood and urbanization has sometimes achieved the same effect. Also, killing of wild animals with the objective to remove reservoirs of infection in the wild animal populations was used extensively in the past (Robertson, 2004). However, these methods are now unpopular on environmental and on biodiversity grounds (SIT, 1996).

Persistent insecticides like organochlorine compounds were used until the mid 1970s during which environmental considerations led to a search for alternative insecticides. Ground spraying technique was used in the first attempts at control of tsetse with such insecticides. It was widely used in Nigeria, Uganda, Zambia, Zimbabwe, Kenya, and Botswana in different ways. Over 200,000km² of tsetse infested land was cleared by ground spraying in West Africa, mainly in Nigeria, and proved particularly successful and cost-effective. However, the technique was highly labour intensive, demands high level of supervision and allowed limited areas to be covered during the spraying season, difficult to cover inaccessible areas of possible tsetse resting sites, mechanical difficulties and high cost restricts their use (Leak, 1999).

In the 1970s and 1980s there were many advocates of spraying of tsetse habitats from the air, which apparently offered a high technology solution requiring little organization on the ground. Aerial spraying has, however, proved highly expensive, especially in foreign currency, and is also polluting (although less so than ground spraying) (Green, 1994). Nevertheless, aerial spraying is appropriate for the need to control tsetse over large areas and inaccessible sites infested. Apart from the use of aircraft to control tsetse successfully in South Africa in the 1940s, the technique was developed largely at the then Colonial Pesticides Research Institute in Tanzania in the early 1970s and large-scale control was carried out in Zambia, Zimbabwe, and Botswana in southern Africa, in Nigeria, and more recently in Somalia (Leak, 1999).

A family of techniques of tsetse control has recently been coming to the fore, which offers some important advantages over those previously practiced, the so-called 'bait systems'. Instead of the destruction or contamination of the environment of the tsetse, they depend on the attraction of the fly from its surroundings to some introduced object, which may be insecticidal, but which can if necessary be removed later; this may be an artifact (e.g. trap), or a live host, treated with insecticide. Although not new, several developments have come together over the last 10-15 years to render bait techniques more practicable, over a wider range of tsetse habitats, than ever before. Bait systems are inherently of low environmental impact, and are relatively low technology. It is also claimed that they are logistically less demanding than other approaches, and are capable of being adopted by local communities on self-help basis and seems increasingly likely that these techniques will form the basis for tsetse fly control in the short to medium term (Green, 1994).

Although attempts were made to control tsetse using targets impregnated with insecticide many years ago, successful application of this technique followed the production of the second-generation synthetic Pyrethroid insecticides (Deltamethrin, Cypermethrin, Cyfluthrin etc.) and the development of potent odour attractants in the last 10-20 years (Leak, 1999).

One of the latest methods of control is the Sterile Insect Technique (SIT) involving continuous release of sterile insects among the indigenous insect population at rates sufficient to result in a reduction in biotic potential of the target population. The mating of released sterile male insects with indigenous fertile female insects causes infertility in the target population (SIT, 1996).

2.8.3. Trypanotolerance

Innate resistance, or trypanotolerance, has been recognized since 1906 when the ability of indigenous taurine cattle in West Africa to survive and be productive under trypanosomosis risk was observed. Both acquired and innate resistance to African trypanosomosis can occur in cattle. The two most important trypanotolerant breeds are the *Bos taurus* subtypes, N'Dama and Baoule, whilst a degree of trypanotolerance has also been shown to occur in some *Bos indicus* zebu breeds, for example, the Orma Boran (Leak, 1999). The use of trypanotolerant cattle, had it not been limited in availability (account only for 17% of the total cattle population of the continent), was a potential alternative strategy for coping with the problem (Erkelens *et al.*, 2000).

2.8.4 Tsetse and Trypanosomosis in Ethiopia

Ethiopia, located in the horn of Africa between latitude from 3⁰ N to 15⁰ N of the equator and longitude from 33⁰ E to 48⁰ E, is an agrarian country (Tikubet, 1993) with an estimated human population of about 61 million and a land area of about 1.1 million km² (Bourn *et al.*, 2001). The rural agricultural sector makes up 85% of the total population and accounts for 95% of all crop and livestock production (Slingenbergh, 1992a). Climate and temperature are largely dependent on elevation, but rainfall patterns are highly variable. Three distinct seasons are recognized. From February to May, South-easterly winds usually bring moisture from the Indian Ocean to produce the short rains ('Belg' in Amharic). From the June to September, South-westerly and South-easterly winds carry winds from both the Atlantic and Indian oceans to produce the generally more reliable main rains ('Kiremt' in Amharic). The dry season ('Bega' in Amharic) lasts from October to January (Bourn *et al.*, 2001).

The livestock population of Ethiopia is estimated at 35.1 million heads of cattle, 22 million sheep, 16.95 million goats, 8 million equines and 1 million camels (FAO, 2000). Livestock contributes to the national export earnings, sources of food, cash income, energy and fertilizer (Ford *et al.*, 1976; Leak, 1999).

The devastating disease, trypanosomosis, had relatively little impact on the economy of the country or it had little consideration before 1960's. However, the magnitude of the problem has increased enormously and still it is increasing (NLDP, 1997). This is due to a number of

factors which include mainly, overpopulation and overstocking of the highlands which forces people to use the tsetse infested lowlands, the advance of tsetse flies into previously uninfested areas and the development of a widespread drug resistance by trypanosome parasites over the different types of trypanocidal drugs which are in use in Ethiopia (NLDP, 1997).

The potential area of tsetse infestation has been variously estimated at 66,000 km², based on a 1500m above sea level breeding limit (Ford *et al.*, 1976); 98,025 km², based on a 1600 m above sea level breeding limit (Langridge, 1976); and between 135,000 – 220,000 km², based on maximum dispersals up to 1700 m above sea level and 2000 m (Slingenbergh, 1992 a & b).

Five species of tsetse are found in Ethiopia: *Glossina longipennis*, *G. m. submorsitans*, *G. pallidipes*, *G. fuscipes fuscipes*, and *G. tachinoides*, and are confined to southern and southwestern regions of the country (Langridge, 1976). *G. m. submorsitans* is usually found in deciduous woodland and wooded grassland, often interspersed with evergreen vegetation. *G. pallidipes* is almost invariably associated with extensive and fragmented thickets, including evergreen species. *G. longipennis* is found in dry acacia, thorn-bush and is very active after sunset and before nightfall. *G. fuscipes fuscipes* and *G. tachinoides* inhabit gallery forest, thickets and fringing vegetation on streams, rivers and lake shores (Ford *et al.*, 1976; Langridge, 1976).

Four tsetse-borne trypanosome species, *T. congolense*, *T. vivax* and *T. brucei brucei* of livestock and *T. brucei rhodesiense* of humans have been identified and their distribution and frequency in hosts recorded. *T. vivax* was detected in almost all regions of the country below the 2500m above sea level altitudinal limits (Lamecha, 1994).

In tsetse-infested regions of Ethiopia, the problem of trypanosomosis is the main cause of decline in the number of cattle and particularly draught oxen (Abebe and Jobre, 1996; NLDP, 1997). Therefore, draught animals cannot be used for ploughing and other purposes, the situation forces the farmers to cultivate manually, as the majority of peasant farmers can not afford costly machinery. The end result is that only a small fraction of potential agricultural land is cultivated for crop production (Tikubet, 1993). Annual losses to the national economy are estimated to exceed US\$ 200 million due to mortality and morbidity of livestock, denied access to land resources and the costs of controlling the disease (Vreysen *et al.*, 1999).

3. MATERIALS AND METHODS

3.1. The study area.

The study area, Sokoru Woreda (District) situated in Jimma Zone, Oromia Region, southwest of Ethiopia (Fig.1), was selected based on intensity and magnitude of trypanosomosis problem, which is currently educing livestock losses particularly in the peasant associations where tsetse fly challenge is enormously high. The Woreda (District) is bounded to the North and Northeast by main Ghibe River and Gilgel Ghibe (Small Ghibe) river to the east, to the east with Yem Woreda (District), to the South and Southwest by Ommonada Woreda (District), and to the Western with Gilgel Ghibe River. The Gilgel Ghibe valley in the western and the big Ghibe River in the Northeast circles the Woreda (District) and the livestock which are in the adjacent peasant associations around the valley are extremely exposed to the tsetse flies infestation and most livestock found in the 13 peasant associations are attacked by tsetse transmitted trypanosomosis.

The peasant associations of Abelty, Adama, Adami-Bedeyi, Bede, Doyokobota, Ghibe, Guragebiftu, Kulata, Saqalga, Tirokunbbi, Tiroshashama and Yabu and Basso suffer from the disease. Among these the two peasant associations Abelty and Tiroshashama were selected as the study sites because of logistic accessibility. These two study sites are found adjacent to the Gilgel Ghibe valleys.




Figure 1: Map of Ethiopia and Sokoru Woreda

3.1.1. Climate

Sokoru has mostly moist, low, mid and high altitude type of climate. Out of the total land cover 20% is lowland (Kolla), 50% midland (Weyinadega) and 30 % highland (Dega). The climate is characterized by wet and dry seasons with ample rainfall in the rainy seasons ranging from 800 to 1450 mm; average annual temperature 20⁰C - 20⁰C and altitude ranging from 900-2300 m.a.s. The climate during the whole year is conducive for agricultural practices.

3.1.2. Vegetation

The coverage of vegetation in the Woreda varies from the highland to the low land. In the high land there are naturally grow and artificially planted dense forests distributed in scattered condition including shrubs and bushes. Open woodland forest and riverine forest along the river banks mostly covers the area in the mid altitude and open wood grass land savanna is widely available in the ecosystem. But deforestation and overgrazing are the major constraints, which degrade soil fertility status of the Woreda.

3.1.3. Agriculture

Agriculture is the most important economic sector in the Woreda (District). About 95% of the farmers practice mixed farming condition on labour-intensive base. Major crops produced in the district are teff, maize, sorghum, millet, wheat, barely, horse bean, pea and nug, root crops like taro, potato, sweat potato, false banana and fruit plants such as banana, sugar cane, and mango are cultivated from the high land to the low land.

3.1.4. Animal husbandry

Sokoru has about 55,366 heads of livestock contributing significant economic importance being one of the major categories of production system. Animal rearing plays a vital role in providing traction power, generating cash income and consumption for the household.

3.1.5. Disease situation in the Woreda (District)

Disease such as anthrax, bovine pasteurellosis, lumpy skin disease, gastrointestinal parasites, trypanosomosis, fasciolosis, tick infestation and other affect the livestock population of the Woreda. Above all vector-borne trypanosomosis particularly tsetse-transmitted trypanosomosis is the most prevalent disease among cattle population.

3.2. Study Population

The livestock population of the Sokoru Woreda (District) is 55,366 with high population of cattle (41,637), followed by sheep and goats (11,732), equines (1997) and poultry (54,000). Smallholder farmers in the study site manage cattle. The study of bovine trypanosomosis was focused on the cattle.

3.3. Study Design

The study was based on questionnaire survey, vector (entomological) survey, parasitological studies and field assessment of trypanocidal drug resistance (Chart.1). It was an epidemiological cross-sectional study covering selected sites of the Woreda with midland agro-ecological zones in two seasons of the year. The area was stratified in to altitude and vegetation type.

3.3.1. Questionnaire Survey

To assess the perception of farmers on the occurrence of tsetse and trypanosomosis, livestock constraints, socio-economic status, herd composition, use and source of trypanocidal drugs as well as delivery of the drug for treating their animals and other control methods of trypanosomosis and tsetse fly, a questionnaire survey was undertaken. A total of 20 farmers was selected randomly in the study area for this purpose. The questions used during the interview have been shown in Annex 1.

3.3.2. Entomological Survey

To assess the apparent density, species of tsetse fly and other biting flies in relation to season, altitude levels, trap and vegetation types, sampling was done in selected sites of the study area. The altitude levels and vegetation types were recorded during the sampling period.


3.3.2.1. Collection of tsetse and other biting flies

Entomological data was collected twice during the study period, in the late rainy season and during the dry period. The flies were caught with traps baited with acetone and cow urine (Brightwell *et al.*, 1991). In the selected sites of the study area 64 traps, 24 (12 in each village) in late rainy season and 40 (20 in each village) in dry season were deployed before sunrise in the morning and kept in the position for 72 hours.

The different flies that were caught in each trap were counted, identified and analyzed. The species of tsetse fly was identified based on the characteristic morphology (Langridge, 1976; Ford *et al.*, 1976, Leak *et al.*, 1993) and for other biting flies according to their morphological characteristics such as size, colour, wing venation structure and proboscis at the genus level (Walle and Shearer, 1997). Average aging of tsetse was done by categorizing the degree of wear of wings on scales of 1-6 using wing fray method described by Jackson (1946) and Challier (1965). Mean wing fray was calculated as the sum of each category total divided by the sum of fly number for each category and find the corresponding number from tables. Observing the posterior end of the ventral aspect of the abdomen by hand lenses did sexing. As a result male flies could be easily identified by enlarged hypophygium in the posterior ventral part of the abdomen. The apparent density of tsetse fly was expressed as the number of tsetse catch/trap according to Leak *et al.*, 1993.

3.3.2. 2. Parasitological Survey

To determine the seasonal prevalence of trypanosomosis and to assess the risk factors associated with trypanosomosis snapshot cross-sectional studies were conducted twice during the study period, in the late rainy season and during dry season in two PAs of the study area.



3.3.2.3. Sampling Method and Sample Size Determination

Cluster sampling method was sampling strategy (Martin *et al.*, 1987) and herds were considered as clusters. The sample size was determined using Winepiscopes 2.0: improved epidemiological software for veterinary medicine based on the expected prevalence rate of 20% and absolute desired precision of 5% at confidence level of 95%. As a result, a total of 420 animals were to be sampled (Thrusfield, 1995). But in case of cluster sampling the subjects were not independent and hence larger sample was required. Therefore, as a rule of thumb double the number of animals required for simple random sample was needed for cluster sampling (Martin *et al.*, 1987). For the overall optimum sample of the study 515 samples were taken during the late rainy season and in the dry season.

3.3.2.4. Sample Collection and Parasitological Examination

Paired blood samples were collected from auricular vein of each animal using two haematocrite capillary tubes filling 3/4 of the height and sealed with Cristaseal. The capillaries were also used to measure the PCV values for the determination of anaemia and comparison of infected animals with non-infected animals. The capillary tube was cut 1mm below the buffy coat to include the top layer of red cells. The content of the capillary tube was then expressed onto a clean slide, mixed and covered with a 22 x 22 mm cover slip. Then the slide was examined for trypanosomes based on the type of movement in the microscopic field. Confirmation of trypanosome species by morphological characteristics was done after staining with Giemsa by examination with oil immersion microscopy with 100x power of magnifications (Murray *et al.*, 1977). During sampling age, sex, herd number, PA, Woreda, altitude and previous history of treatment with trypanocidal drugs were recorded.

3.3.2.5. Assessment of Trypanocidal Drug Efficacy (Isometamidium block treatment study)

A total of 100 animals, 50 from each village, was selected with a simple random method. All these animals were treated with Diminazene aceturate at a dose rate of 7mg/kg body weight to eliminate the existing trypanosome infection (blanket treatment) while parasitological examination was done to determine the initial prevalence of trypanosomosis. Cattle of each village were then randomized into ISMM treatment group with 25 animals and control

(sentinel) group with 25 animals following the methods proposed by Eisler *et al.* (2001) and Rowlands (2001). Animals in each group were ear tagged using yellow plastic tags, which allowed easy identification of animals during each visit for parasitological examination.

After two weeks of the blanket treatment (day 0), one group was treated with ISMM at a dose rate of 1mg/kg body weight and the other group was left as untreated control group. Body infection recurrence rate was defined as the proportion of cattle, which were found infected with same species of trypanosome among the total number of animals that were treated with Diminazene aceturate before two weeks.

Body weight of each animal was estimated using heart girth measuring tape (Arora *et al.*, 1981). Blood examination of animals for trypanosome infection was conducted every two weeks starting from day of blanket treatment up to 12 weeks following ISMM block treatment i.e. day 0, 14, 28, 42, 56, 70 and 84. Date of treatment, dosage of trypanocidal drugs, PCV values and trypanosome infections were recorded during each examination. In each group, cattle infected with trypanosomes were treated with Diminazene aceturate at a dose rate of 7mg/kg body weight (Chart 2)

Interpretation of Survival Data (Eisler *et al.*, 2001)

The efficacy of Diminazene aceturate treatment was assessed on the basis of parasitaemia followed within two weeks after treatment of cattle with drug at a dose rate of 7mg/kg body weight. To analyze data on the efficacy of Diminazene aceturate, trypanosome incidence rate and trypanosome infection recurrence (Rowlands *et al.*, 2001) at each village was compared using Fisher's exact test (Stata Corporation, 2000).

3.4. Data analysis

For the management, analysis and interpretation of data collected based on the study methodology statistical software was employed. Stata version 7.0 Software and Excel were used. The following parameters were analyzed: The apparent fly catches in relation to variables measured (season, altitude level, and vegetation and trap types) were analyzed using Kruskal Wallis test. The prevalence of trypanosomosis in different variables (altitude levels, season, sex and age) was compared by χ^2 -test. A multivariate computation was conducted using logistic regression analysis in order to establish the effects of different risk factors (age,

sex, altitude and season) compared with odds ratio for each risk factor. Student's t-test was also employed to compare the mean PCV of the parasitaemic and aparasitaemic animals and the effect of altitude on PCV values in the two seasons.

Mean trypanosome prevalence was calculated to compare study sites during 8 weeks period as the mean of the two weekly trypanosome prevalence during 0-56 days. Mean PCV and 95% confidence interval was used to assess for any change in PCV values of the ISMM treated and control groups of cattle over 8 weeks in each village. Data of questionnaire was summarized using frequency distribution (parentages). To evaluate any relation between different parameters correlation slope and r-value have been determined. Significant level was determined at $P < 0.05$ for all statistical results.




Chart 1: The study design




Chart 2: Plan of work for the study of trypanocidal drug efficacy on naturally infected cattle on the field .

4. RESULTS

4.1. Questionnaire Survey

In the first week of September, 2005 the questionnaire survey was carried out to assess the magnitude and situation of trypanosomosis particularly in Abelty and Tiroshashama villages of Sokoru Woreda district, Southwest Ethiopia. During questionnaire survey the response was 100% in both sites.

A total of twenty elder dwellers, ten representatives (members) of peasant associations from each of Abelty and Tiroshashama were interviewed. They have been asked about managerial activities of their livestock, herd composition, the over all disease situation, opportunity of disease prevention, treatment and socio-economics of livestock production.

4.1.2. Livestock Production



According to the responders free grazing of livestock constituted about 99%, which was used as the major source of feed. Cattle used to move about 1.5 – 5 kms from Abelty, 5-7 kms from Tiroshashama living centers to the pasture and watering yards. The water needed for livestock of Abelty village was usually collected from ponds built by GTZ and from small stream found along the savannah grassland where as at Tiroshashama, livestock used to drink water from Kerker River and small streams found near by the permanent pasture. The pasture was composed of open woodland and vast grass fields. The interviewed farmers of both the villages agreed that the livestock feed was scarce in dry season from January to May and plenty available in wet season from June to December.

