



**COLLEGE OF NATURAL AND COMPUTATIONAL SCIENCES
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS**

GRADUATE PROJECT REPORT

ON

DIAGONALLY SINGULARIZABLE MATRICES

**SUBMITTED TO THE DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF
REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF MASTER OF SCIENCE IN MATHEMATICS**

Prepared By: Teumezghi Giovanni

Advisor: Zelalem Teshome (PhD)

June, 2020

Addis Ababa, Ethiopia

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<i>Dedication</i>	iv
Acknowledgements.....	v
List of symbols (notations)	vi
Abstract	vii
Introduction.....	1
1. Preliminary Definitions.....	2
1.2.Preliminary Definitions.....	2
1.3.Theorems on Diagonally Singularizable Matrices.....	9
2. Diagonally Singularizable Matrices.....	8
2.1 Theorems on Diagonally Singularizable Matrix.....	10
2.2. Diagonally singularizing a square matrix A.....	11
2.3. Conditions for Diagonal Singularizability.....	13
2.3.1.Necessary and Sufficient Conditions	123
2.3.2. Sufficient Conditions	144
2.4. Operations Preserving Diagonal Singularizability.....	155
2.5. Symmetric Alternative	177
Summary	188
Bibliography	19

The undersigned hereby certify that they have read and recommend to the department of mathematics for acceptance of this project entitled "Diagonally Singularizable Matrices" by Teumezghi Giovanni in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science in Mathematics.

Advisor: ZelalemTeshome(PhD)

Signature:.....

Date:.....

Examiner 1:

Signature:.....

Date:.....

Examiner 2:

Signature:.....

Date:.....

June, 2020

Addis Ababa, Ethiopia

Dedication

*This project is dedicated to in loving memory of my beloved
Father Ato Giovanni Mehari and Brother AtoTsegabirhan
Giovanni!*

Acknowledgements

First and foremost, I want to thank the Almighty God for bestowing me health, strength, patience and protection throughout my life.

Next, my deepest gratitude goes to my advisor Dr. ZelalemTeshome for his profound commitment, guidance, invaluable suggestions, encouragement and brotherly approach throughout the development of this work. It is a privilege and honor to work under his supervision.

So fortunate to have had the support of many people along the way. I am sincerely indebted and thankful to all of them. This work couldn't have come together without their help. I am really thankful to the support of all mathematics instructors of Addis Ababa University especially: Dr. TilahunAbebaw, Dr. BerhanuBekele, Dr. NegaArega and Dr. TadesseAbdi.

Finally, I would like to express my deepest indebtedness to Ms. AlemTadesse, Mr. Fisseha G/Michael, Mr. Kiflu Kemal, Mr. MeseretAbebaw and my beloved families who extended their support and encouragement during the pursuit of my study.

List of symbols (notations)

$Q(A)$	Spectral radius of A
$R^{n \times n}$	the set of all $n \times n$ matrices whose entries are real numbers
$\lambda_{\min}(A)$	Minimum eigenvalue of a symmetric matrix A
$\ C\ _F$	Frobenius norm of a matrix $A = (a_{ij})$
$[A-D, A+D]$	An interval matrix
$\{-1, 1\}^n$	The set of all ± 1 vectors in R^n
T_t	Diagonal matrix with diagonal vector $t \in R^n$
SPD	Symmetric positive definite
$\min\{A, B\}$	Component wise minimum of matrices (vector)
$\max\{A, B\}$	Component wise maximum of matrices (vectors)

Abstract

A square matrix A is called diagonally singularizable if $|A - S| \leq I$ holds for some singular matrix S (I is the identity matrix). The project brings several necessary and/or sufficient conditions for diagonal singularizability and demonstrates another specific feature, namely existence of diagonal singularizability-preserving operations and a theorem of symmetric alternative.

INTRODUCTION

The main objective of this project is to define diagonal singularizability of a square matrix A and to extend different theorems on this topic.

The project is divided into two Chapters. In chapter one, we collect some important definitions and results which are already known and which will be used in the project. We also stated illustrative examples.

Chapter two contains four sections. Section one deals with six equivalent statements (conditions) for diagonal singularizability of a square matrix A and their proofs. In section two, sufficient conditions for diagonal singularizability and its negation is stated and proven. In section three, we show that three matrix operations preserve diagonal singularizability if the square matrix is diagonally singularizable. In the last section symmetric alternative is discussed.

CHAPTER ONE

Preliminaries

In this chapter, we collect some important definitions and results which are already known and which will be used throughout the project.

1.1 Preliminary Definitions

Definition 1.1: If $A = [a_{ij}]$ is an $m \times n$ matrix, then the $n \times m$ matrix $A^T = [b_{ij}]$,

where $b_{ij} = a_{ji}$ ($1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n$) is called the transpose of A .