4.1.3. Disease Situation

All of the interviewed livestock owners (100%) of both peasant associations claimed trypanosomosis (Gendi) is a serious livestock disease, which causes mortality and lowers productivity. Trypanosomosis was ranked as the first priority disease among other diseases followed by blackleg, pasteurolosis, internal parasites, external parasites, anthrax and

pneumonia (Fig. 2). The most affected livestock species as mentioned by farmers were cattle. The villagers are unable to keep equines, due to adverse risk of trypanosomosis but few people at Abely purchase donkeys from other places and tether them at home and exploit them for transporting water from ponds to dwelling center.




Figure 2: Priority of livestock diseases of economic importance according to the respondents in Abely and Tiroshashama PAs, Sokoru district, Southwest, Ethiopia.




Figure 3: The signs of trypanosomosis in cattle reported by interviewed persons at Abelty and Tiroshashama villages in Sokoru district, Southwest Ethiopia.

The interviewed farmers clearly pointed out the main syndrome of trypanosomosis as emaciation, geophagia (soil eating), constipation, abortion, loss of appetite, decreased milk, weakness, salivation and diarrhea and loss of capacity of their ploughing oxen (Figure 3).

The disease affects their livestock all year round even though they look better during the rainy seasons. About 80% of the responders agreed that the trypanosomosis disease casualty was known to occur long ago at Abelity in 1966 and at Tiroshashama area in 1978. Concerning the disease situation at present time the interviewed people of Abelty and Tiroshashama villages have expressed their different views. According to the Tiroshashama group trypanosomosis was getting worse & occurred 5% in rainy season, 15% in dry season and 10% all year round, where as the Abelty group agreed that the disease risk elevated 80% in wet season and 20% in dry season. On other hand 90% of the interviewed farmers of both PAs knew that biting flies transmit trypanosomosis. The respondents agreed that the fly abundance was higher in rainy season than dry season in Abelty and Tiroshashama villages.

Even though this was the case the interviewed persons were unable to differentiate tsetse fly from other fly species.

4.1.4. Treatment Opportunities Against Trypanosomosis

Fifty per cent of interviewed respondents treated their animals against trypanosomosis themselves. Twenty per cent of them obtained service from Government veterinary clinics and 30% by drug smugglers (non professionals who trade trypanocidals illegally and sale to the surrounding farmers) (Figure 4).




Figure 4: Personnel concerned in the treatment of trypanosomosis at Abelty and Tiroshashama PAs indicated by questionnaire results.

Among farmers of both PAs 90% used trypanocides to treat sick animals and some 10% of responders claimed that they practiced traditional medicine against trypanosomosis but fail to obtain satisfactory results to cure their livestock. The farmers using the trypanocides disclosed that trypanocidal drugs were applied only to sick animals. Further more all of interviewed livestock keepers appealed that the risk of trypanosomosis was advancing its horizon to the adjacent and near by peasant associations.

According to the interview, among different trypanocides Diminazene aceturate and Isometamedium were widely used. Diminazene constitutes 90% and Isometamedium hydrochloride 10% and none of the other trypanocides were used at both villages. Concerning the dose of drugs 60% of respondents treated their livestock with correct dose (one sachet for 10 adult cattle) of Isometamidium chloride (Trypamidium) whereas 25% used underdose (one sachet for 20 adults) and 15% had no idea about the dose of drugs.

Regarding the drug Diminazene aceturate, 70% of the farmers used the appropriate dose (one sachet of 1.05g to one adult animal), 20% treated with underdose (one sachet for more than 2 adults) and 10% had no idea about the dose (Fig.5).




Figure 5: Dosage of trypanocidal drugs used by farmers as indicated during questionnaire inspection at Abelty and Tiroshashama villages in Southwest Ethiopia.

At both villages 65% of responders stated that they have been using trypanocidals for the past 25 years at intervals of 2-4 months. Regarding the frequency of treatment of trypanosomosis, 38.4%, 30.7%, 23.1% and 7.7% of farmers responded from both the villages rendered 4, 6, 5 and 3 times treatment in the year time respectively (Figure 6). The farmers of both groups claimed 80% cure and 20% relapses of the disease after treatment.




Figure 6: Frequency of treatments rendered in the year time to treat trypanosomosis as verified in questionnaire survey at Abelty & Tiroshashama sites, Sokoru Woreda, Southwest Ethiopia.

4.1.5. Socio-economic Practice

The interviews revealed that both of the farmer associations practiced crop-livestock related farming systems, which generated income for livelihood. Furthermore livestock sector provided power, milk and meat for the house hold consumption.

4.2. Cross sectional Study

4.2.1. Entomological Survey

During entomological survey two species of tsetse flies were identified. These were *Glossina morsitans submorsitans* and *Glossina pallidipes*. A total of 10 tsetse flies were caught and identified at Abelty and Tiroshashama at suspected fly habitat. In late rainy season six females and one male of *Glossina morsitans submositans* at Abelty and one female of *Glossina m. submoristans* at Tiroshashama were found respectively. In dry season, one female of *G.m. submorsitans* and one female of *G. pallidipes* were found from Tiroshashama village and no fly found in Abelty village (Table 1 and Figure 7).

The mean catch of *G. m. submorsitans* in late rainy season at Abelty was 0.194 flies/ trap /day (95% CI= 0.085- 0.303) whereas 0.028 fly/trap/day (CI=- 0.03, 089) at Tiroshashama village. During dry season the mean catch of *G.m.submositans* and *G.pallidipes* at Tiroshashama were 0.017 flies/trap/day (95% CI -.017, .052) whereas no tsetse fly was found at Abelty

village. The mean catches of *G.m.submorsitans* showed significant difference ($\chi^2=1.9$, $P<0.01$) between seasons.

During late rainy season at both sites and in dry season at Tiroshashama very high catches of *Stomoxys* spp. were recorded (Table 1).

Table 1: Tsetse and other fly catches in late and dry seasons at Abelty and Tiroshashama villages in Sokoru district, Southwest Ethiopia.

Village	Altitude Range (MAS)	Season	Types of flies				Flies / trap/day	Remark
			Species	Sex		Total		
				F	M			
Abelty	1,353-1,475	Late rainy	<i>G. m. submorsitans</i>	6	1	7	0.194	Traps positioned at grazing and watering points
			<i>G. pallidipes</i>	-	-	-	-	
			<i>Stomoxys</i> spp.	-	-	357	9.92	
			<i>Tabanus</i> spp.	-	-	2	0.057	
			<i>Heamatopota</i> spp.	-	-	2	0.057	
		Dry season	<i>G. m. submoristans</i>	-	-	-	-	
			<i>G. pallidipes</i>	-	-	-	-	
			<i>Stomoxys</i> spp.	-	-	-	-	
			<i>Tabanus</i> spp.	-	-	-	-	
			<i>Heamatopota</i> spp.	-	-	-	-	
Tiroshashama	1,555-1,629	Late rainy	<i>G. m. submorsitans</i>	1	-	1	0.028	
			<i>G. pallidipes</i>	-	-	-	-	
			<i>Stomoxys</i> spp.	-	-	39	1.08	
			<i>Tabanus</i> spp.	-	-	1	0.028	
			<i>Heamatopota</i> spp.	-	-	1	0.028	
		Dry	<i>G. m. submorsitans</i>	1	-	1	0.017	
			<i>G.pallidipes</i>	1	-	1	0.017	
			<i>Stomoxys</i> spp.	-	-	200	3.33	
			<i>Tabanus</i> spp.	-	-	-	-	
			<i>Heamatopota</i> spp.	-	-	-	-	




Figure 7: Species of tsetse flies found in different seasons at Abelty & Tiroshashama village in Sokoru district of Southwest Ethiopia.

4.2.2. Parasitological Findings

To assess the prevalence of trypanosome infection in cattle in two villages, cattle were registered and examined (Table 2) which indicated the presence of trypanosome species in both villages. A total of 41 trypanosome infections were recorded at both sites of the study. Out of 180 cattle examined in early November 2005 (the late rainy season), 13 (7.22%) were infected with trypanosomes and 335 animals examined in dry season (February 2006) showed 28 (8.36%) animals to have trypanosome infection (Photographs in Annex 2).

However the variation showed significant difference between seasons and infection ($P < 0.05$, $CI = 6.21 - 7.8$) and $CI = 7.42 - 9.31$ respectively.

Table 2: Prevalence of infection in cattle in different seasons and 95% confidence interval at Abelty and Tiroshashama villages Sokoru district of Southwest Ethiopia.

Study site	Season	No of cattle examined	Total positive	Trypanosome prevalence rate (%)	95 % CI
Abelty	Late rainy	116	10	8.62	7.65 - 9.6
Tiroshashama	Late rainy	64	3	4.69	4.1 - 5.14
Total		180	13	7.22	
Abelty	Dry	150	8	5.33	4.73 - 5.93
Tiroshashama	Dry	185	20	10.81	9.6- 12.03
Total		335	28	8.36	

There are significant differences ($P < 0.05$) in abundance of trypanosome between species and the variation of seasonal distribution occurred at both villages. Out of 41 total infected cattle 60.98% was due to *T. congolense*, 36.58% due to *T. vivax* and mixed infection of *T. congolense* & *T. vivax* accounted for 2.44 % (Table 3).

Table 3: The abundance and seasonal distribution of trypanosome species at Abelty and Tiroshashama villages in Sokoru district of Southwest Ethiopia.

Season	Study site	No. Cattle examined	Rate of infections of trypanosome species (%)		
			<i>T. congolense</i>	<i>T. vivax</i>	Mixed infection <i>T.c</i> & <i>T.v</i>
Late rainy	Abelty	116	8 (80%)	1 (10%)	1 (10%)
	Tiroshashama	64	3 (100%)	0	0
Dry	Abelty	150	6 (75%)	2 (25%)	0
	Tiroshashama	185	8 (40%)	12 (60%)	0
Late rainy and dry	Total mean	515	25 (60.98%)	15 (36.58%)	1 (2.44%)

Concerning the comparison of trypanosome infections between sexes of host, there was significant difference ($P = < 0.05$) in infection, 68.29% detected in male and 31.71% observed in female (Table 4).

Table 4: Trypanosome infections of cattle, illustrated in terms of sex difference in Abelty and Tiroshashama village, Southwest Ethiopia.

Season	No. of cattle of either sex with trypanosome infection				Total at both villages		Seasonal over all infection %
	Abelty		Tiroshashama		Female	Male	
	Female	Male	Female	Male			Female
Late rainy	2	8	1	2	3	10	31.71
Dry	1	7	9	11	10	18	68.29
Total	3	15	10	13	13	28	
Infection%					31.71	68.29	100

4.2.3. Prevalence of Trypanosomes according to Age and Sex of Animals

Out of 515 samples examined 31 (6.02%) were young male cattle, 43 (8.35%) young female cattle and 441 (85.63%) were adult animals.

Regarding the infection rate out of 41 confirmed parasitaemic cattle 23 (56.1%) were infected with *T. congolense*. Out of these 14 (34.15%) were adult males, 4 (9.76%) were young males and 5 (12.2%) were adult females.

On other hand out of 41 infected animals 18 (44%) were infected with *T. vivax*. Of these 10 (24.4%) were adult males, 6 (14.63%) adult females and 2 (4.88%) young females (Table 5 and Figure 8).

The proportion of *T. congolense* infection was higher in adult male (34.15%) and lower in adult female (12.2%). In the same way the *T. vivax* infection was also higher in adult male cattle which constituted 24.4% and lower in adult female animals with 14.63% infection.

The proportion of *T. congolense* infection in young male animals was 9.6%. The infection of *T. vivax* was 4.9% in young females. None of the young females were infected with *T. congolense* and none of the young male cattle were infected with *T. vivax*. The prevalence rate has been found to increase with the age of animal. There was a significant difference ($\chi^2 = 9.8$, $df = 1$, $P < 0.002$) in trypanosome infection between different age groups.

Comparison of trypanosome infection groups between males and females were made to analyze if the infection in males was aggravated or not. The prevalence of trypanosome infection was found to be higher in males with 68.29% (95%CI =57 -79) infection than females with 31.71% (95% CI =26.2-37.14).

Table 5: Different types of trypanosome infection in different age and sex group at Abelty and Tiroshashama villages of Sokoru district, Southwest Ethiopia.

Age group	<i>T. congolense</i> infection				<i>T. vivax</i> infection				Total
	Adult male	Adult female	Young male	Young female	Adult male	Adult female	Young male	Young female	
No. of animals infected	14	5	4	-	10	6	-	2	41
% of animals infected	34.15	12.2	9.76	-	24.39	14.63	-	4.88	
Total	23 (56.1%)				18 (43.9%)				




Figure 8: Trypanosome infections in cattle by age & sex groups at Abelty and Tiroshashama villages, Sokoru district Southwest Ethiopia.

4.2.4. Infection Risk Factor

Logistic regression analysis was used to obtain the degree of infections among risk factors. In relation to multivariate analysis the odds ratio of the risk of trypanosomosis of cattle with different age groups in late rainy season (early November) was 1.16 times lower than that of dry season (February). There was a significant difference ($P < 0.05$) between odds ratio of late rainy and dry seasons.

4.3. Hematological Findings

The over all mean PCV (%) value of the total number of cattle at Abelty and Tiroshashama villages was 24.33% (95% CI= 24.11- 24.57.). The frequency distribution of the PCV values of the total examined animals is illustrated in figure 9.




Figure 9: Frequency distribution of PCV (%) values of the total herds in two villages of Sokoru district (Abelty & Tiroshashama), Southwest Ethiopia

Out of tested cattle 68.91% had PCV value less than 26% and 31.09% had PCV greater or equal to 26% (Table 6). Kruskal – Wallis chi-square test showed that there was no significant difference ($\chi^2 = 0.317$, $P > 0.05$) between PCV values of cattle tested during different seasons.

Table 6: Mean PCV (%) results of sampled cattle during cross sectional study and mean PCV (%) value of cattle which had PCV (%) value of $\geq 26\%$ and $< 26\%$ in Sokoru district, Abelty & Tiroshashama villages of Southwest Ethiopia.

Village	No of cattle examined	Season	Mean PCV (%)	% Cattle With PCV (%) <26	% Cattle With PCV (%) >26
Abelty	118	Late rainy	24.03	81.36	18.64
Tiroshashama	64	Late rainy	26.03	46.88	53.12
Abelty	150	Dry	23.34	73.33	26.67
Tiroshashama	185	Dry	23.91	74.05	25.95
Mean			24.33	68.91	31.1

The mean PCV of parasitaemic (22.22%; CI =22.02-22.44) cattle was significantly lower ($P < 0.05$, t-test) than the mean PCV (%) of aparasitaemic (24.33 %; CI= 24.11-24.57) cattle (Figure 10 and Table 7). There was no significant difference in the mean PCV between age and sex groups ($P > 0.05$). The PCV (%) values of the parasitaemic cattle ranged from 16 to 30 (Figure 11).

Table 7: Mean PCV (%) of aparasitaemic and parasitaemic cattle observed during cross sectional study at Abelty and Tiroshashama villages, Sokoru district, Southwest Ethiopia.

Study site	Season	No. cattle examined	No. Aparasi-taemic cattle	No. Parasitaemic cattle	Mean PCV (%) of aparasi-taemic Animals	Mean PCV (%) of parasitaemic Animals	SE
Abelty	Late rainy	116	106	10	24.03	22.78	0.41
Tiroshashama	Late rainy	64	61	3	26.03	23.0	0.54
Abelty	Dry	150	142	8	23.34	21.13	0.35
Tiroshashama	Dry	185	165	20	23.91	21.95	0.29
Total		515	474	41	24.33	22.22	0.39




Figure10: Mean PCV % values of cattle in two study sites and seasons, Sokoru district, Southwest Ethiopia.




Figure 11: Frequency distribution of PCV (%) of parasitaemic cattle at Abelty and Tiroshashama villages, Sokoru district Southwest Ethiopia

The prevalence of trypanosome infection and the PCV values showed a negative correlation with low mean PCV value among population with high trypanosome infection ($r = 0.941$) (Figure 12).




Figure 12: The correlation between the prevalence (%) of trypanosome infection and mean PCV (%) value of examined cattle populations.

4.4. Longitudinal Studies

4.4.1. Assessment of Trypanocidal Drug Efficacy

To evaluate the efficacy of trypanocidals, which are widely in use in two villages of Abelty and Tiroshashama, 100 local zebu cows, 50 from each site, 25 for treatment group and 25 for control, were selected and examined .

The cross sectional study revealed the prevalence rate of trypanosome infection 7.22% in late rainy season in the eve of blanket treatment with Diminazene at both sites (Table 2).

The proportion of trypanosome species (*T. congolense*, *T. vivax* and mixed infections) were identified prior to the treatment with the help of parasitological procedures as indicated in cross sectional study (Table 3).

Fourteen days before the beginning of Isometamidium block treatment study a total of ten infections at Abelty and three trypanosome infection at Tiroshashama village were diagnosed respectively (Tables 8 and 9). Out of total infection *T. congolense* was the most prevalent trypanosome (84.6%). The prevalence of *T. vivax* and mixed infections constituted 7.8% each at Abelty and none at Tiroshashama.

At day zero (14 days after blanket treatment) of the study no trypanosome infection was diagnosed at any of the two villages.

During the 12 weeks of monitoring a total of 16 trypanosome infections was recorded at both sites. Trypanosome infection commenced at day 56, out of which 2 cases of *T. congolense* at Abelty, and one case with *T. congolense* and one case with *T. vivax* infection at Tiroshashama were registered. At day 70 a total of 7 infections recorded of which 4 cases of *T. congolense* and 1 case of *T. vivax* accounted at Abelty where as 2 cases of *T. congolense* registered at Tiroshashama village. In the same way at day 84 two animals with *T. congolense* and one with *T. vivax* occurred at Abelty and one case of *T. congolense* and one case of *T. vivax* registered at Tiroshashama village (Tables 8 and 9). During the 12 weeks of observations no animal showed repeated infection with trypanosome. All cases of relapses of trypanosome infections were treated with 7.0 mg Diminazene aceturate/ kg bw of which *T. congolense* and *T. vivax* constituted for 75% and 25% respectively.

Table 8: Isometamidium chloride efficacies treated at a dose of 1mg/kg/bw in naturally trypanosome infected local cattle at Abilty village, Sokoru district, Southwest Ethiopia.

Trypanosome species	No. infections in 50 cattle 2 weeks before treatment	No. infections in 25 post isometamidium treated cattle in Abilty village							Total infection
		0	14	28	42	56	70	84	
<i>T. Congolense</i>	8	-	-	-	-	2	4	2	8
<i>T. vivax</i>	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	2
Mixed(<i>T.c</i> & <i>T.v</i>)	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total						2	5	3	
% Parasitaemic						(8%)	(20%)	(12%)	
95% CI						1.1-3.6	4.25-6.56.	1.75-4.59	

Table 9: Isometamidium chloride efficacy, treated at a dose of 1mg/kg bw in naturally trypanosome infected local cattle, at Tiroshashama village, Sokoru district, Southwest Ethiopia

Trypanosome species	No. infections in 50 cattle 2 weeks before treatment	No. infections in 25 post isometamidium treated cattle in Tiroshashama village							Total infection
		0	14	28	42	56	70	84	
<i>T.congolense</i>	3	-	-	-	-	1	2	1	4
<i>T. vivax</i>	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	1	2
Mixed(<i>T.c</i> & <i>T.v</i>)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total						2	2	2	6
% Parasitaemic						8%	8%	8%	
95% CI						1.1-3.06	1.1-3.06	1.1-3.06	



During longitudinal study of Isometamidium chloride block treatment 50 animals, 25 cattle from each of the study site were ear tagged and left untreated for control purposes and monitored for infection of trypanosome for the duration of 12 weeks. Blood samples were taken every two weeks from auricular vein and examined for the presence of trypanosome infection. During the study period all together 28 cases of trypanosome infection were diagnosed from day 14 up to day 84, out of which *T. congolense* constituted 67.86 % and *T. vivax* 32.14 % respectively (Table 10). All cases of break through infection (relapses) were treated with Diminazene aceturate with the dose rate of 7 mg /kg bw. In case of the cattle treated with Isometamidium chloride block treatment the relapses or break through infection were 16 animals, which constituted 5.5 % through out of the 300 (50 animals x 6 examination days) monitored cattle during 12 weeks period. In the existing situation Isometamidium untreated herds were more victimized to trypanosome infections than the treated group.

Table 10 : Trypanosome infection identified in control (untreated) groups of animals during longitudinal study at Abelty and Tiroshashama.

Study Site	Species Of trypanosome	No.of Cattle examined	Day 14	Day 28	Day 42	Day 56	Day 70	Day 84	Total
Abelty	<i>T. congolense</i>	25	2	1	2	2	2	1	10
	<i>T. vivax</i>		0	1	1	1	1	1	5
Tiroshaashama	<i>T. congolense</i>	25	1	1	0	1	1	5	9
	<i>T. vivax</i>		0	0	1	1	0	2	4
Total infection			3	3	4	5	4	9	28
Percent			6%	6%	8%	10%	8%	18%	

4.4.2. PCV Findings.

During the efficacy study of Isometamidium chloride treated cattle at both sites there were significant ($P<0.05$) increases in the mean PCV values. However the development of gradual relapses of infections was followed by the decline of haematocrit values from day 56 onwards of post-Isometamidium treatments at both villages (Tables 11 & 12).

Table 11: Mean PCV (%) values after Isometamidium treatment and mean PCV (%) of control group at Abely, Sokoru district, Southwest Ethiopia.

Days after treatment	No of examined animals		Mean PCV (%)		95% CI
	Treated	Control	Treatment	Control	
0	25	25	25.56	24.72	23.22- 27.9
14	25	25	28.76	26.96	25.48-31.23
28	25	25	29.84	28.4	28.05-31.62
42	25	25	29.72	26.48	28.61-30.82
56	25	25	27.72	25.92	26.38-28.73
70	25	25	25	24.56	24.62-26.50
84	25	25	23.5	22.96	23.35-25.61

Table 12: Mean PCV (%) values after Isometamidium treatment and mean PCV (%) of control group at Tiroshashama, Sokoru district, Southwest Ethiopia.

Days after treatment	No of examined animals		Mean PCV (%)		95% CI
	Treated	Control	Treatment	Control	
0	25	25	23.32	23.76	22.07- 24.57
14	25	25	24.48	25.28	23.06- 25.89
28	25	25	26.28	26.60	25.41-27.15
42	25	25	27.28	25.68	25.12-29.04
56	25	25	29.29	28.24	27.83- 32.10
70	25	25	26.04	27.72	24.50-27.58
84	25	25	22.16	25.68	20.10-24.21

5. DISCUSSION

Even though various conventional diseases induce livestock mortality and result economic losses in Ethiopia, tsetse transmitted trypanosomosis has crucial effect which is becoming unchallengeable to treat. Vector control actions as a strategy option are not widely implemented in Ethiopia (NTTICC, 1996). For this very reason trypanosomosis disease control methods basically relayed on the widely use of curative and prophylactic drugs for more than three decades.

The main objective of this study was to identify the prevalence and magnitude of trypanosomosis in the Sokoru district, to investigate drug efficacy of trypanocidals that are currently and widely in use. The study was aimed to provide a concrete analysis and magnitude of the disease in the Woreda, to provide base line data for future studies and to point out the direction of essential control options of trypanosomosis challenge based on tangible circumstance. The questionnaire survey disclosed that the disease has been prevailing since long and 99% of the responders appealed that among other diseases, trypanosomosis is a priority and a serious constraint which retard livestock production, cause mortality, decline traction power of their oxen and induce economic losses. In questionnaire survey in selected sites of FITCA project areas Afework (1998) and Tewolde (2001) reported trypanosomosis to be the most important livestock disease in the area of Metekel district, Northwest Ethiopia. In the present survey the interview revealed a similar statement.

About 50% of interviewed farmers claimed that they used to treat their livestock themselves with Diminazene aceturate and Isometamidium chloride against trypanosomosis. This condition points out the severity of the disease in rearing animals in the study areas. However, 40% and 30% of the interviewed farmers claimed that they used underdoses and unknown doses of trypanocidals respectively. The interviewed farmers disclosed that trypanocidal drugs were applied only to sick animals. Vander Boche and Tewolde (2001) found the similar results during questionnaire survey in Zambia and FITCA project sites in Ethiopia. In West Africa Bauer (2001) found that 90% of animal handlers used the trypanocidal drugs with out confirmed diagnosis.

In the present observations 80% of the respondents claimed that animals were treated by farmers themselves and unauthorized individuals. Afework (1998) and Tewolde (2001) had also reported that about 43% and 57% farmers used drugs against trypanosomosis in the village cattle of Metekle district, Northwest Ethiopia and FITCA project sites in Western Ethiopia respectively. The present study revealed that about 30% and 40% farmers treated

their livestock 6 and 4 times in a year respectively. This report corroborated with the report of Afework (1998) where he observed that farmers treated their animals in every 4 months and above. The number of intervention of treatments over a year reflected the magnitude of trypanosomosis challenge in an area (Uilenberg, 1998).

The finding of tsetse survey revealed two types of tsetse species at Abelty and Tiroshashama study sites. The main vectors detected were *G. m. submositans* and *G. pallidipes*, which was similar with the result obtained in Ghibe valley (Peregrine *et al.* 1994). During the late rainy season 0.194 flies /trap /day of *G.m.submorsitans* at Abelty and 0.028 flies /trap /day at Tiroshashama village were recorded. In dry season 0.017 flies /trap /day of *G. m. submorsistans* and 0.017 flies/trap /day of *G. pallidipes* species recorded at Tiroshashama villages and none at Abelty study site. The reason for the decline of apparent fly density in the dry season during the survey might be due to uncontrolled bush fire, which damaged the savannah grasslands and the bush, few weeks prior the survey period. Such circumstance might have suppressed the fly density and forced the flies to move to the moisture areas of the sides of valley and riverbanks of extreme low altitudes. In the present observation the fly density was found to be relatively increased in late rainy season at both study sites (November) than the dry season. This fact is in agreement with the result of Leak *et al.* (1993) that the apparent density increased from September to December during survey conducted from 1986-1990 at Ghibe valley, which was the boundary of the present study sites. According to Leak (1999) the increase in fly density was due to the growth of vegetation and formation of new habitat in the rainy season.

In the current study *G. m. submorsitans* can be considered as the principal and important vector of animal trypanosomosis due to their higher abundance than other tsetse species at Abelty and Tiroshashama villages.

The result of the study revealed that *T.congolense* is the most prevalent trypanosome species in the study population that constituted 60.98% followed by *T.vivax* 36.59% and mixed infection (2.43%). An earlier study by Rowlands *et al.* (2001) reported a prevalence rate of 37% by *T. congolense* in cattle in Southwest Ethiopia. Abebe and Jobre (1996) also indicated the infection rate of 58.5% with *T.congolense*, 31.2% with *T. vivax* and 3.5% with *T. brucei* in the tsetse-infested areas of Ethiopia. Further more Afework (1998) indicated 17.2% of *T. congolense* infection at Metekel district and Tewolde *et al.*, 2001 recorded 75% and 93% of cases in kone and village one-settlement areas respectively in western part of Ethiopia.

D'Iteren *et al.* (1998) and Maclellan (1980) suggested that cattle developed immunity more readily against *T. vivax* than *T. congolense*. Also *T. vivax* is less virulent and consequently cattle develop tolerance to *T. vivax* more easily than *T. congolense*. The present study also revealed much less abundance of *T. vivax* from the study areas, which might be due to the development of immunity in the cattle.

In the current cross sectional study an over all mean PCV (%) value was recorded as 24.33%. Cattle with PCV value less than 26% was considered as anemic (Tewolde *et al.*, 2004; Rowlands, 2000) which is said to be the principal sign for trypanosomosis in the livestock (Ilembade *et al.*, 1979, Gardiner, 1989). In the present study 68.91% of the sampled cattle had the PCV (%) value <26%. Afework (1998) at Pawe, Northwest Ethiopia found 90% of cattle having PCV (%) value <26%. Similar result was also found by Muturi (1999) at Merab Aabya (88.9%) and Daya (2004) at Ejacho (55.3%) in Southwest Ethiopia. Work done at Ghibe in Southern Ethiopia showed that few animals were parasitaemic when the PCV (%) was greater than or equal to this value (Rowlands *et al.*, 2001). In the present findings parasitaemic animals had mean PCV 22.78 %.

Rowlands *et al.* (2001) also observed increase in PCV value with a decrease in the proportion of parasitaemic samples at Ghibe valley in Southern Ethiopia. The present study also revealed a negative correlation ($r = 0.941$) showing a decrease in mean PCV value with an increase in the proportion of infected animals. Therefore, average PCV could be better indicator of the status of cattle herds. Vanden and Rowlands (2001) indicated one disadvantage of the reliance in mean PCV value could be that it is affected by many factors other than trypanosomosis.

However, these factors are likely to affect both trypanosomosis positive and negative animals. The difference between mean PCV of parasitaemic and aparasitaemic animals indicates that trypanosomosis is involved adversely by lowering the PCV value less than 26%. The low PCV value in the aparasitaemic animals might be due to the delayed recovery of anaemic situation after recent treatment with trypanocidal drugs or due to compound effects of poor nutrition and haematophagus helminths infestation such as haemonchosis and bunostomosis (Afework, 1998)

The current investigation revealed an increase in infection rate with the age of the cattle and this observation agrees with the result of Muturi (1999). The difference in prevalence of trypanosomosis in different age group was significant.

For over a couple of decades trypanocidals have been used to treat livestock and control trypanosomosis in Abelty and Tiroshashama villages. Drug therapy has been the main

Strategy used in the past to control trypanosomosis throughout Ethiopia (Slingenbergh 1992b). In present study the questionnaire survey disclosed that in most cases the trypanocidals used for treating were purchased from illegal traders. This phenomenon is similar to investigation of questionnaire survey done by Afework *et al.*, 2000. There is a flourishing black market and farmers can purchase a variety of trypanocidal drugs in most village market (questionnaire result).

To evaluate the prophylactic and curative capacity of Isometamedium and curative efficacy of Diminazene aceturate at Abelty and Tiroshashama villages longitudinal study was performed. No trypanosome infection was detected up to 42 days after blanket treatment. The appearance of parasites was first observed on day 56 after blanket treatment. Works of Habtewold (1993) at Wolayita revealed 8.3% - 21.9% recurrent infection after treatment with Isometamidium (trypanidium) (0.5mg/kg bw). Cherinet (1996) reported 22.2% infection on 12 days after curative dose (0.5mg/kg bw) of trypanidium. The capability of these doses were less efficient than the present curative and prophylactic dose (1mg/kg bw) of Isometamidium chloride which resulted 22.67% relapses only from day 56 up to 84 after Isometamidium treatment.

The current result indicates a better protection of Isometamidium chloride than that of Afework *et al.* (2000) which demonstrated 13% break through infections of which *T. congolense* contributed 80% of infection within 30 days of treatment with prophylactic dose of Isometamidium. Muturi (1999) revealed 3% recurrent parasitaemia in four weeks time after treatment with prophylactic dose of introduction, which also indicates lesser period of protection than the efficacy of current dose of Isometamidium chloride.

Similar works were carried out in the Southwest Ethiopia on the most prevalent drug resistant trypanosome species (Codija *et al.*, 1993 and Leak *et al.*, 1993). More over recent field observations in Ethiopia based on cloned population showed that the drug resistant phenotype of *T. congolense* had not altered over a period of 4 years (Mulugeta *et al.*, 1977) and argued resistant strains remain resistant after passage through tsetse flies (Moloo and Kuzuza 1990).

The output of the findings of the efficacy of Diminazene aceturate revealed that the use of the drug in all new cases was still effective at both the study villages. There was recurrent trypanosome infection in Southwest Ethiopia where drug resistant trypanosomes against Diminazene aceturate was reported (Rowlands *et al.*, 2001).

6. CONCLUSION

The current finding indicates trypanosomosis as a major disease of livestock production at the study villages, Abelty and Tirishashama in Sokoro district. The questionnaire survey also revealed the inavailability of adequate veterinary services and insufficient allocation of trypanocidals, which have forced the livestock keepers to use adulterated drugs and non-ethical drug administration.

Among all the biting flies found, the tsetse fly of the species *Glossina m. submorsitans* can be considered as the major vector for trypanosome infection of livestock in the study areas.

Regarding the trypanosomosis of cattle, the adult males were found to be more susceptible than adult females and young ones.

Among the species of *Trypanosoma* identified, *T. congolense* was found to be more prevalent than other species.

The mean PCV values indicated an overall poor health status of livestock at both study sites. And the trypanosome infection has been found to cause further poor condition indicated by a more decline in PCV values among the infected cattle.

The prophylactic efficacy of Isometamidium chloride at a dose rate of 1mg/ kg bw. conferred a protection against the infection. Moreover, administration of Isometamidium chloride and the subsequent treatment with Diminazene aceturate provided an increase in PCV during the two month period. Diminazene aceturate was effective and curative for new cases of trypanosome infection.

7. RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Strong and sustainable extension works should be done to create awareness of the livestock keepers to wisely use communal pastures, feed conservation preparation and its use. This may help to minimize the degree of exposure of their livestock from traveling long distances for search of feed and water in the tsetse habitat.
2. Attempt should be made to expand Government and private veterinary services to serve well the community, which suffer from trypanosomosis. And Sustainable community based tsetse and trypanosomosis control program should be designed and implemented.
3. The livestock keepers should be advised to avoid the use of non-ethical and inadequate doses of drugs, which may develop drug-resistance in trypanosomes.
4. Practical, economical and environment friendly tsetse control systems like the use of targets and traps should be widely used and advocated.
5. New technology of sterilized insect control technique (SIT) has promising effect in tsetse control intervention. The practical use of this technology may be implemented along with other conventional control options.

9. REFERENCES

- Abebe G. (1991): The Integrity of the Hypothalamic Pituitary Adrenal Axis in Boran (*Bos indicus*) Cattle Infected with *Trypanosoma congolense*. PhD Thesis. Brunel University of West London, UK.
- Abebe G. and Jobre Y. (1996): Trypanosomiasis: A Threat to Cattle Production in Ethiopia. *Revue. Med. Vet.* **147**, 897-902.
- Adam, K.M.G., Paul, J. and Zaman, V. (1979): Medical and Veterinary Protozoology. An Illustrated Guide. Churchill Livingstone. Edinburgh and London.
- Afewerk, Y., Clausen, P.H., Abebe, G., Tilahun, G., and Mehlitz, D. (2000): Multiple- drug resistant *Trypanosoma congolense* populations in village cattle of Metekel district, northwest Ethiopia. *Acta Trop.* **76**: 231-238.
- Afewerk, Y. (1998): Field investigations on the appearance of drug resistance population of trypanosomes in Metekle district North West Ethiopia. Msc thesis, Addis Ababa University and Freie Universitat Berlin.
- Arora, V.K., Sharma, R. C., Singh, B.P., Tamor, N. S. (1981): A note of body measurement in Haryana cow. *Vet. Res. J.* **4**, 181-182.
- Bauer, B. (2001): Improved strategies of sustainable trypanosomiasis management within the context of primary animal health care. In: proceedings of the international scientific council of trypanosomiasis research and control ISCTR, Mombasa, Kenya 1999, OAU ISTRC Publication No. **120**, pp. 123-130
- Bourn, D., Reid, R., Rogers, D., Snow, B., and Wint, W. (2001): Environmental change and the Autonomous Control of Tsetse and Trypanosomiasis in Sub-Saharan Africa. Case



histories from Ethiopia, The Gambia, Kenya, Nigeria and Zimbabwe. Environmental Research Group Oxford Limited, Oxford, UK.

- Brightwell, R., Dransfield, R.D., Kyorku, C. (1991): Development of a low cost trap and odour-baits for *Glossina pallidipes* and *G. longipennis* in Kenya. *Med. Vet. Entomol.* **5**, 153-164.
- Brown, C.G.D., Hunter, A. G. and Luckins, A.G. (1990): Diseases Caused by Protozoa. In: Handbook on Animal Diseases in the Tropics (eds: Sewell and Brocklesby), 4th ed., Bailliere Tindall, London.
- Budd, L. (1999): DFID-funded tsetse and trypanosome research and development since 1980. Vol. 2. Economic analysis. Aylesford, UK, DFID Livestock Production, Animal Health and Natural Resources Systems Research Programmes. 123 pp.
- Challier, A. (1965): Method for the determination of physiological age of *Glossina*. *Insect Physiology and Biology*, **6**, 241-248.
- Cherinet, H. (1996): Bovine trypanosomiasis in North Omo – Prevalence and assessment of drug efficacy. Addis Ababa University, faculty of Veterinary Medicine, DVM, thesis, Debrezeit.
- Clausen, P.H, Sidibe, L. Kabere, I. and Bauer, B. (1992): Development of multiple drug resistance to *trypanosoma congolense*. In zebu cattle under high natural tsetse fly challenge in pastoral zone of Samoregoun, Burkina Faso. *Acta trop.* **51**, 229-236.
- Codjia, V., Mulatu, W., Majiwa, P.A.O., Leak, S.G.A., Rowlands, G. J., Authie, E., d'Iteren, G.D.M., and Peregrine, A.S. (1993): Epidemiology of Bovine trypanosomiasis in the Ghibe Valley, South-West Ethiopia. 3. Occurrence of population of *Trypanosoma congolense* resistant to Diminazene, Isometamidium and homodium. *Acta Trop.* **53**, 151-163.
- Cox, F.E.G. (1993): Modern Parasitology. A Textbook of Parasitology. Second Edition. Blackwell Science Ltd.