Theorem 1.1: Let A and B be matrices of the same size and α be a scalar. Then

1. $(A + B)^T = A^T + B^T$
2. $(AB)^T = B^T A^T$
3. $(\alpha A)^T = \alpha A^T$
4. $(A^T)^T = A$

Definition 1.2: A square matrix A with real entries is symmetric if $A^T = A$

Definition 1.3: Let A be an $n \times n$ matrix. If $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \dots, \lambda_n$ are all the eigenvalues of A , then the spectral radius of A is $\max \{|\lambda_i|; i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ (Real, complex)

Theorem 1.2: Let M be any real symmetric $n \times n$ matrix. Then:

1. M has n real eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$ (not necessarily distinct) .
2. M has an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors for \mathfrak{R}^n .

Definition 1.4: An $n \times n$ matrix A is called nonsingular or invertible if there exists an $n \times n$ matrix B such that $AB = I_n = BA$.

Any matrix B with the above property is called an inverse of A . If A does not have an inverse, A is called singular.

If a square matrix has an inverse, then its inverse is unique. The unique inverse of A is denoted by A^{-1} .

If A is an invertible $n \times n$ matrix, then for every $b \in \mathfrak{R}^n$ the equation $Ax = b$ has exactly one solution $x = A^{-1}b$

Remark: A matrix A is invertible if and only if $\det(A) \neq 0$.

Definition 1.5: Let $A = (a_{ij})$ and $B = (b_{ij})$ be $m \times n$ matrices. Then

- i) The maximum of A and B denoted by $\max\{A,B\}$ is an $m \times n$ matrix defined by $\max\{A,B\} = (\max\{a_{ij}, b_{ij}\})$ for each i, j
- ii) The minimum of A and B denoted by $\min\{A,B\}$ is an $m \times n$ matrix defined by $\min\{A,B\} = (\min\{a_{ij}, b_{ij}\})$ for each i, j .

Example: Let $A = \begin{pmatrix} -3 & 2 & 7 & 5 \\ 9 & -4 & 6 & 1 \\ -8 & 10 & 13 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $B = \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 1 & 6 & 11 \\ 8 & -2 & -14 & 16 \\ 1 & 3 & -7 & -9 \end{pmatrix}$, then

$$\max\{A, B\} = \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 2 & 7 & 11 \\ 9 & -2 & 6 & 16 \\ 1 & 10 & 13 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \min\{A, B\} = \begin{pmatrix} -3 & 1 & 6 & 5 \\ 8 & -4 & -14 & 1 \\ -8 & 3 & -7 & -9 \end{pmatrix}$$

Definition 1.6: (Absolute value of a vector)

Let $x = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{R}^n$. We define the absolute value of x , denoted by $|x| = \begin{pmatrix} |x_1| \\ |x_2| \\ \vdots \\ |x_n| \end{pmatrix}$

Example: Let $x = \begin{pmatrix} -6 \\ 10 \\ 0 \\ 8 \\ -20 \\ 11 \end{pmatrix}$. Then $|x| = \begin{pmatrix} |-6| \\ |10| \\ |0| \\ |8| \\ |-20| \\ |11| \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 6 \\ 10 \\ 0 \\ 8 \\ 20 \\ 11 \end{pmatrix}$

Definition 1.7: Let $A = (a_{ij})$ be an $m \times n$ matrix. The absolute value of A written as $|A|$, is defined as $|A| = (|a_{ij}|)$

Example: Let $A = \begin{pmatrix} -2 & 1 & 5 & -6 \\ 9 & 10 & 4 & -11 \\ 12 & -14 & 7 & -8 \\ -20 & 15 & 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$.

$$\text{Then } |A| = \begin{pmatrix} |-2| & |1| & |5| & |-6| \\ |9| & |10| & |4| & |-11| \\ |12| & |-14| & |7| & |-8| \\ |-20| & |15| & |0| & |3| \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & 5 & 6 \\ 9 & 10 & 4 & 11 \\ 12 & 14 & 7 & 8 \\ 20 & 15 & 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$$

Definition 1.8: Let $x = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{R}^n$. We define its sign vector $\text{sgn}x$ by

$$\text{sgn}x = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x_i \geq 0 \\ -1 & \text{if } x_i < 0 \end{cases}$$

Example: Let $x = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ -3 \\ 5 \\ 0 \\ -4 \end{pmatrix}$. Then $\text{Sgn}x = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$

Theorem 1.3: Let A, B be square matrices, then $|AB| \leq |A||B|$.

Definition 1.10: For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we define $\{-1,1\}^n = \{(x_1, x_2 \dots x_n) : x_i \in \{-1,1\} \text{ for each } i\}$

Example: $\{-1,1\}^3 = \{(1,1,1), (1,1,-1), (1,-1,-1), (1,-1,1), (-1,-1,-1), (-1,-1,1), (-1,1,-1), (-1,1,1)\}$

Remark: $\{-1,1\}^n$ has 2^n elements.