- Daya, T.D. (2004): Seasonal dynamics of tsetse & trypanosomosis in selected sites of Southern Nationalities & peoples region (SNNPRS), Ethiopia.
- d'Ieteren, G.D .M.,Authie, E.,Wisoeq,N. Murray. M. (1998): Trypanotolerance an option for sustainable live stock production in areas at risk from trypanosomosis .OIE Scientific and technical Review .PP 154-155.
- Eisler, M. C., Brandt, J., Bauer, B., Clausen, P.-H., Delespaux, V., Holmes, P.-H., Ilembade, A., Machila N., Mbywambo, H., McDermott J., Mehlitz, D., Murilla, G., Ndungu, J. M., Peregrine, A. S., Sidibe, I., Sinyangwe, L., Geerts, S. (2001): Standardized tests in mice and cattle for the detection of drug resistance in tsetse transmitted trypanosomes of African domestic cattle. *Vet.Parasitol.* **97**, 171-182.
- Erkelens, A.M., Dwinger, R.H., Bedane, B., Slingenbergh, J. H.W., and Wint, W. (2000): Selection of Priority Areas for Tsetse Control in Africa; A Decision Tool Using GIS in Didessa Valley, Ethiopia, as a Pilot Study. In, Animal Trypanosomosis: Diagnosis and Epidemiology. FAO/IAEA Coordinated Research Programme on the Use of Immunoassay Methods for Improved Diagnosis of Trypanosomosis and Monitoring Tsetse and Trypanosomosis Control Programmes. International Atomic Energy Agency, Vienna, Austria
- FAO (2000): Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations Statistical Databases:
- Ford, J. (1971): The Role of Trypanosomiasis and Tsetse Flies in African Ecology. A Study of the Tsetse Fly Problem. Oxford University press.
- Ford, J., Makin, M. J., and Grimble, R.J. (1976): Trypanosomiasis Control Programme for Ethiopia. Ministry of Overseas Development. Great Britain
- Gardiner, N. (1989): Recent study on the biology of *T. vivax*. *Adv. Parasitol.* **28**, 230-279.
- Geerts, S. and Holmes, P.H. (1998): Drug Management and parasite Resistance in Bovine Trypanosomiasis in Africa. FAO, Rome, 31 pp.

- Glasgow, J.P. (1963): *The Distribution and Abundance of Tsetse*. Pergamon Press, Oxford
- Green, C.H. (1994): Bait Methods for Tsetse Fly Control. *Adv. Parasitol.* **34**, 229-275.
- Habtewold, T. (1993). Bovine trypanosomiasis in Wolayita prevalence and assessment of drug efficacy. Addis Ababa University, faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Deberzeit, DVM thesis.
- Habtewold, T. (1997): Community based tsetse and trypanosomiasis control pilot programme using deltamethrin in Konso, Southern Ethiopia. Proceedings of 11th conference of Ethiopian Veterinary Association, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. pp. 50-59.
- Hall, H.T.B. (1985): *Diseases and Parasites of Livestock in the Tropics*. Second edition. Intermediate Tropical Agriculture Series, Longman. London and New York.
- Hay, S. I., Tucker, C. J., Rogers, D. J. and Packer, M. J. (1996): Remotely Sensed Surrogates of Meteorological Data for the Study of the Distribution and Abundance of Arthropod Vectors of Disease, *Annals Trop. Med. and Par.* **90**,1-19.
- Hoare, C. A. (1972): *The Trypanosomiasis of Mammals*. Blackwell Scientific Publications, Oxford.
- Ilembade, A.A and Buys, J. (1979): isolation of strains of *T. Vivax* resistant against Novidium from Northern Nigeria. *Vet. Rec.* **87**, 761-762
- ILRAD (1984): *Tsetse Flies- Vectors of Trypanosomiasis*. Vol. 2, No.3, pp. 1-4.
- ILRAD (1987): *International Laboratory for Research in Animal Diseases, Annual Report*, Nairobi, Kenya.
- Iteren, G.D.M., Authie E., Wissolq N. Murray, M. (1998): Trypanotolerance an option for sustainable live stock production in areas at risk from trypanosomosis. OIE scientific and technical Review – pp 154-175.

- Itard, J. (1989): African Animal Trypanosomosis. In: Manual of Tropical Veterinary Parasitology. English Edition. CTA/CAB International. Wallingford, UK.
- Jackson, C. H. N. (1946): An artificially isolated generation of tsetse flies (Diptera). *Bull Ent.Res.* **37**, 291-299.
- Lamecha, H.M. (1994): Trypanosomosis research and control in Ethiopia: An overview, 8th conference Ethiopian veterinary association. Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. pp. 11-12.
- Langridge, W.P. (1976): A Tsetse and Trypanosomiasis Survey in Ethiopia. Ministry of Agriculture, Ethiopia and Ministry of Overseas Development, United Kingdom
- Leak, S. G. A., Mulatu, W., Authie, E., d'Ieteren, G., Peregrine, A., Rowlands, G. J., Trail, J. (1993): Epidemiology of Bovine trypanosomosis in the Ghibe Valley, Southwest Ethiopia. 1. Tsetse challenge and its relationship to trypanosome prevalence in cattle; 2. Factors associated with variations in trypanosome prevalence, incidence of new infections and prevalence or recurrent infections. *Acta Trop.* **53**, 121-134; 135-150.
- Leak, S.G.A. (1999): Tsetse Biology and Ecology: Their Role in the Epidemiology and Control of Trypanosomosis. CAB International. Wallingford (UK).
- Losos, G. J. (1986): Infectious Tropical Diseases of Domestic Animals. International Development Research Centre, New York.
- Maclennan, K.J.R. (1980): Tsetse trypanosomiasis relation to the rural economy in Africa – part I. *World Anim. Rev.* **36**, 2-17.
- Martin, S. W., Meek, A. H., Willeberg, P. (1987): Veterinary Epidemiology. Principles and Methods. Iowa State University Press/Ames.
- MOA. (1995): Ruminant livestock development strategy (RLDS). Ministry of agriculture (MOA), Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.
- Moloo, S.K and Kutuza. (1990): Expression of resistance to isometaidium and Diminazene in *T. congolense* in Borona cattle infected by *Glossina morsistance centralis*. *Acta Trop.* **47**, 79-89.

- Moloo, S.K. (1993): The Distribution of Glossina Species and their Natural Hosts. *Insect Sci. Applic.* **14**, 511-527.
- Morgan, W.J.B. (1990): Pathological Changes in Male Genitalia of Cattle Infected with *T. vivax* and *T. congolense*. *Vet. Journal* **146**, 175-188.
- Morrison, W. I., Murray, M. and McIntyre W.I.M. (1981): Bovine Trypanosomiasis. In: Disease of Cattle in the Tropics. Current Topics in Veterinary Medicine and Animal Science, Vol. 6, London: Martinus Nijhoff Publisher. The Hague, Netherlands.
- Mulligan, H.W. (1970): The African Trypanosomiasis. Allen and Unwin, London
 (1996): National Tsetse and Trypanosomiasis Investigation and Control Centre (NTTICC), Annual Report, Bedelle, Ethiopia.
- Mulugeta, W., wikes J., Mulatu W., Majiwa P.A.O., Musoke, R., Peregrine, A.S. (1997): Long term occurrence of *T. congolense*. Resistant to Diminazene, isomotamidium and homidium in cattle at Ghibe Ethiopia, *Acta. Trop.* **64**, 205-217
- Murray, M., Murray, P.K. and McIntyre, W.I.M. (1977): An improved parasitological technique for the diagnosis of African trypanosomosis. *Trans. R. Soc. Trop. Med. Hyg.* **71**, 325-326
- Murray, M., Trail, J. C. M., Turner D. A., and Wissocq Y. (1983): Animal Health. Livestock Productivity and Trypanotolerance. ILCA/ILRI Network Training Manual. Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.
- Muturi K.S. (1999): Epidemiology of borine of borine trypanosomiasis in selected sites of the southern rift valley of Ethiopia. Msc thesis Addis Ababa University with Freie Universitat, Berlin.
- Nantulya, W. M. (1990): Trypanosomiasis in Domestic Animals: The Problems of Diagnosis. *Rev. Sci. tech. Off. Int. Epiz.* **9**, 357-367.

- Nantulya, W. M. and Lindquist K.J. (1989): Antigen Detection Enzyme Immunoassays for the Diagnosis of *Trypanosoma vivax*, *T. congolense* and *T. brucei* Infections in Cattle. *Trop. Med. and Parasitol.* **40**, 267-272.
- NLDP (1997): National Livestock Development Programme. Ministry of Agriculture. Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.
- NTTICC (1996): National Tsetse and Trypanosomiasis Investigation and Control Centre (NTTICC), Annual Report, Bedelle, Ethiopia.
- OIE (2002): TRYPANOSOMOSIS (tsetse-transmitted). In: Manual of Standards for Diagnostic Tests and Vaccines, 4th edition, 2000.
- Olubayo, R. O. and Mugeru G.M. (1985): Pathogenesis of Haemorrhages in *T. vivax* Infection in Cattle. Disseminated Intravascular Coagulation. *Bull. Anim. Hlth. Prod. Afri.* **33**, 211-217.
- Peregrine, A. S. (1994): Chemotherapy and Delivery Systems: Haemoparasites. *Vet. Parasitol.* **54**, 223-248.
- Peregrine, A.S., Mulatu, W., Leak, S.G.A., Rowlands, G.J. (1994): Epidemiology of bovine trypanosomiasis in the Ghibe valley, Ethiopia: Multiple drug resistance and effective control: *The Kenya Vet.* **18**, 369-371.
- Putt, S. N. H., Shaw, A.P.H., Matthewman, R.W., Bourn, D.M., Underwood, M., James, A. D., Haja M.J. and Ellis P.R. (1980): The Social and Economical Implications of Trypanosomiasis Control, A Study of its Impact on Livestock Production and Rural Development in Nigeria. Veterinary Epidemiology and Economics Research Unit. University of Reading. United Kingdom
- Robertson, A. (1976): Trypanosomiasis in Domestic Animals. In: Handbook on Animal Diseases in the Tropics. Third Edition. British Veterinary Association. Overseas Division. London.

- Robertson, H. (2004): Family: Glossinidae (tsetse-flies). *Biodiversity explorer*. Iziko, Museums of Cape Town, South Africa.
- Rowlands, G. J., Leak, S. G. A., Peregrine, A. S., Nagda, S. M., Mulatu, W., d'Ieteren, G. D. M. (2001): The incidence of new and the prevalence of recurrent trypanosome infection in cattle in southwest Ethiopia exposed to a high challenge with drug-resistant parasite. *Acta Trop.* **79**, 149-163.
- Seifert, S. H. H. (1996): *Tropical Animal Health*. 2nd Edition. Dordrech. Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- SIT. (1996): Integrating the sterile insect control to eradicate tsetse from southern rift valley of Ethiopia. A draft project document A collaborative programme between government of Ethiopia and the international atomic energy agency. Joint FAO/IAEA division.
- Slingenbergh, J .H .W .(1992a):Consolidation of tsetse and Trypanosomiasis control in the Upper Didessa Valley . Consultancy report of the tsetse control Specialist to the fourth Livestock Development Project, FAO, and Rome .pp.255.
- Slingenbergh, J .H .W .(1992b): Tsetse control and Agricultural development in Ethiopia , *World Anim .Rev.* 70 /71 ,30-36 .
- Solano, P., Reifenberg, J.M., Cuisance, D., Duvallet, G., De La Rocque S. (2000): The Use of PCR in the Diagnosis and Epidemiology of Animal Trypanosomosis. In: *Animal Trypanosomosis: Diagnosis and Epidemiology*. FAO/IAEA Coordinated Research Programme on the Use of Immunoassay Methods for Improved Diagnosis of Trypanosomosis and Monitoring Tsetse and Trypanosomosis Control Programmes. International Atomic Energy Agency. Vienna, Austria.
- Stata Corporation (2000): *Intercooled Stata Version 7.0 For Windows 95/98/NT*. University Drive East College Station, Texas, USA.

- Tewolde, N., Abebe, G., Eisler, M., McDermott, J., Greiner, M., Afework, Y., Kyule, M., Munstermann, S., Zessin, K.H., Clausen, P.H. (2001): Application of field methods to assess Isometamidium resistance of trypanosomes in cattle in western Ethiopia. *Acta Trop.* 90, 163-170.
- Thrusfield, M.V. (1995): *Veterinary Epidemiology*. 2nd edition. Blackwell Science, Oxford, pp. 183.
- Thrusfield M.V., Ortega, I., Blas, J.P., Noordhuizen, K., Frankela (2001): WinEpiScope 2.0: improved epidemiological software for veterinary medicine. *The veterinary record* May 5, 2001.
- Tilahun, G. Balcha, F., Kassa T. Birre, H. and Gemechu, T. (1997): Tsetse and trypanosomiasis pilot control at Pawe, settlement Tana Beles valley, Metekel zone region 6 North West Ethiopia. *Proceedings of 13th conference of Ethiopian veterinary association*. Addis Ababa Ethiopia pp 61-67.
- Tikubet G. (1993): Tsetse and Trypanosomiasis Management in Ethiopia. In: *Sustainable Community-Based Pest Management for Rural Development*. Proceedings of a Conference held on 27-28, September 1993 at Africa Hall, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia
- Tikubet G. and Gemechu T. (1984): Altitudinal Distribution of Tsetse in the Fincha River Valley (Western part of Ethiopia). *Insect Sci. Applic.* 5, 389-395.
- Uilenberg, G. (1998): *A Field Guide for the Diagnosis, Treatment and Prevention of African Animal Trypanosomiasis*. FAO, Rome, Italy.
- Urquhart, G. M., Armour, J., Duncan, J. L., Dunn, A. M., and Jennings, F. W. (1996): *Veterinary Parasitology*. 2nd edition. Blackwell Science Ltd., London UK. pp. 212–219.
- Van den Bossche, P., Doran, M. and Connor, R. J. (1999): An Analysis of Trypanocidal Drug Use in the Eastern Province of Zambia. In: *Proceedings of the International Scientific Council for Trypanosomiasis Research and Control*

(ISCTRC), 25th Meeting held in Mombassa, Kenya. OAU/STRC Publication No. 120

Vanden Bossche, P. and Rowlands, G.J. (2001): The relation ship between the parasitological prevalence of trypanosome infections in cattle and helped average packed cell volume. *Acta Trop.* **78**, 168-170.

Vreysen, M. J. B., Mebrate, A., Menjeta, M., Bancha, B., Woldeyes, G., Musie, K., Bekele, K. and Aboset, G. (1999): The Distribution and Relative Abundance of Tsetse Flies in the Southern Rift Valley of Ethiopia: Preliminary Survey Results. In: Proceedings of the International Scientific Council for Trypanosomiasis Research and Control (ISCTRC), 25th Meeting held in Mombassa, Kenya. OAU/STRC Publication No. 120.

Walle, R. and Shearer, D. (1997): *Veterinary Entomology. Arthropod Ectoparasites of Veterinary Importance.* Champman and Hall, London.pp.141-193

Wilson, A .J. (1969): Value of the Insect Fluorescent Antibody Test as Serological Aid to Diagnosis of Glossina Transmitted Bovine Trypanosomiasis. *Trop. Anim. Hlth.Prod* **.1**, 89-95.



10 ANNEXES

Annex I questionnaire for interviewing farmers in the study area

1. Location and identity

Wereda _____ PA _____

Date _____

Livestock owner _____ Age _____ Gender _____

2. Livestock management

2.1. When do you start dwelling in this area, when do you start keeping livestock?

Cattle _____,

Small ruminant _____,

Equine _____,

Others _____ (specify)

2.2. How do you manage cattle?

Free grazing _____

Tether _____

Stall feed _____

2.3. Where do cattle graze?

2.4. Location of watering point _____

2.5. In which season livestock feed is available? _____

In which season livestock feed is scarce? _____

3. Major livestock diseases

3.1. What are the most common livestock diseases occur in the area?

3.2. Does Trypanosomosis occur in the area? (Yes/ No, other)

If yes, how do you rank Trypanosomiasis with regard to cattle losses compared to other diseases?

3.2. Which livestock does Trypanosomiasis mostly affect?

Cattle _____

Small ruminant _____

Others _____ (specify)

3.4. What sign do you observe when your animal is sick with Trypanosomosis?

3.5. In which season /Month do livestock get disease

(trypanosomosis) _____

3.6. When does trypanosomosis started in the area? _____

3.7. Is trypanosomosis getting worse, better or unchanged in this area since you first encountered in the area?

A. It is getting worse

B. It is getting better

C. It is the same

D. I don't know

3.8. Do you know that flies transmit trypanosomosis? (Yes/ No)

3.9. If yes in which season/ month are these flies most abundant?

3.10. When is the tsetse population high?

A. In area close to the river

B. In graze scheme

C. In the bush

D. In Savanna areas

4. Opportunity of treatment and use of trypanocidal

4.1. What is the source and possibilities of treatment?

- A. Traditional treatment at home
- B. B. Veterinary clinic
- C. Smugglers
- D. Others (specify)

4.2. Who administers the treatment?

- A. Your self
- B. Animal health personnel
- C. Drug smugglers
- Others (specify)

4.3. Which kind of drug commonly used in your area? (name, type/color, etc)

_____, _____,
_____, _____

4.4. In what quantity do you use the drugs? (doses)

If Berenil _____ For adult cows or oxen

If Trypamidium _____ For adult cows or oxen

If Novidium _____ For adult cows or oxen

4.5. How long have you been using each of these drugs?

- A. Since 30 years
- B. Since 20 years
- C. Since 10 years
- D. Since 5 years

4.6. Which drug do you think is most effective _____?

4.7. In what time frequency you treat your animal in a year?

Once _____

Two times _____

Three times _____

More than 3 times _____

4.8. Do they cure after these treatments? Yes/No

4.9. How much do you pay for treatment in a year for trypanomosis?

4.10. Do you treat?

- A. All your animals
- B. Only sick animals
- C. Only oxen
- D. Only cows
- E. Others(specify)

4.11. Do you use traditional treatment methods to cure your animals?

4.12. Do you think trypanosomosis is expanding to other areas? (Yes/No)

If yes what are the new areas affected?

5. Socio economics:

5.1. For what purpose do you keep livestock?

- A. For milk production
- B. For meat production
- C. For sell
- D. For draughting
- E. For manure
- F. For dowry




Thank you

Name of interviewer _____

Date _____

Signature _____


Annex 2 Photograph illustration of trypanosomosis survey at Abelty and Tiroshashema villages Sokoru district Southwest Ethiopia.




Tsetse habitat at Abelty Village Sokoru district Southwest Ethiopia in late rainy season November 2005



Trap positioned at tsetse habitat in Sokoru district Southwest Ethiopia in late rainy season. Early November 2005




A cow with chronic *T. vivax* infection at Tiroshashema village
Sokoru district Southwest Ethiopia dry season February 2006




A cow with *T. Congolese* disease at Tiroshashema village in dry season
February 2006.



Trap positioned at tsetse habitat of Tiroshashema village Sokoru district Southwest Ethiopia dry season in February 2006.



Parasitological study at Abilty Sokoru district Southwest Ethiopia late rainy season February 2006.




Trap positioned at tsetse habitat of Tiroshashema village Sokoru district Southwest Ethiopia dry season in February 2006.




Heifer with *T. Congolense* infection at Tiroshashema Village Sokoru district Southwest Ethiopia dry season February 2006




Parasitological study at Abilty village Sokoru district
Southwest Ethiopia dry season February 2006



Longitudinal study at Abilty village Sokoru district
southwest Ethiopia dry season February 2006




Deploying trap at tsetse habitat of Abelty village Sokoru district southwest Ethiopia; late rainy season November 2005



Tsetse habitat at Abelty village Sokoru district Southwest Ethiopia late rainy season November 2005.



Sampling from auricular vein for cross sectional study at Abely Sokoru district Southwest Ethiopia late rainy season



Discussion made with experienced tsetse personnels to deploy traps on tsetse target sites of Abely Sokoru district Southwest Ethiopia November 2005

Annex -3- List of cattle registered for cross sectional
Trypanosomiasis prevalence study in late rainy season at

Abelty Village sokoru district.

S. No	Owners Name	Study site	Animals name	Sex	Age	Trpanosome Spp.				Mean % PCV
						TC	TV	TB	Mi x	
1	Ababor A/Moga	Abelty	Milke	F	5	0	0	0	0	21
2	Daksis Duguma	Abelty	Chewmsa	M	5	0	0	0	0	23
3	Mengistu Getachew	Abelty	Kenu	F	10	0	0	0	0	14
4	Tsegaye Getu	Abelty	Megal	M	4	0	0	0	0	29
5	Jemal A/Gojam	Abelty	Kemise	F	5	1	0	0	0	21
6	Abebaw Shiferaw	Abelty	Menor	M	5	0	0	0	0	25
7	Diriba A/Gidi	Abelty	Dima	M	7	0	0	0	0	24
8	Rashad A/Bore	Abelty	Kule	M	5	1	0	0	0	20
9	Shiferaw Debalke	Abelty	Zenbo	M	5	0	0	0	0	24
10	Nasir A/Milki	Abelty	Getu	M	11	0	0	0	0	25
11	Driba A/Gidi	Abelty	Dasse	M	5	0	0	0	0	22
12	Tsegaye Getnet	Abelty	Shigut	M	10	0	0	0	0	23
13	Sultan A/Sanbi	Abelty	Wasihun	M	4	0	0	0	0	19
14	Abebaw Shiferaw	Abelty	Tekka	M	7	0	0	0	0	29
15	Nasir A/Milki	Abelty	Mitike	F	7	0	0	0	0	29
16	Tekile Kidane	Abelty	Kule	M	8	0	0	0	0	18
17	Diriba A/Gidi	Abelty	Megale	F	2	0	0	0	0	25
18	Ababor A/Moga	Abelty	Dollar	M	4	0	0	0	1	20
19	Zenu Kedir	Abelty	Bokile	F	2	0	0	0	0	34
20	Nasir A/Milki	Abelty	Derg	M	15	0	0	0	0	29
21	Shiferaw Debalke	Abelty	Shilimat	M	8	0	0	0	0	25
22	Niguse Wolde	Abelty	Buree	M	6	0	0	0	0	20
23	Nure A/Bulgu	Abelty	Shalama	M	5	0	0	0	0	25
24	A/Bore A/Moga	Abelty	Boke	M	8	0	0	0	0	25
25	Sultan A/Sanbi	Abelty	Bililing	M	4	0	0	0	0	25
26	A/Dula A/Gidi	Abelty	Megal	M	10	0	0	0	0	22
27	Mengistu Getachew	Abelty	Boska	M	3	1	0	0	0	21
28	Mengesha Mesfine	Abelty	Dima	M	9	0	0	0	0	23
29	Diriba A/Gidi	Abelty	Danbobe	F	3	0	0	0	0	24
30	Tesema Hayilu	Abelty	Mola	M	7	1	0	0	0	25
31	A/Bore A/Moga	Abelty	Atboshe	F	3	0	0	0	0	26
32	Gebre Mohamed	Abelty	Boke	M	6	0	0	0	0	19
33	Wendimu Asefa	Abelty	Gambela	M	5	0	0	0	0	18
34	Getachew Nurilnyi	Abelty	Dase	M	7	0	0	0	0	22
35	Kebede Nigatu	Abelty	Beletech	F	5	0	0	0	0	23
36	Abebe Shiferaw	Abelty	Dama	M	2	0	0	0	0	28
37	Bedlu Danye	Abelty	Kule	M	7	0	0	0	0	20
38	Bekleth A/Dura	Abelty	Shelema	M	5	0	0	0	0	21
39	Bedlu Danye	Abelty	Mesay	F	3	0	0	0	0	3

40	Gebre Mohamed	Abelty	Boka	F	2	0	0	0	0	28
41	Bedlu Danye	Abelty	Tihunzer	F	5	0	0	0	0	31
42	Bedlu Danye	Abelty	Mitiku	M	5	1	0	0	0	29
43	Zenu Kedir	Abelty	Dima	M	4	1	0	0	0	30
44	Mustefa A/Sanbi	Abelty	Dima	M	4	1	0	0	0	25
45	Dilbi A/Gowa	Abelty	Kamise	M	4	0	0	0	0	28
46	Dilbi A/Gowa	Abelty	Buree	M	5	0	0	0	0	27
47	Shiferaw Debalke	Abelty	Boka	M	7	0	0	0	0	25
48	A/Gojam A/Diga	Abelty	Marege	M	4	0	0	0	0	33
49	A/Mecha A/Glan	Abelty	Megal	M	3	0	0	0	0	22
50	A/Mecha A/Glan	Abelty	Bekibe	M	10	0	0	0	0	29
51	Mustefa A/Sanbi	Abelty	Gure	M	3	1	0	0	0	24
52	Beharu A/Gidi	Abelty	Sinidew	M	6	0	0	0	0	25
53	Zelke Yimenu	Abelty	Sindew	M	8	0	0	0	0	29
54	Bekle Danye	Abelty	Jember	M	5	0	0	0	0	27
55	Taju A/Sanbi	Abelty	Boka	M	4	0	0	0	0	20
56	Sherif A/Bulgu	Abelty	Chorke	F	6	1	0	0	0	21
57	Kemal A/Moga	Abelty	Dima	M	5	0	0	0	0	19
58	A/ceca A/Glan	Abelty	Dimabura	M	4	0	0	0	0	18
59	Sherif A/Bulgu	Abelty	Temsgne	M	5	0	0	0	0	17
60	A/Dula A/Gonjo	Abelty	Keneni	F	7	0	0	0	0	22
61	Negash A/Sanbi	Abelty	Dima	M	3	0	0	0	0	28
62	Kemal A/Moga	Abelty	Keno	M	5	0	0	0	0	24
63	Bekle Danye	Abelty	Boke	M	6	0	0	0	0	25
64	Taju A/Sanbi	Abelty	Kulee	M	6	0	0	0	0	24
65	Kebede Nigatu	Abelty	Keno	M	6	0	0	0	0	23
66	Wendimu Asefa	Abelty	Dima	M	7	0	0	0	0	16
67	Sherif A/Bulgu	Abelty	Dasse	M	3	0	0	0	0	32
68	Taju A/Sanbi	Abelty	Kumsa	M	7	0	0	0	0	26
69	A/Gojam A/Diga	Abelty	Habtamu	M	2	0	0	0	0	20
70	Mengistu Getachew	Abelty	Mitike	F	3	0	0	0	0	29
71	Sherif A/Bulgu	Abelty	Degile	F	2	0	0	0	0	38
72	Tesema Bedlu	Abelty	Kemise	F	2	0	0	0	0	29
73	A/Dula A/Gidi	Abelty	Hadefaris	F	6	0	0	0	0	26
74	Abebe Shiferaw	Abelty	Sefen	M	5	0	0	0	0	18
75	Taju A/Sanbi	Abelty	Kenu	F	3	0	0	0	0	26
76	Sultan A/Sanbi	Abelty	Kemise	F	3	0	0	0	0	26
77	A/Meca A/Gelan	Abelty	Megal	M	5	0	0	0	0	24
78	Mustefa A/Sanbi	Abelty	Arbine	M	5	0	0	0	0	20
79	Mustefa A/Sanbi	Abelty	Jote	F	5	0	0	0	0	23
80	Mustefa A/Sanbi	Abelty	Jimate	F	5	0	0	0	0	20
81	Dilbi A/Fogi	Abelty	Dasse	M	5	0	0	0	0	26
82	Nuri A/Bulgu	Abelty	Shigute	M	5	0	0	0	0	25
83	Mustefa A/Sanbi	Abelty	Shelema	M	4	0	0	0	0	28
84	A/Dula A/Gijo	Abelty	Temsgone	M	3	0	0	0	0	25
85	A/Dula A/Gijo	Abelty	Arbine	M	5	0	0	0	0	30
86	Nuri A/Bulgu	Abelty	Arbine	F	7	0	0	0	0	25

87	Worku Abate	Abelty	Kule	M	0	0	0	0	25
88	Sultan A/Sanbi	Abelty	Argane	F	0	0	0	0	24
89	Bedlu Danye	Abelty	Biruk	M	0	0	0	0	20
90	Nuri A/Bulgu	Abelty	Dasse	F	0	0	0	0	26
91	Sultan A/Sanbi	Abelty	Kajela	M	0	0	0	0	25
92	Tekle Kidane	Abelty	Kule	F	0	0	0	0	10
93	Abteu Gebeyehu	Abelty	Wube	F	0	0	0	0	23
94	Tsedale Zewdie	Abelty	Lemlem	F	0	0	0	0	25
95	Abteu Gebeyehu	Abelty	Kule	M	0	0	0	0	19
96	Jemal A/Gojam	Abelty	Kibitu	F	0	0	0	0	27
97	Zewdie Chaire	Abelty	Aro	M	0	0	0	0	20
98	Abebaw Shiferaw	Abelty	Mesayi	F	0	0	0	0	19
99	Abteu Gebeyehu	Abelty	Tesfa	F	0	0	0	0	15
100	Abteu Gebeyehu	Abelty	Chago	M	0	0	0	0	20
101	Gebre Mohamed	Abelty	Anbis	M	0	0	0	0	19
102	Beklech A/Dura	Abelty	Dingate	F	0	0	0	1	19
103	Gebre Mohamed	Abelty	Lome	F	0	0	0	0	26
104	Worku Abate	Abelty	Temsgene	M	0	0	0	0	18
105	Gebre Mohamed	Abelty	Shanko	M	0	0	0	0	27
106	Gebre Mohamed	Abelty	Kemis	M	0	0	0	0	25
107	Abebaw Shiferaw	Abelty	Gudise	F	0	0	0	0	27
108	Zewdie Chaire	Abelty	Boka	M	0	0	0	0	17
109	Zewdie Chaire	Abelty	Kurit	M	0	0	0	0	25
110	Abebaw Shiferaw	Abelty	Mitiku	M	0	0	0	0	29
111	Abteu Gebeyehu	Abelty	Degu	M	0	0	0	0	22
112	Zewdie Chaire	Abelty	Bure	M	0	0	0	0	30
113	Gebre Mohamed	Abelty	Derese	M	0	0	0	0	19
114	Ahmed Usman	Abelty	Shanko	M	0	0	0	0	28
115	Mustefa A/Moga	Abelty	Dribe	F	0	0	0	0	31
116	Abteu Gebeyehu	Abelty	Menor	M	0	0	0	0	23
	Mean PCV								2785
									24.01

Annex -4- List of cattle Sampled for Cross Sectional Trypanosomosis

Disease prevalence. Study in dry season. At Abelty village Sokoru District

S. No	Owners Name	Study site	Animals name	Sex	Age	Trypanosome Spp.				Mean % PCV
						TC	TV	TB	Mix	
1	Daksisa duguma	Abelty	Kule	M	4	0	0	0	0	18
2	Bekele Danye	Abelty	Jember	M	8	0	0	0	0	23
3	Tekle Kidane	Abelty	Mitiku	M	7	0	0	0	0	28
4	Zewdie Chaire	Abelty	Bure	M	7	0	0	0	0	28
5	Zewdie Chaire	Abelty	Toreny	M	8	0	0	0	0	23
6	Zewdie Chaire	Abelty	Surib	M	8	0	0	0	0	25
7	Zewdie Chaire	Abelty	Kule	M	4	0	0	0	0	17
8	A/Moga A/Garo	Abelty	Moges	M	4	0	0	0	0	20
9	A/Moga A/Garo	Abelty	Kufsa	M	4	0	0	0	0	24
10	A/Moga A/Garo	Abelty	Mitiku	M	3	0	0	0	0	20
11	A/Moga A/Garo	Abelty	kule	M	3	0	0	0	0	34
12	A/Moga A/Garo	Abelty	Kajela	M	5	0	0	0	0	21
13	A/Moga A/Garo	Abelty	Malaje	F	6	0	0	0	0	24
14	A/Moga A/Garo	Abelty	Dingete	F	3	0	0	0	0	24
15	A/Moga A/Garo	Abelty	Mistire	F	3	0	0	0	0	26
16	A/Moga A/Garo	Abelty	Hirpe	F	3	0	0	0	0	21
17	Zewdie Chaire	Abelty	Boqee	F	2	0	0	0	0	33
18	Meka A/Gisa	Abelty	Boqa	M	4	0	0	0	0	22
19	Meka A/Gisa	Abelty	Shasho	M	4	0	0	0	0	20
20	Sultan A/Sanbi	Abelty	Wasihun	M	5	0	0	0	0	18
21	Sultan A/Sanbi	Abelty	Bilieinyi	M	4	0	0	0	0	20
22	Sultan A/Sanbi	Abelty	Arbineh	M	7	0	0	0	0	22
23	Sultan A/Sanbi	Abelty	Kamise	F	3	0	0	0	0	23
24	Sultan A/Sanbi	Abelty	Kajela	M	2	0	0	0	0	22
25	Zenu Kedir	Abelty	Dima	M	3	0	0	0	0	21
26	Zenu Kedir	Abelty	Gobana	M	6	0	0	0	0	25
27	Zenu Kedir	Abelty	Mitiku	M	2	0	0	0	0	23
28	Zenu Kedir	Abelty	Megal	M	5	0	0	0	0	25
29	Zenu Kedir	Abelty	Boka	F	3	0	0	0	0	16
30	A/Zinab A/Bulgu	Abelty	Dalife	M	6	0	0	0	0	29
31	Ahmed A/Oli	Abelty	Dima	F	7	0	0	0	0	15
32	Jehad A/Sanbi	Abelty	Boke	F	4	0	0	0	0	19
33	Jehad A/Sanbi	Abelty	Kubsa	M	4	0	0	0	0	26
34	Jehad A/Sanbi	Abelty	Jolonbis	M	4	0	0	0	0	28
35	Jehad A/Sanbi	Abelty	Diriba	M	3	1	0	0	0	24
36	Bedlu Danye	Abelty	Mitiku	M	4	1	0	0	0	23
37	Bedlu Danye	Abelty	Mesayi	F	3	0	0	0	0	20
38	Diriba A/Gidi	Abelty	Dale	M	3	0	0	0	0	23
39	Diriba A/Gidi	Abelty	Megal	M	2	0	0	0	0	24

40	Kebede Nigatu	Abelty	Megale	F	5	0	0	0	0	20
41	Diriba A/Gidi	Abelty	Danbobe	F	2	0	0	0	0	30
42	Gebre Welde	Abelty	Dibu	M	5	0	0	0	0	23
43	A/Dula A/Gijo	Abelty	Kanani	F	4	0	0	0	0	17
44	A/Dula A/Gijo	Abelty	Hadafaris	F	3	0	0	0	0	19
45	A/Dula A/Gijo	Abelty	Kule	M	6	0	0	0	0	22
46	A/Dula A/Gijo	Abelty	kule	M	5	0	0	0	0	24
47	Nuri A/Bulgu	Abelty	Shalama	M	4	0	0	0	0	25
48	Nuri A/Bulgu	Abelty	Arbineh	F	4	0	0	0	0	26
49	Nuri A/Bulgu	Abelty	Dale	M	2	0	0	0	0	25
50	Nuri A/Bulgu	Abelty	Kamise	M	2	0	0	0	0	30
51	Ibrahim A/Mecha	Abelty	Jibo	M	5	0	0	0	0	24
52	Zelke Yimenu	"	Tirfe	F	3	0	0	0	0	21
53	Beklech A/Dura	"	Dingelte	F	3	0	0	0	0	23
54	Gebrewolde	"	Anbese	M	7	0	0	0	0	25
55	Gebrewolde	"	Drese	M	6	0	0	0	0	32
56	Nasir A/Milki	"	Darbe	M	6	0	0	0	0	25
57	Nasir A/Milki	"	Mitike	F	8	0	0	0	0	25
58	Dilbi Lulessa	"	Bure	M	7	0	0	0	0	20
59	Dilbi Lulessa	"	Dima	M	5	0	0	0	0	23
60	Jemal A/Gojam	"	Kibitu	F	3	0	0	0	0	23
61	Jemal A/Gojam	"	Megale	F	5	0	0	0	0	23
62	A/Gojam A/Diga	"	Marege	F	4	0	0	0	0	24
63	Reshad A/Bore	"	Getu	M	3	0	0	0	0	21
64	Sherega A/Gojam	"	Zendo	M	4	0	0	0	0	23
65	Sherefa A/Gojam	"	Tirfe	F	3	0	0	0	0	21
66	Mengistu Getachew	"	Mitike	F	3	0	0	0	0	26
67	Bediru A/Gijo	"	Shantame	F	2	0	0	0	0	18
68	Bediru A/Gijo	"	Sinde	M	5	0	0	0	0	19
69	Bediru A/Gijo	"	Shalama	M	3	0	0	0	0	20
70	Shifera Debalke	"	Shelema	M	8	0	0	0	0	33
71	Shifera H/Giorgis	"	Mitiku	M	3	0	0	0	0	27
72	Mustefa A/Mega	"	Teka	M	5	0	0	0	0	25
73	Mustefa A/Mega	"	Kamiso	M	3	0	0	0	0	20
74	Mustefa A/Mega	"	Asnake	M	4	0	0	0	0	15
75	Mustefa A/Mega	"	Kajela	M	2	0	0	0	0	26
76	Mustefa A/Mega	"	Sandushe	F	5	0	0	0	0	26
77	Mustefa A/Mega	"	Kamise	F	2	0	0	0	0	24
78	Mustefa A/Mega	"	Gudise	F	2	0	0	0	0	26
79	A/Bore A/Mega	"	Boke	M	6	0	0	0	0	25
80	A/Bore A/Mega	"	Milke	F	6	0	0	0	0	26
81	Kedir A/Kalbi	"	Galo	M	5	0	0	0	0	22
82	Kedir A/Kalbi	"	Kanani	F	4	0	0	0	0	19
83	Kedir A/Kalbi	"	Wasihun	M	3	0	0	0	0	25
84	Kedir A/Kalbi	"	Boru	M	3	0	0	0	0	32
85	Kemal A/Mecha	"	Mitike	F	4	0	0	0	0	30
86	Taju A/Gisa	"	Bure	M	6	0	0	0	0	28

87	Taju A/Gisa	"	Dima	M	3	0	0	0	0	20
88	Ahmed A/Oli	"	Magala	M	4	0	0	0	0	24
89	Ahmed A/Oli	"	Sanbate	F	5	0	0	0	0	22
90	Ahmed A/Oli	"	Jimate	F	2	0	0	0	0	24
91	Ahmed A/Oli	"	Magale	F	5	0	0	0	0	22
92	A/Oli A/Waji	"	Dima	M	4	0	0	0	0	24
93	A/Oli A/Waji	"	Kule	M	5	0	0	0	0	24
94	A/Oli A/Waji	"	Bokile	F	4	0	0	0	0	28
95	A/Oli A/Waji	"	Dabale	F	3	0	0	0	0	23
96	Mohamed Shekur	"	Magala	M	6	0	0	0	0	27
97	Mohamed Shekur	"	Shashe	F	3	0	0	0	0	26
98	A/Zinab A/Bulgu	"	Bokee	F	3	0	0	0	0	22
99	Mustefa A/Sanbi	"	Kamise	F	4	0	0	0	0	25
100	Mustefa A/Sanbi	"	Gudeta	M	5	0	0	0	0	16
101	Kemal A/Moga	Abelty	Keyoo	M	3	0	0	0	0	25
102	Rashad A/Bore	Abelty	Kulee	M	5	0	0	0	0	18
103	Daksisa Duguma	Abelty	Chawoms a	M	6	0	1	0	0	25
104	Abebaw Shifera	Abelty	Gudise	F	4	0	0	0	0	27
105	Mustefa A/Moga	Abelty	Dribe	F	5	0	0	0	0	30
106	Shiferaw Debalke	Abelty	Zendo	M	5	0	0	0	0	28
107	A/Bore A/Moga	Abelty	Dollar	M	6	0	0	0	0	25
108	Tesema Hayilu	Abelty	Kamise	F	2	9	0	0	0	29
109	Dilbi A/Gore	Abelty	Kulee	M	4	0	0	0	0	17
110	Sherefaw A/Bulgu	Abelty	Degile	F	3	0	0	0	0	18
111	Zemzem Murad	Abelty	Kanani	F	6	1	0	0	0	25
112	Taye Dura	Abelty	Dale	M	4	0	0	0	0	20
113	Zeinu A/Moga	Abelty	Kemise	F	5	0	0	0	0	35
114	Mustefa A/Sanbi	Abelty	Kamise	F	4	0	0	0	0	22
115	Sherefaw A/Gojam	Abelty	Kamise	F	4	0	0	0	0	20
116	Dilbi Lulessa	Abelty	Gudisse	F	6	0	0	0	0	25
117	Bekele Danye	Abelty	Boka	M	5	0	0	0	0	22
118	Nasir A/Milki	Abelty	Getu	M	7	0	0	0	0	25
119	Driba A/Garo	Abelty	Dima	M	4	0	0	0	0	25
120	A/Gidi A/Garo	Abelty	Arbiyo	M	5	0	0	0	0	15
121	Sherefaw W/Giyorgis	Abelty	Soren	M	6	0	0	0	0	30
122	Zenu Kedir	Abelty	Dabale	F	3	0	1	0	0	19
123	A/Moga A/Garo	Abelty	Gudise	F	4	0	0	0	0	35
124	Mengistu Getachew	Abelty	Bokle	M	4	1	0	TC	0	40
125	Bekelech A/Dura	Abelty	Kenu	M	5	0	0	0	0	25
126	Zelke Yimenu	Abelty	Dinate	M	4	1	0	0	0	28
127	Tekle Kidane	Abelty	Dalee	M	7	0	0	0	0	25
128	Meka A/Gisa	Abelty	Kulee	M	5	0	0	0	0	18
129	Meka A/Gisa	Abelty	Arbine	F	4	0	0	0	0	25
130	Abtew Gebeyehu	Abelty	Monyoo	M	3	0	0	0	0	17

131	A/Zinab A/Bulgu	Abelty	Anbise	F	3	0	0	0	0	30
132	Gebre Wolde	Abelty	Dinblal	F	4	0	0	0	0	27
133	Abtew Gebeyehu	Abelty	Kulee	M	2	0	0	0	0	20
134	Abtew Gebeyehu	Abelty	Menor	M	5	0	1	0	0	18
135	Abtew Gebeyehu	Abelty	Chago	M	4	0	0	0	0	26
136	Abtew Gebeyehu	Abelty	Hubit	F	5	0	0	0	0	29
137	Bedlu Danye	Abelty	Kulee	M	6	0	0	0	0	27
138	Bedlu Danye	Abelty	Biruk	M	6	0	0	0	0	21
139	Bedlu Danye	Abelty	Tihunzer	F	5	0	0	0	0	29
140	Zewdie Chaire	Abelty	Boke	M	7	0	0	0	0	26
141	Kebede Nigatu	Abelty	Keno	M	6	0	0	0	0	27
142	Tsegaye Getnet	Abelty	Megal	M	4	0	0	0	0	19
143	Zewdie Chaire	Abelty	Shasho	F	5	0	0	0	0	23
144	Tsegaye Getnet	Abelty	Shigut	M	5	0	0	0	0	28
145	Gebre Mohamed	Abelty	Dyber	M	4	0	0	0	0	24
146	Taju A/Sanbi	Abelty	Boke	M	6	0	0	0	0	27
147	A/Gojam A/Diga	Abelty	Habtamu	M	5	0	0	0	0	25
148	Tsedale Zewdie	Abelty	Sasho	F	4	0	0	0	0	23
149	Nuri A/Bulgu	Abelty	Shigate	M	6	0	0	0	0	29
150	Zelege Yimenu	Abelty	Dale	M	5	0	0	0	0	27
	Total PCV									3578
	Mean PCV									23.85

Annex.5 Crossectional trypanosomosis Prevalence study In late rainy season at Tiroshashama Village Sokoru distric South West Etiopia.

S. No	Owners Name	Study site	Animals name	Sex	Age (yr)	Trpanosome Spp.				Mean % PCV
						TC	TV	TB	Mix	
1	A/ Zinab A/Oli	T. Shashama	Gitu	F	5	0	0	0	0	33
2	Zinab A/Gojam	T. Shashama	Jibo	M	6	0	0	0	0	18
3	A/Bore A/Fita	T. Shashama	Gitu	F	9	0	0	0	0	30
4	A/Moga A/Jemal	T. Shashama	Arbine	F	8	0	0	0	0	20
5	A/Jehad A/Jebel	T. Shashama	Shalama	M	6	0	0	0	0	31
6	Zenu A/Bore	T. Shashama	Bure	M	6	0	0	0	0	18
7	A/Zinab A/Moga	T. Shashama	Kenttu	M	9	0	0	0	0	29
8	A/Moga A/Jemal	T. Shashama	Gudise	F	7	0	0	0	0	29
9	A/Gisa A/Gibe	T. Shashama	Gobane	F	9	0	0	0	0	28
10	A/Jebel A/Moga	T. Shashama	Gudise	F	9	1	0	0	0	26
11	A/Bore A/Fita	T. Shashama	Kajela	M	6	1	0	0	0	28
12	Tahir A/Biya	T. Shashama	Dima	M	8	1	0	0	0	16
13	Jebel A/Bulgu	T. Shashama	Bokile	M	6	0	0	0	0	27
14	A/Zinab A/Gojam	T. Shashama	Kajela	M	6	0	0	0	0	23
15	Gezali A/Moga	T. Shashama	Bokile	F	6	0	0	0	0	31
16	Naradin A/Oli	T. Shashama	Jitu	F	4	0	0	0	0	33
17	A/Jehad A/Jeble	T. Shashama	Gibiti	F	6	0	0	0	0	31
18	A/Zinab A/Bore	T. Shashama	Kamiso	M	6	0	0	0	0	21
19	A/Mega A/Diga	T. Shashama	Hormate	F	3	0	0	0	0	25
20	A/Moga A/Gidi	T. Shashama	Kajela	M	4	0	0	0	0	25
21	A/Zinab A/Gojam	T. Shashama	Kulane	F	5	0	0	0	0	28
22	A/Jehad A/Milki	T. Shashama	Dima	M	7	0	0	0	0	28
23	Zenu A/Bore	T. Shashama	Bokile	M	6	0	0	0	0	24
24	Nuradin A/Oli	T. Shashama	Dale	M	5	0	0	0	0	24
25	A/Mecha A/Gisa	T. Shashama	Bokile	M	2	0	0	0	0	19
26	Gebele A/Bulgu	T. Shashama	Jimate	F	2	0	0	0	0	25
27	A/Zinab S/Abadir	T. Shashama	Kenitu	F	5	0	0	0	0	28
28	Diriba A/Gojam	T. Shashama	Magala	M	6	0	0	0	0	26
29	Abdulsalam A/Dafis	T. Shashama	Kulee	M	8	0	0	0	0	20
30	A/Zinab A/Gojam	T. Shashama	Bokile	F	3	0	0	0	0	30
31	A/Bore A/Fita	T. Shashama	Danbobe	F	3	0	0	0	0	20
32	A/Jehad A/Milki	T. Shashama	Jimalo	M	2	0	0	0	0	27
33	A/Jehad A/Milki	T. Shashama	Arbile	F	3	0	0	0	0	24
34	Mifta A/Moga	T. Shashama	Dale	M	8	0	0	0	0	30

35	A/Mago A/Moga	T. Shashama	Magale	M	3	0	0	0	0	19
36	A/Selam A/Dafis	T. Shashama	Shanko	M	5	0	0	0	0	18
37	Abdulsalam A/Dafis	T. Shashama	Magale	M	5	0	0	0	0	25
38	Mifta A/Moga	T. Shashama	Bora	M	7	0	0	0	0	22
39	A/Selam A/Dafis	T. Shashama	Dalisho	M	7	0	0	0	0	26
40	Taju A/Gidi	T. Shashama	Bure	M	6	0	0	0	0	30
41	Mifta A/Moga	T. Shashama	Bure	M	6	0	0	0	0	24
42	Biya A/Zinab	T. Shashama	Dabale	F	4	0	0	0	0	30
43	A/Zinab A/Gojam	T. Shashama	Kulane	F	7	0	0	0	0	32
44	A/Salam Dafis	T. Shashama	Gudise	F	7	0	0	0	0	30
45	Zenu A/Bore	T. Shashama	Gudise	F	5	0	0	0	0	26
46	A/Salam Dafis	T. Shashama	Kulee	M	7	0	0	0	0	25
47	A/Jehad A/Milki	T. Shashama	Goro	M	5	0	0	0	0	16
48	Zenu A/Bore	T. Shashama	Biritu	F	3	0	0	0	0	27
49	Mifta A/Moga	T. Shashama	Danboke	F	3	0	0	0	0	32
50	A/Jehad A/Milki	T. Shashama	Dabale	F	5	0	0	0	0	20
51	A/Salam A/Dafis	T. Shashama	Jitu	F	5	0	0	0	0	25
52	A/Jehad A/Milki	T. Shashama	Arbine	F	7	0	0	0	0	28
53	Mifta A/Moga	T. Shashama	Kamise	F	7	0	0	0	0	28
54	A/Salam A/Dafis	T. Shashama	Bora	M	5	0	0	0	0	26
55	A/Jehad A/Milki	T. Shashama	Arbine	F	2	0	0	0	0	28
56	A/Salam A/Dafis	T. Shashama	Bure	M	5	0	0	0	0	30
57	A/Jehad A/Milki	T. Shashama	Boke	F	2	0	0	0	0	27
58	Mifta A/Moga	T. Shashama	Bure	F	5	0	0	0	0	30
59	A/Salam A/Dafis	T. Shashama	Kamise	F	4	0	0	0	0	31
60	Mifta A/Moga	T. Shashama	Jimate	F	5	0	0	0	0	27
61	A/Salam A/Dafis	T. Shashama	Kulani	F	6	0	0	0	0	26
62	Gezale A/Moga	T. Shashama	Abdi	F	7	0	0	0	0	29
63	Sultan A/Oli	T. Shashama	Arbine	F	2	0	0	0	0	29
64	A/Salam A/Dafis	T. Shashama	Bure	M	7	0	0	0	0	24
	Total PCV									1665
	Mean PCV									26.1

Annex 6. Cross sectional trypanosomosis disease prevalence study in dry season: At Tiroshashama Village, Sokoru District.

S. No	Owners Name	Study site	Animals Name	Age (yr)	Sex	PCV %	Trpanosome Spp.			
							TC	TV	TB	Mix
1	Zenu A/Bore	T. Shashama	Gudise	6	F	22	0	0	0	0
2	A/Zinab A/Gojam	T. Shashama	Arbine	10	F	25	0	0	0	0
3	Muhidin A/Meca	T. Shashama	Dale	4	M	21	1	0	0	0
4	Taju Haji	T. Shashama	Dima	5	M	32	0	0	0	0
5	A/Biya A/Diga	T. Shashama	Arbine	8	F	24	0	0	0	0
6	Jehad A/Oli	T. Shashama	Kule	5	F	18	0	0	0	0
7	Zinab A/Suth	T. Shashama	Jibo	6	M	19	0	0	0	0
8	A/Tamam A/Dura	T. Shashama	Kamiso	8	M	32	0	0	0	0
9	Zinab A/Sura	T. Shashama	Keyo	7	M	19	0	1	0	0
10	A/Temam A/Dura	T. Shashama	Bure	4	F	26	0	0	0	0
11	A/Tamam A/Dura	T. Shashama		10	F	23	0	0	0	0
12	Husen A/Dura	T. Shashama		2	F	22	0	0	0	0
13	A/Rashad A/Temam	T. Shashama	Kamiso	5	M	23	0	0	0	0
14	A/Rashad A/Temam	T. Shashama	Diriba	4	M	31	0	0	0	0
15	A/Rashad A/Temam	T. Shashama	Dibiti	4		26	0	0	0	0
16	A/Rashad A/Temam	T. Shashama	Gudise	7	F	20	0	0	0	0
17	A/Rashad A/Temam	T. Shashama	Koke	8	F	20	0	0	0	0
18	A/Rashad A/Temam	T. Shashama	Kule	6	M	30	0	0		0
19	A/Rashad A/Temam	T. Shashama	Shalama	4	M	27	0	0	0	0
20	A/Tamam A/Gojam	T. Shashama	Dibiti	5	F	29	0	0	0	0
21	A/Tamam A/Gojam	T. Shashama	Tutashe	4	F	25	0	0	0	0
22	A/Tamam A/Gojam	T. Shashama	Dima	6	M	23	0	0	0	0
23	A/Rashad A/Garo	T. Shashama	Magale	6	F	20	0	0	0	0
24	A/Rashed A/Garo	T. Shashama	Kule	5	M	20	0	0	0	0
25	A/Rashed A/Garo	T. Shashama	File	6	M	25	0	0	0	0
26	A/Rashed A/Garo	T. Shashama	Dalote	5	F	18	0	0	0	0

27	A/ Oli Haji	T. Shashama	Maraje	6	F	21	0	0	0	0
28	A/ Oli Haji	T. Shashama	Qalbise	4	F	22	0	0	0	0
29	A/ Oli Haji	T. Shashama	Gudise	4	F	27	0	0	0	0
30	A/ Oli Haji	T. Shashama	Bokile	5	M	22	0	0	0	0
31	A/ Oli Haji	T. Shashama	Bure	5	F	27	0	0	0	0
32	A/ Oli Haji	T. Shashama	dale	5	F	25	0	0	0	0
33	Muhidin A/Mecha	T. Shashama	Sura	7	M	21	0	0	0	0
34	Muhidin A/Mecha	T. Shashama	Gudata	3	M	18	0	0	0	0
35	Muhidin A/Mecha	T. Shashama	Digiti	8	F	22	0	0	0	0
36	Muhidin A/Mecha	T. Shashama	Salfiso	9	M	18	0	0	0	0
37	Bulo A/Milki	T. Shashama	Dima	6	M	24	0	0	0	0
38	Bulo A/Milki	T. Shashama	Dajela	7	M	20	0	0	0	0
39	Bulo A/Milki	T. Shashama	Dimitu	5	F	20	0	0	0	0
40	Bulo A/Milki	T. Shashama	Dalati	6	F	21	0	0	0	0
41	Bulo A/Milki	T. Shashama	Kamise	6	F	20	0	0	0	0
42	Bulo A/Milki	T. Shashama	Hormate	9	F	24	0	0	0	0
43	A/Zinab A/Gojam	T. Shashama	Kulee	10	M	27	0	0	0	0
44	A/Zinab A/Gojam	T. Shashama	Dima	10	M	18	0	0	0	0
45	A/Zinab A/Gojam	T. Shashama	Dale	8	M	20	0	0	0	0
46	A/Zinab A/Gojam	T. Shashama	Kulani	7	F	23	0	0	0	0
47	A/Zinab A/Gojam	T. Shashama	Danbobe	8	F	21	0	0	0	0
48	A/Zinab A/Gojam	T. Shashama	Buree	10	M	25	0	0	0	0
49	A/Zinab A/Gojam	T. Shashama	Bokile	9	M	21	0	0	0	0
50	Nasir A/Gidi	T. Shashama	Gudise	10	F	24	0	0	0	0
51	Nasiru A/Gidi	T. Shashama	Gudata	8	M	30	0	0	0	0
52	Nasiru A/Gidi	T. Shashama	Kamise	5	F	28	0	0	0	0
53	Nasiru A/Gidi	T. Shashama	Tujube	7	F	24	1	0	0	0
54	Nasiru A/Gidi	T. Shashama	Dima	8	M	24	0	0	0	0
55	A/Gidi A/Fita	T. Shashama	Gobana	9	M	24	0	0	0	0
56	A/Gidi A/Fita	T. Shashama	Magale	7	M	26	0	0	0	0
57	A/Gidi A/Fita	T. Shashama	Dale	7	M	25	0	0	0	0
58	A/Gidi A/Fita	T. Shashama	Kamise	5	F	30	0	0	0	0
59	A/Gidi A/Fita	T. Shashama	Gudise	6	F	28	0	0	0	0
60	A/Gidi A/Fita	T. Shashama	Driba	4	M	27	0	0	0	0
61	A/Diga A/Diko	T. Shashama	Bure	3	F	22	0	0	0	0
62	A/Diga A/Diko	T. Shashama	Kamise	6	F	24	0	1	0	0
63	A/Diga A/Diko	T. Shashama	Boka	4	M	25	0	0	0	0
64	A/Diga A/Diko	T. Shashama	Maraje	8	F	25	0	0	0	0
65	A/Zinab A Fogi	T. Shashama	Bore	8	M	21	0	0	0	0
66	A/Zinab A Fogi	T. Shashama	Danbobe	6	F	20	0	0	0	0
67	A/Zinab A Fogi	T. Shashama	Dima	5	M	15	0	0	0	0
68	A/Zinab A Fogi	T. Shashama	Bora	8	M	25	0	0	0	0
69	A/Tamam A/Garu	T. Shashama	Gudise	8	F	24	0	0	0	0
70	A/Tamam A/Garu	T. Shashama	Bora	7	F	22	0	0	0	0
71	A/Tamam A/Garu	T. Shashama	Dale	8	M	24	0	0	0	0
72	A/Tamam A/Garu	T. Shashama	Dalgisa	7	M	25	0	0	0	0
73	A/Tamam A/Garu	T. Shashama	Kamise	7	F	29	0	0	0	0

74	Mohamed A/Jehad	T. Shashama	Kule	7	M	18	0	1	0	0
75	Mohamed A/Jehad	T. Shashama	Dale	6	M	25	0	0	0	0
76	Mohamed A/Jehad	T. Shashama	Gudise	7	F	27	0	0	0	0
77	Mohamed A/Jehad	T. Shashama	Kamise	6	F	26	0	0	0	0
78	Mohamed A/Jehad	T. Shashama	Bokile	7	F	29	0	0	0	0
79	Mohamed A/Jehad	T. Shashama	Dalati	3	F	21	0	0	0	0
80	Mohamed A/Jehad	T. Shashama	Jibo	3	F	23	0	0	0	0
81	Mohamed A/Jehad	T. Shashama	Kulee	3	M	25	0	0	0	0
82	Mohamed A/Gidi	T. Shashama	Kamise	12	F	26	0	0	0	0
83	Mohamed A/Gidi	T. Shashama	Gudise	9	F	27	0	0	0	0
84	Mohamed A/Gidi	T. Shashama	Bokile	8	F	30	0	0	0	0
85	Mohamed A/Gidi	T. Shashama	Kajela	6	M	29	0	0	0	0
86	Mohamed A/Gidi	T. Shashama	Bure	5	M	27	0	0	0	0
87	Mohamed A/Gidi	T. Shashama	Dale	4	M	25	0	0	0	0
88	Mohamed A/Gidi	T. Shashama	Adi	3	F	27	0	0	0	0
89	A/Meca A/Diga	T. Shashama	Sikatu	10	F	27	0	0	0	0
90	A/Meca A/Diga	T. Shashama	Dibiti	8	F	23	1	0	0	0
91	A/Meca A/Diga	T. Shashama	Kulani	4	F	19	0	1	0	0
92	A/Meca A/Diga	T. Shashama	Bure	3	F	27	0	0	0	0
93	A/Meca A/Diga	T. Shashama	Qubsa	8	M	28	0	0	0	0
94	A/Meca A/Diga	T. Shashama	Damana	4	M	26	0	0	0	0
95	Zinab Aba Jeble	T. Shashama	Kamise	10	F	25	0	0	0	0
96	Zinab Aba Jeble	T. Shashama	Nagase	8	F	22	0	1	0	0
97	Zinab Aba Jeble	T. Shashama	Magale	8	F	33	0	0	0	0
98	Zinab Aba Jeble	T. Shashama	Bokile	4	M	29	0	0	0	0
99	Zinab Aba Jeble	T. Shashama	Magale	3	M	30	0	0	0	0
100	Zinab Aba Jeble	T. Shashama	Dale	6	M	28	0	0	0	0
101	Jebel A/Defair	T. Shashama	Kamiso	5	M	17	0	0	0	0
102	A/Liki A/Defar	T. Shashama	Tirfe	5	F	21	0	0	0	0
103	Kedir A/Liki	T. Shashama	Tufala	12	F	15	0	0	0	0
104	Abdisa A/Gisa	T. Shashama	Dalati	7	F	20	0	0	0	0
105	Nuradin A/Oli	T. Shashama	Dalee	6	F	20	1	0	0	0
106	A/Biya Rashad	T. Shashama	Gudata	6	M	28	0	0	0	0
107	Rashad A/Oli	T. Shashama	Ture	6	F	30	0	0	0	0
108	Haha Nazif	T. Shashama	Maditu	7	F	24	0	0	0	0
109	A/Hunda A/Dama	T. Shashama	Danbobe	7	F	20	0	0	0	0
110	H/Hunda A/Dilbi	T. Shashama	Dalee	6	F	24	0	0	0	0
111	Hanisa Shamsu	T. Shashama	Gudata	3	M	22	0	0	0	0
112	Musadir A/Jeble	T. Shashama	Kulani	6	F	23	0	0	0	0
113	Zakir A/Oli	T. Shashama	Sikatu	10	F	25	0	1	0	0
114	Jebel A/Sanbi	T. Shashama	Gudise	6	F	26	0	0	0	0
115	Biya A/Zinab	T. Shashama	Gudise	4	F	25	0	0	0	0
116	A/Temam A/Dura	T. Shashama	Kamiso	3	M	22	0	0	0	0
117	Ahmed A/Dilbi	T. Shashama	Gudala	7	M	24	0	0	0	0
118	Hussen A/Dilbi	T. Shashama	Kananisa	3	M	27	0	0	0	0
119	A/Bahir A/Biya	T. Shashama	Kantu	3	F	20	0	1	0	0
120	A/Moga A/Liki	T. Shashama	Homate	7	F	26	0	1	0	0

121	A/Rajad A/Biya	T. Shashama	Dima	5	M	25	0	1	0	0
122	Driba A/Dilib	T. Shashama	Bure	3	M	21	0	1	0	0
123	Jeble A/Fogi	T. Shashama	Dalee	5	M	30	0	0	0	0
124	Hussen A/Naga	T. Shashama	Keyo	6	M	21	0	0	0	0
125	Mifta A/Mecha	T. Shashama	Dima	3	M	20	0	0	0	0
126	A/Biya A/Mecha	T. Shashama	Bokile	10	F	26	0	0	0	0
127	A/Oli A/Sura	T. Shashama	Fitu	7	F	24	0	0	0	0
128	Mifta A/Sura	T. Shashama	Arbine	4	F	24	0	0	0	0
129	A/Biya A/Diga	T. Shashama	Kajelu	5	M	16	0	0	0	0
130	Mifta A/Zinab	T. Shashama	Gudise	5	F	24	0	0	0	0
131	Mohamed Jabir	T. Shashama	Kajele	3	M	21	0	0	0	0
132	Mifta A/Jehad	T. Shashama	Gudise	6	F	18	0	0	0	0
133	Muhidin A/Temam	T. Shashama	Jimalo	6	F	27	0	0	0	0
134	Hussen A/Godu	T. Shashama	Fule	4	F	22	0	0	0	0
135	A/Hunda S/Sadik	T. Shashama	Kulee	5	M	25	0	0	0	0
136	A/Jebel A/moga	T.shashama	Gudise	5	F	26	0	0	0	0
137	Tahir A/biga	T.shashama	Dima	9	M	16	0	0	0	0
138	Jebel A/Bulgu	T.shashama	Bokel	6	M	27	0	0	0	0
139	A/Gisa A/Gibe	T.shashama	Gobane	8	F	28	0	0	0	0
140	A/Temam A/Gojam	T.shashama	Sekatu	7	F	26	0	0	0	0
141	Zinab A/Gojam	T.shashama	dalee	6	M	24	0	0	0	0
142	A/Jehad A/Gojam	T.shashama	Kalue	8	F	27	0	0	0	0
143	Hussen A/Godu	T.shashama	Idose	8	M	25	0	0	0	0
144	Zenu A/Bore	T.shashama	Bure	6	M	18	0	0	0	0
145	A/Jebel A/Simel	T.shashama	Bokle	6	M	19	0	0	0	0
146	A/Jehad A/mjilki	T.shashama	Dalati	5	F	27	0	0	0	0
147	Mufta A/Jebel	T.shashama	Jitu	8	F	20	0	0	0	0
148	A/Biya A/shubisa	T.shashama	shank	5	M	28	0	0	0	0
149	Taju A/Jebel	T.shashama	Kajela	5	M	25	0	0	0	0
150	A/Ddildbi S/Abdo	T.shashama	Gudise	9	F	28	0	0	0	0
151	A/Bor A/Fita	T.shashama	Dima	6	M	24	1	0	0	0
152	A/Nega A/Diga	T.shashama	Kulee	7	M	27	0	0	0	0
153	A/Zinab A/Gazo	T.shashama	Jjibo	6	M	22	0	0	0	0
154	A/Gidi A/Milki	T.shashama	Bokile	8	M	21	0	0	0	0
155	A/Gidi A/Milki	T.shashama	Bure	6	M	28	0	0	0	0
156	A/Moga A/godu	T.shashama	Hinsene	5	F	25	0	0	0	0
157	A/Meca A/Garo	T.shashama	Keyo	5	M	26	0	0	0	0
158	A/Biya A/diga	T.shashama	Kajela	7	M	27	0	0	0	0
159	A/Rashad A/garo	T.shashama	Jimate	4	F	22	0	0	0	0
160	Muhidin A/Meca	T.shashama	sartike	4	M	26	0	0	0	0
161	A/Rashad A/Temam	T.shashama	Dribe	5	F	24	0	0	0	0
162	A/Biya A/Bore	T.shashama	Kulee	6	M	26	0	0	0	0
163	Jamam A/Biya	T.shashama	Waritu	4	F	23	0	0	0	0
164	A/Bore A/chabsa	T.shashama	bokile	5	M	27	1	0	0	0
165	A/Rijal A/Biya	T.shashama	Kamise	6	M	35	0	0	0	0

166	A/Gidi A/Fita	T.shashama	Dime	6	M	26	0	1	0	0
167	A/Bore A/dure	T.shashama	Dalee	5	M	35	0	0	0	0
168	Nasir A/diga	T.shashama	Diribe	4	M	31	0	0	0	0
169	A/Garo A/Simel	T.shashama	Gudise	6	M	25	1	0	0	0
170	A/Jebel A/Foga	T.shashama	Bure	5	M	29	0	0	0	0
171	Najj Biyaa	T.shashama	Dale	4	M	30	0	0	0	0
172	A/Jehad A/Gidi	T.shashama	Bure	6	M	15	0	0	0	0
173	Nutadin A/di	T.shashama	Dalee	5	M	24	0	0	0	0
174	A/Salim A/Dafis	T.shashama	Kuta	4	M	21	0	0	0	0
175	A/Rashad A/Dilb	T.shashama	Jita	5	F	24	0	0	0	0
176	A/Dilbi A/sura	T.shashama	Dima	6	M	27	0	1	0	0
177	A/Zinab S/Adndur	T.shashama	Kenitu	5	F	15	1	0	0	0
178	A/Jehel A/Fogi	T.shashama	Kulee	4	M	24	0	0	0	0
179	A/Rashad A/meca	T.shashama	Bure	5	M	26	1	0	0	0
180	Mifta s/kemal	T.shashama	Kulee	3	M	18	0	0	0	0
181	Jehel A/Naga	T.shashama	Bule	4	M	23	0	0	0	0
182	Zinetu A/Nega	T.shashama	Dima	6	M	30	0	0	0	0
183	Jehel A/Biyaa	T.shashama	Bure	3	M	32	0	0	0	0
184	A/oli S/Abdo	T.shashama	Kajele	6	M	30	0	0	0	0
185	A/Dilbi A/chabsa	T.shashama	Jimate	4	F	22	0	0	0	0
	Total PCV					4777				
	Mean PCV %					24.2				

Annex 7 PCV (%) Values of treatment groups of cattle during longitudinal Study days after isometamidium Block treatment at Abelty Village Sokoru District.

S.No	Owners Name	Study site	Study group	ID No	Animals name	Sex	Age (yr)	PCV value % on days						PCV %	
								0	14	28	42	56	70	84	31
1	Kemal A/Moga	Abelty	Treat	01	Keyo	M	3	25	45	38	35	28	25	24	23
2	Rashad A/Bore	Abelty	Treat	02	Kulee	M	5	18	19	28	29	29	26	25	27
3	Daksis duguma	Abelty	Treat	03	Chawomsa	M	6	27	30	31	30	27	24	22	28
4	Abebaw Shiferaw	Abelty	Treat	04	Gudise	F	4	27	25	30	29	31	29	28	28
5	Mustefa A/Mega	Abelty	Treat	05	Dribe	F	5	30	35	36	34	31	27	26	31
6	Shiferaw Debalke	Abelty	Treat	06	Zendo	M	5	28	25	33	31	30	25	21	28
7	A/Bore A/Moga	Abelty	Treat	07	Dollar	M	6	25	33	33	32	26	22	20	
8	Tesema Hayilu	Abelty	Treat	08	Kamise	F	2	29	17	22	28	27	25	24	24
9	Diebi A/Garo	Abelty	Treat	09	Kulee	M	4	17	27	28	29	23	24	23	24
10	Sherefa A/Bulgu	Abelty	Treat	10	Degile	F	3	18	17	24	28	28	26	25	23
11	Zemzam Murad	Abelty	Treat	11	Kanani	F	6	25	35	33	30	28	25	24	28
12	Taye Dura	Abelty	Treat	12	Dale	M	4	20	27	28	28	27	25	25	26
13	Zeinu A/Moga	Abelty	Treat	13	Kemise	F	5	35	29	30	29	29	27	27	30
14	Mustefa A/Sanbi	Abelty	Treat	14	Kemise	F	4	22	34	27	30	26	25	28	28
15	Sherefa A/Gojam	Abelty	Treat	15	Kemise	F	4	20	36	34	32	29	28	27	30
16	Dilbi Lulessaa	Abelty	Treat	16	Gudise	F	6	25	39	38	35	34	31	30	33
17	Bekele Danye	Abelty	Treat	17	Boka	M	5	22	32	33	30	29	25	23	28
18	Nasir A/Milki	Abelty	Treat	18	Getu	M	7	25	37	28	26	22	24	25	27
19	Driba A/Garo	Abelty	Treat	19	Dima	M	4	25	37	32	31	25	25	23	28
20	Gidi A/Garo	Abelty	Treat	20	Arbiyo	M	5	25	15	24	28	27	26	23	24
21	Sherefaw W/Giyorgis	Abelty	Treat	21	Soren	M	6	30	28	29	29	26	24	21	26
22	Zenu KedirA/Moga A	Abelty	Treat	22	Dabale	F	3	19	23	27	32	32	30	30	27
23	A/Moga A/Garo	Abelty	Treat	23	Gudise	F	4	35	26	30	28	27	27	25	27
24	Mengistu Getachew	Abelty	Treat	24	Boka	M	4	40	21	23	24	23	22	21	24
25	Mengistu Getachew	Abelty	Treat	25	Kenu	M	5	27	27	27	26	25	22	22	25
	Total PCV							669	719	746	743	689	639	612	
	Mean PCV %							25.56	28.76	29.84	29.7	27.56	25.56	23.56	



S.No	Owners Name	Study site	Study group	ID No	Animals name	Sex	Age (yr)	PCV value % on days							PCV %	
								0	14	28		56	70	84	31	
26	Beklech A/Dura	Abelty	Control	26	Dingate	F	4	28	31	32	29	25	25	20	20	
27	Zelke Yimenu	Abelty	Control	27	Dale	M	7	25	25	28	27	26	24	21	21	
28	Tekle Kidane	Abelty	Control	28	Kulee	M	5	18	19	25	28	27	24	22	22	
29	Meka A/Gisa	Abelty	Control	29	Arbine	F	4	25	26	29	30	29	28	29	29	
30	Abetaw Gebeyehu	Abelty	Control	30	Monyo	F	3	17	18	28	26	23	21	18	18	
31	A/Zinab A/Bulgu	Abelty	Control	31	Anbise	F	3	30	32	31	29	25	23	18	19	
32	Gebre Wolde	Abelty	Control	32	Dinblal	F	4	27	28	26	27	26	26	25	25	
33	Abebaw Gebeyhu	Abelty	Control	33	Kulee	M	2	20	22	24	27	26	25	25	25	
34	Abtew Gebeyehu	Abelty	Control	34	Menor	M	5	18	18	25	26	23	21	18	18	
35	Abtew Gebeyehu	Abelty	Control	35	Chago	M	4	26	28	31	20	24	23	20	20	
36	Abtew Gebeyehu	Abelty	Control	36	Wubit	F	5	29	30	31	25	24	19	15	16	
37	Bedlu Danye	Abelty	Control	37	Kulee	M	6	27	22	26	27	26	23	22	22	
38	Bedlu Danye	Abelty	Control	38	Biruk	M	6	21	30	32	24	30	28	29	29	
39	Bedlu Danye	Abelty	Control	39	Tihunzer	F	5	29	30	25	20	22	25	24	24	
40	Zewdie Chaire	Abelty	Control	40	Boke	M	7	26	28	29	28	28	28	27	27	
41	Kebede Nigatu	Abelty	Control	41	Keno	M	6	27	25	28	27	29	27	26	26	
42	Tsegaye Getnet	Abelty	Control	42	Megal	M	4	19	30	31	28	27	24	22	22	
43	Zewdie Chaire	Abelty	Control	43	Shashe	F	5	23	31	25	18	22	24	25	25	
44	Tsegaye Getnet	Abelty	Control	44	Shigut	M	5	28	31	29	26	25	23	20	20	
45	Gebre Mohamed	Abelty	Control	45	Dibu	M	4	24	28	28	28	27	28	28	28	
46	Taju A/Sanbi	Abelty	Control	46	Boke	M	6	27	30	31	29	29	28	27	27	
47	A/Gojam A/Diga	Abelty	Control	47	Hbtamu	M	5	25	27	31	33	28	24	22	22	
48	Tsedale Zewdie	Abelty	Control	48	Shashe	F	4	23	25	29	31	27	26	25	25	
49	Nuri A/Bulgu	Abelty	Control	49	Shigute	M	6	29	31	29	26	24	23	20	20	
50	Zelege Yimenu	Abelty	Control	50	Dale	M	5	27	29	27	23	26	25	26	26	
	Total PCV							618	674	710	662	648	614	574		
	Mean PCV %							24.7	27	28.4	26.48	25.92	24.56	22.96		

S.No	Owners Name	study site	study group	ID NO	animals name	sex	Age (yr)	pcv value % no days						
								0	14	28	42	56	70	84
51	A/Jeble A/Moga	T.shashama	Treatm	51	Gudise	F	9	24	26	28	30	33	28	24
52	Tahir A/Biya	T.shashama	Treatm	52	Dima	M	9	20	16	26	29	36	Tc24	14
53	Jebel A/bulgu	T.shashama	Treatm	53	Bokile	M	6	24	27	29	30	30	29	29
54	A/Gisa A/Gibe	T.shashama	Treatm	54	Gobaane	F	8	25	28	28	25	33	25	19
55	A/Temam A/Gojam	T.shashama	Treatm	55	Sekatu	F	8	25	26	27	33	36	32	27
56	Zinab A/Bore	T.shashama	Treatm	56	Dale	M	6	22	24	26	38	40	35	29
57	A/Jehad A/Gojam	T.shashama	Treatm	57	Kaljue	F	8	25	27	28	29	34	25	18
58	Husen A/Godu	T.shashama	Treatm	58	Idose	M	8	23	25	26	27	26	24	20
59	Zenu A/Bore	T.shashama	Treatm	58	Bure	M	6	17	18	27	29	28	25	21
60	A/Jebel A/Simel	T.shashama	Treatm	60	Bokile	M	6	18	19	26	28	30	25	28
61	A/Jehad A/Milki	T.shashama	Treatm	61	dalati	F	5	26	27	26	29	31	27	25
62	Mifta a/Jebee	T.shashama	Treatm	62	Jitu	F	8	18	20	25	27	28	24	20
63	A/bijya A/Shubesa	T.shashama	Treatm	63	shanko	M	5	27	28	29	28	30	26	21
64	Taju A/Jebee	T.shashama	Treatm	64	Kajela	M	5	24	25	18	18	19	20	15
65	A/Dilbi S/Abdo	T.shashama	Treatm	65	Guddise	F	9	25	26	27	26	30	27	23
66	A/Bore A/Fita	T.shashama	Treatm	66	dima	M	6	22	24	26	30	36	31	24
67	A/Nega A/Diga T.	T.shashama	Treatm	67	Kule	M	9	26	27	28	30	32	27	21
68	A/Zinab A/Gojam	T.shashama	Treatm	68	Jibo	M	7	21	22	24	18	18	20	21
69	A/Bore A/Garo	T.shashama	Treatm	69	Bokile	M	6	20	21	26	26	27	29	31
70	A/Gidi A/milki	T.shashama	Treatm	70	Bure	M	8	27	28	27	24	26	26	25
71	A/Moga A/Godu	T.shashama	Treatm	71	Hinsene	F	6	24	25	26	26	29	18	10
72	A/Mechaa A/Garo	T.shashama	Treatm	72	Keyo	M	5	25	26	26	28	33	29	24
73	A/Biyaa A/Diga	T.shashama	Treatm	73	Kajela	M	5	28	28	27	29	34	26	18
74	A/Rashad A/Garo	T.shashama	Treatm	74	Jimate	F	7	26	27	26	21	24	25	26
75	Muhidin A/Mecha	T.shashama	Treatm	75	Santike	M	4	21	22	25	24	26	24	21
	Herd total pcv							583	612	657	681	748	651	554
	Mean pcv(%)							23.32	24.48	26	27	30	26	22.16

S.No	Owners Name	study site	study group	ID NO	animals name	sex	Age (yr)	pcv value % no days						
								0	14	28	42	56	70	84
76	A/Rashad A/temam	T.shashama	control	76	Dribe	F	5	26	26	27	29	34	30	24
77	A/Biya A/Bore	T.shashama	control	77	Kule	M	6	22	23	25	26	29	28	26
78	Tamam A/Biya	T.shashama	control	78	Waritu	F	4	25	27	26	28	30	27	23
79	A/Bore A/Chabsa	T.shashama	control	79	Bokile	M	5	24	25	27	25	28	28	27
80	A/Rijal A/Biya	T.shashama	control	80	Kamise	M	6	18	19	27	26	27	32	35
81	A/Gidi A/Fita	T.shashama	control	81	Dima	M	6	15	15	25	28	32	29	26
82	A/Bore A/Duta	T.shashama	control	82	Dale	M	5	27	29	26	27	29	33	35
83	Nasir A/Diga	T.shashama	control	83	Diriba	M	4	25	26	27	24	29	29	31
84	A/Garo A/simel	T.shashama	control	84	Gudise	M	6	23	25	27	25	27	27	25
85	A/Jebee A/Fogi	T.shashama	control	85	Bure	M	5	26	28	29	28	30	30	29
86	Naji biga	T.shashama	control	86	Dale	M	4	22	23	27	26	31	31	30
87	A/Jehad A/Gidi	T.shashama	control	87	Bure	M	6	24	27	28	26	23	23	15
88	Nutadin A/oli	T.shashama	control	88	Dale	M	5	28	30	31	27	27	27	24
89	A/salam A/Datis	T.shashama	control	89	Kule	M	4	25	26	24	17	19	23	21
90	A/Rashad A/milki	T.shashama	control	90	Jitu	M	5	23	25	27	26	29	27	24
91	A/Diebi A/suta	T.shashama	control	91	Dima	M	6	28	31	28	28	30	29	27
92	A/Zinab S/Adbadir	T.shashama	control	92	Kenitu	F	5	27	29	28	27	30	24	15
93	A/Jedbel A/Mecha	T.shashama	control	93	Kule	M	4	19	21	20	16	18	22	24
94	A/Raya A/Mecha	T.shashama	control	94	Bule	M	5	25	27	26	25	28	28	26
95	Mifta S/kemal	T.shashama	control	95	Kule	M	3	23	24	26	26	26	23	18
96	Jebel A/Nega	T.shashama	control	96	Bure	M	4	26	28	27	27	31	26	23
97	Zinetu A/Nega	T.shashama	control	97	Dima	M	6	25	26	26	29	33	32	30
98	Jebel A/Biya	T.shashama	control	98	Bure	M	3	17	18	26	28	31	32	32
99	A/oli S/Abdo	T.shashama	control	99	Kajela	M	6	25	26	27	26	26	29	30
100	A/Diebi A/chabsa	T.shashama	control	100	Jimate	F	4	26	28	28	22	23	24	22
	Total pcv							594	632	665	642	706	693	642
	Mean pcv(%)							23.76	25.28	26.6	25.7	28.24	27.72	25.68

Annex -8- List of cattle registered for cross sectional trypanosomosis prevalence study in late rainy season at Abilty Village Sokoru District.

Owners Name	study site	study group	animals name	ID NO	sex	Age (yr)	Bod y wt	PC V %	Date of RX	Dose mg/kg	Dose in ml/kg
A/Jebel A/moga	T.shashama	Treatm.	Gudise	51	F	5	216	26	29/11/05	1	11
Tahir A/biga	T.shashama	Treatm.	Dima	52	M	9	236	16	29/11/05	1	12
Jebel A/Bulgu	T.shashama	Treatm.	Bokel	53	M	6	256	27	29/11/05	1	13
A/Gisa A/Gibe	T.shashama	Treatm.	Gobane	54	F	8	178	28	29/11/05	1	10
A/Temam A/Gojam	T.shashama	Treatm.	Sekatu	55	F	7	248	26	29/11/05	1	12
Zinab A/Gojam	T.shashama	Treatm.	dalee	56	M	6	296	24	29/11/05	1	14
A/Jehad A/Gojam	T.shashama	Treatm.	Kalue	57	F	8	202	27	29/11/05	1	15
Hussen A/Godu	T.shashama	Treatm.	Idose	58	M	8	174	25	29/11/15	1	10
Zenu A/Bore	T.shashama	Treatm.	Bure	59	M	6	220	18	29/11/05	1	9
A/Jebel A/Simel	T.shashama	Treatm.	Bokle	60	M	6	240	19	29/11/05	1	11
A/Jehad A/mjilki	T.shashama	Treatm.	Dalati	61	F	5	174	27	29/11/05	1	12
Mufta A/Jebel	T.shashama	Treatm.	Jitu	62	F	8	243	20	29/11/05	1	9
A/Biya A/shubisa	T.shashama	Treatm.	shank	63	M	5	181	28	29/11/05	1	12
Taju A/Jebel	T.shashama	Treatm.	Kajela	64	M	5	166	25	29/11/05	1	9
A/Ddildbi S/Abdo	T.shashama	Treatm.	Gudise	65	F	9	247	28	29/11/05	1	8
A/Bor A/Fita	T.shashama	Treatm.	Dima	66	M	6	264	24	29/11/05	1	12
A/Nega A/Diga	T.shashama	Treatm.	Kulee	67	M	7	290	27	29/11/05	1	15
A/Zinab A/Gazo	T.shashama	Treatm.	Jjibo	68	M	6	174	22	29/11/05	1	9
A/Gidi A/Milki	T.shashama	Treatm.	Bokile	69	M	8	240	21	29/11/05	1	12
A/Gidi A/Milki	T.shashama	Treatm.	Bure	70	M	6	296	28	29/11/05	1	15
A/Moga A/godu	T.shashama	Treatm.	Hinsene	71	F	5	171	25	29/11/05	1	15
A/Meca A/Garo	T.shashama	Treatm.	Keyo	72	M	5	257	26	29/11/05	1	9
A/Biya A/diga	T.shashama	Treatm.	Kajela	73	M	7	247	27	29/11/05	1	13
A/Rashad A/garo	T.shashama	Treatm.	Jimate	74	F	4	248	22	29/11/05	1	12
Muhidin A/Meca	T.shashama	Treatm.	sartike	75	M	4	296	26	29/11/05	1	12
A/Rashad A/Temam	T.shashama	control	Dribe	76	F	5	205	24	Control group		
A/Biya A/Bore	T.shashama	control	Kulee	77	M	6	212	26	Control group		
Jamam A/Biya	T.shashama	control	Waritu	78	F	4	195	23	Control group		
A/Bore A/chabsa	T.shashama	control	bokile	79	M	5	210	27	Control group		
A/Rijal A/Biya	T.shashama	control	Kamise	80	M	6	200	35	Control group		
A/Gidi A/Fita	T.shashama	control	Dime	81	M	6	227	26	Control group		
A/Bore A/dure	T.shashama	control	Dalee	82	M	5	205	35	Control group		
Nasir A/diga	T.shashama	control	Diribe	83	M	4	198	31	Control group		
A/Garo A/Simel	T.shashama	control	Gudise	84	M	6	200	25	Control group		
A/Jebel A/Foga	T.shashama	control	Bure	85	M	5	215	29	Control group		
Najj Biyaa	T.shashama	control	Dale	86	M	4	205	30	Control group		
A/Jehad A/Gidi	T.shashama	control	Bure	87	M	6	210	15	Control group		
Nutadin A/di	T.shashama	control	Dalee	88	M	5	204	24	Control group		
A/Salim A/Dafis	T.shashama	control	Kuta	89	M	4	200	21	Control group		
A/Rashad A/Dilb	T.shashama	control	Jita	90	F	5	205	24	Control group		
A/Dilbi A/sura	T.shashama	control	Dima	91	M	6	208	27	Control group		
A/Zinab S/Adndur	T.shashama	control	Kenitu	92	F	5	185	15	Control group		
A/Jehel A/Fogi	T.shashama	control	Kulee	93	M	4	190	24	Control group		
A/Rashad A/meca	T.shashama	control	Bure	94	M	5	185	26	Control group		
Mifta s/kemal	T.shashama	control	Kulee	95	M	3	168	18	Control group		
Jehel A/Naga	T.shashama	control	Bule	96	M	4	195	23	Control group		
Zinetu A/Nega	T.shashama	control	Dima	97	M	6	210	30	Control group		
Jehel A/Biyaa	T.shashama	control	Bure	98	M	3	174	32	Control group		
A/oli S/Abdo	T.shashama	control	Kajele	99	M	6	220	30	Control group		
A/Dilbi A/chabsa	T.shashama	control	Jimate	100	F	4	190	22	Control group		

Annex - 9 Animals used for drug efficacy test at Abelty site.

Isometamidium treated & none treated

S. No	Owners Name	Study site	ID No	Animals name	Sex	Age (yr)	Body wt	Parasit aemic Befor RX	PCV %	Date of RX
1	Kemal A/Moga	Abelty	01	Keyoo	M	3	155	0	25	30/11/2005
2	Rashad A/Bore	Abelty	02	Kulee	M	5	230	0	18	30/11/2005
3	Daksisa Duguma	Abelty	03	Chawomsa	M	6	264	0	25	30/11/2005
4	Abebaw Shifera	Abelty	04	Gudise	F	4	268	0	27	30/11/2005
5	Mustefa A/Moga	Abelty	05	Dribe	F	5	264	0	30	30/11/2005
6	Shiferaw Debalke	Abelty	06	Zendo	M	5	147	0	28	30/11/2005
7	A/Bore A/Moga	Abelty	07	Dollar	M	6	272	0	25	30/11/2005
8	Tesema Hayilu	Abelty	08	Kamise	F	2	100	0	29	30/11/2005
9	Dilbi A/Gore	Abelty	09	Kulee	M	4	246	0	17	30/11/2005
10	Sherefa A/Bulgu	Abelty	10	Degile	F	3	190	0	18	30/11/2005
11	Zemzem Murad	Abelty	11	Kanani	F	6	203	0	25	30/11/2005
12	Taye Dura	Abelty	12	Dale	M	4	243	0	20	30/11/2005
13	Zeinu A/Moga	Abelty	13	Kemise	F	5	290	0	35	30/11/2005
14	Mustefa A/Sanbi	Abelty	14	Kamise	F	4	182	0	22	30/11/2005
							277			
15	Sherefa A/Gojam	Abelty	15	Kamise	F	4		0	20	30/11/2005
16	Dilbi Lulessa	Abelty	16	Gudisse	F	6	227	0	25	30/11/2005
17	Bekele Danye	Abelty	17	Boka	M	5	250	0	24	30-11=2005
18	Nasir A/Milki	Abelty	18	Getu	M	5	220	0	26	30-11=2005
19	Driba A/Garo	Abelty	19	B0ka	M	5	264	0	18	30=11=2005
20	A/Gidi A/Garo	Abelty	20	Getu	M	7	290	0	18	30-11-05
	Sherefaw									
21	W/Giyorgis	Abelty	21	Soren	M	6	255	0	30	30-11-05
22	Zenu Kedir	Abelty	22	Dabale	F	3	202	0	19	30-11-05
23	A/Moga A/Garo	Abelty	23	Gudise	F	4	232	0	35	30--11-05
	Mengistu									
24	Getachew	Abelty	24	Bokle	M	4	240	0	21	30-11-05
25	Bekelech A/Dura	Abelty	25	Kenu	M	5	164	0	25	30-11-05
26	Zelke Yimenu	Abelty	26	Dinate	M	4	190	0	28	Congrol group
27	Tekle Kidane	Abelty	27	Dalee	M	7	200	0	25	
28	Meka A/Gisa	Abelty	28	Kulee	M	5	210	0	18	
29	Meka A/Gisa	Abelty	29	Arbine	F	4	195	0	25	
30	Abtew Gebeyehu	Abelty	30	Monyoo	M	3	198	0	17	
31	A/Zinab A/Bulgu	Abelty	31	Anbise	F	3	186	0	30	
32	Gebre Wolde	Abelty	32	Dinblal	F	4	205	0	27	
33	Abtew Gebeyehu	Abelty	33	Kulee	M	2	105	0	20	
34	Abtew Gebeyehu	Abelty	34	Menor	M	5	230	0	18	
35	Abtew Gebeyehu	Abelty	35	Chago	M	4	185	0	26	
36	Abtew Gebeyehu	Abelty	36	Hubit	F	5	197	0	29	
37	Bedlu Danye	Abelty	37	Kulee	M	6	210	0	27	

38	Bedlu Danye	Abelty	38	Biruk	M	6	196	0	21	
39	Bedlu Danye	Abelty	39	Tihunzer	F	5	188	0	29	
40	Zewdie Chaire	Abelty	40	Boke	M	7	230	0	26	
41	Kebede Nigatu	Abelty	41	Keno	M	6	210	0	27	
42	Tsegaye Getnet	Abelty	42	Megal	M	4	195	0	19	
43	Zewdie Chaire	Abelty	43	Shasho	F	5	204	0	23	
44	Tsegaye Getnet	Abelty	44	Shigut	M	5	205	0	28	
45	Gebre Mohamed	Abelty	45	Dyber	M	4	200	0	24	
46	Taju A/Sanbi	Abelty	46	Boke	M	6	194	0	27	
47	A/Gojam A/Diga	Abelty	47	Habtamu	M	5	215	0	25	
48	Tsedale Zewdie	Abelty	48	Sasho	F	4	198	0	23	
49	Nuri A/Bulgu	Abelty	49	Shigate	M	6	205	0	29	
50	Zelege Yimenu	Abelty	50	Dale	M	5	200	0	27	

**Annex -10- Descriptions of Questionnaire Results Conducted During
Questionnaire Survey at
Abelty &Tiroshashama Villages of Sokoru District.**

Description	No of Interviwed farmers (Responders)		Total	
	Abelty village	Tiroshashama village	Men	%
1) Live stock Disease				
Trypanosomosis black leg	10	10	20	100%
Black leg	7	7	14	70%
Pasterulloris	6	6	12	60%
Internal patasite	5	5	10	50%
External patasite	3	3	6	30%
Anthrax	2	2	4	20%
Pneumonia	1	1	2	10%
2) Dosage of Trypanocidals				
Isometamidium				
correct dose	7	5	12	60%
under dose	3	3	8	40%
No idea	2	1	3	15%
Diminazene aceturete				
correct dose	6	8	14	70%
under dose	3	3	6	30%
No idea	1	1	2	10%
Novidium	nil	nil		nil
3)The main syndoroms of trypanosomosis				
emaciation	10	8	18	90%
Geophagia	8	8	16	80%
Censtipation	6	8	14	70%
Abortion	6	6	12	60%
Loss of appetite	5	5	10	50%
Decline of milk	5	3	8	40%
Weakness	3	3	6	30%
Tearing	2	3	5	25%
Ditarrhoea	2	2	4	20%
4) Freequency of treatment				
3 times	4	2	6	30%
4 times	7	5	12	60%
5 time	3	5	8	40%
6 time	6	4	10	50%
5) Treatment oportuntis				
Farmers them selves	5	5	10	50%
smuggalers	3	3	6	30%

11 CURRICULUM VITAE

1 Personal data

Name: Yeshityila Amede Yemer

Date of birth: October 28th, 1959

Marital status: Married

Children: One

Religion: Christian

Position: Head, Woreda Agricultural development office and team leader of Animal health services

Membership: Ethiopian Veterinary Association.

Address: P.o. box 776 Jimma, Email yahoo. 06 @ yahoo. Com.

2 Educational back ground

Sept.1956-June1960 EC : Medihane Alem primary school ,Gecha in the former Illubabor .

Sept. 1962-June1963 EC : Masha and Mettu st. Gebriel Junior secondary schools.

Sept. 1964-1969June EC: Gore Hailessilase 1st. high school and Mettu high schools
Achievement: ESLCE certificate

Jan.1967-July 1968 EC: Participated in Ediget behibret (the so-called development Though campaign
Achievement: participation Diploma and Medal.

Sept.1970-July 1971 EC:	Jimma institute of Agriculture Achievement: Diploma in general Agriculture.
July 1974 –May 1974 EC:	Berneveld Collage of Agriculture. The Netherlands. Achievements Diploma in pig husbandry and feed Processing technology
April 1974.EC	I have participated an international work shop on integrated Animal feed technology as a part of animal feed course of The Barneveld collage (The Netherlands) , which was held In United Kingdom.
Sept. 1975 –June 1981EC	Kishinove Institute of Agriculture, Moldavia the former USSR. Achievement: Doctor of Veterinary Medicine
Jan.1985-July1985 EC	Fries land Dairy Training Center, The Netherlands. Achievement: Diploma in Dairy husbandry and Extension Training.
April 1985	I have followed a one-week international workshop on Practical training of dairy as apart of dairy training course Of Fries land dairy training center, which was held in Great Britain
Nov. 11 th -.25=1991 EC	International work shop on the roll of rural appraisal small Scale dairying and milk processing held at Chana Achimota University, Accra. Achievement: Certificate
Jan 1st-Jan. 30 th. 1994 EC	Computer training (Word, Excel Access) courses. Ministry Of Agriculture. Addis Ababa Achievement: Certificate

Sept.2005 -June2006 Addis Ababa Univeristy Faculty of Veterinary medicine.
Achivement Msc degree in Tropical Veterinary Medicine

2 Work experience

July 19971-Augest 19973 EC Site manager and field supervisor at Anger Gutin
Settlement project. Wellega province.

June1974 – August 1974 EC Livestock officer Hararge province in Relief and
Rehabilitation commission

Sept.1982-Augest 1985EC Team leader of Animal and fishery development and
Veterinarian of Nadadedo Awraja

Sept.1986-January 1989EC Team leader of Animal and fishery resources Kersa
Woreda.

February 1989 July E 1994 Team leader of Jimma Zone animal health service.

Sept.1995-March 1995EC Team leader of Animal health services of Sokoru Woreda.

April 1995-Augest 1995 EC Head of Sokoru Woreda Agricultural development office

3 Research papers

Prophylaxis of parasitic diseases in sheep. DVM thesis (1989) Kishinove institute of Agriculture Moldavia state USSR (unpublished)

Tsetse transmitted Trypanosomosis in Africa current control options in Ethiopia . A paper presented for the course seminar on current tpopices FVM. AAU. Debre Zeit (un published)

Prevalence of bovine trypanosomosis in Sokoru Woreda Jimma Zone, Oromia region South West Ethiopia. Msc thesis (2006), FVM, AAU.

4 Language proficiency


Amharic	read	spoken	written
Oromic	read	spoken	written
English	read	spoken	written
Russian	read	spoken	written

12. SIGNED DECLARATION SHEET

I the under signed, declare that this thesis is my original work and has not been presented for a degree in any University

Name Yeshitila Amede Yemer _____

Signature




Date of submission

23/06/2006

This thesis is submitted for examination with my approval as university advisor

DrAsoke Kumar Basu



30 MAY 2012

1122/YES/2006

AUTHOR Yeshitila Amede

TITLE Prevalence Of Bovine Trypanosomosis In Sokoru Woreda.

DATE DUE | BORROWER'S NAME

1122
YES
2006

Prevalence Of Bovine Trypanosomosis In Sokoru Woreda, Jimma Zone, Oromia Region, South West

Yeshitila Amede

C-1