Definition 1.11: Let $A = (a_{ij})$ be an $n \times n$ matrix. Then the Frobenius norm of A is defined

$$\text{as } \|A\|_F = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n |a_{ij}|^2}$$

Example: Let $A = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 0 & 1 \\ -2 & -4 & 5 \end{pmatrix}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|A\|_F &= \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^3 |a_{ij}|^2} \\ &= \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^3 (|a_{i1}|^2 + |a_{i2}|^2 + |a_{i3}|^2)} \\ &= \sqrt{|-1|^2 + |2|^2 + |3|^2 + |4|^2 + |0|^2 + |1|^2 + |-2|^2 + |-4|^2 + |5|^2} \\ &= \sqrt{76} = 8.7 \end{aligned}$$

Definition 1.12: Let $y \in \mathfrak{R}^n$. T_y (the diagonal matrix with diagonal vector $y \in \mathfrak{R}^n$ is defined as

$$T_y = \text{diag}(y_1, y_2, y_3, \dots, y_n) = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & y_2 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & y_3 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ & & & \vdots & & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & y_n & \end{pmatrix}$$

Example: Let $y = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -3 \\ 9 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^4$. Then

$$T_y = \text{diag}(1, -3, 9, 2) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 9 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Lemma 1.1: If S is a singular matrix, then S^T is also singular.

Proof: The statement can be proved by using contrapositive.

Suppose S^T is not singular. Then there exists a matrix B such that $S^T B = B S^T = I$. Transposing this gives $B^T S = S B^T = I$. This shows that S is nonsingular.

Hence S^T is singular

Theorem 1.3: For a square matrix A of order n , the following statements are equivalent:

1. A is invertible.
2. $Ax = 0$ has only the trivial solution.
3. $Ax = b$ has a unique solution x for every b .

Theorem 1.4: If A is an $n \times n$ matrix, the homogeneous system $Ax = 0$ has a nontrivial solution if and only if A is not invertible.

Definition 1.13: Let A be a square $n \times n$ matrix and k is a non-negative integer, then the k^{th} power of A is defined as:

$$A^k = \begin{cases} I_n & \text{if } k = 0 \\ A \cdot A \cdot A \dots A \text{ (} k \text{ times)} & \text{if } k > 0 \end{cases}$$

As a consequence of this definition, for non-negative integer i, j and a square matrix A we have:

- i. $A^{i+j} = A^i \cdot A^j$
- ii. $A^{ij} = (A^i)^j$

Theorem 1.5: A matrix A is singular iff 0 is an eigenvalue of A .

Proof: Suppose A is singular then there exists $x \neq 0$ such that $Ax = 0 = 0x$. This shows that 0 is an eigenvalue of A

Conversely, suppose that 0 is an eigenvalue of A . Then there exists $x \neq 0$ such that

$Ax = 0x = 0$. It follows that A is singular

Definition 1.14: A symmetric matrix A is

- i) Positive definite if and only if all of its eigenvalues are greater than 0.
- ii) Positive semi-definite if and only if all its eigenvalues are greater than or equal to 0.

Theorem: The eigenvalues of a real symmetric matrix are real.

Theorem A symmetric matrix has an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors for \mathbb{R}^n .

Theorem 1.6 (Diagonalization of a matrix) If an $n \times n$ matrix A has a basis of eigenvectors, then $D = X^{-1}AX$ is diagonal, with the eigenvalues of A as entries on the main diagonal. Here X is the matrix with these eigenvectors as column vectors.

Theorem 1.6: (Diagonalization) Let M be a real symmetric $n \times n$ matrix with eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \dots, \lambda_n$ and corresponding orthonormal eigenvectors u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n . Then

$$i. D = X^{-1}MX^T \Rightarrow M = XDX^T$$

is diagonal, with the eigenvalues of M as the entries on the main diagonal. Here X is the matrix with these eigenvectors as column vectors.

$$ii. M = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i u_i u_i^T$$

Definition 1.15. Given a list of vectors $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} u_1 \cdot u_1 & \cdots & u_1 \cdot u_k \\ \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ u_k \cdot u_1 & \cdots & u_k \cdot u_k \end{pmatrix} \text{ is called the Gram matrix.}$$

Theorem 1.7: For a symmetric $n \times n$ matrix M , the following are equivalent:

- i. $v^T M v \geq 0$ for all $v \in V$.
- ii. All the eigenvalues are non negative.
- iii. There exists a matrix B such that $B^T B = M$.
- iv. Gram matrix of vectors $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n \in U$ where U is some vector space,

$$\text{Hence } \forall_{i,j}, M_{i,j} = u_i^T u_j$$

Proof: (i \Rightarrow ii) Let λ be an eigen value of M . Then there exists eigenvector $v \in V$ such that $Mv = \lambda v$.

Hence $0 \leq v^T M v = v^T (\lambda v) = \lambda (v^T v)$ Since $v^T v$ is non negative for all v , λ is non negative.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) Since the matrix M is symmetric, it has a spectral decomposition

$$M = \sum_i \lambda_i x_i x_i^T$$

Define if $y_i = \sqrt{\lambda_i} x_i$. This definition is possible because λ_i 's are non-negative. Then,

$$M = \sum_i y_i y_i^T$$

Define B to be the matrix whose columns are y_i . Then it is clear that $B^T B = M$ from this construction B's columns are orthogonal.

In general, any matrix of the form $B^T B$ is positive semi definite. The matrix B need not have orthogonal columns (it can even be rectangular). But this representation is not unique and there always exists a matrix B with orthogonal columns for M, such that $B^T B = M$.

This decomposition is unique if B is positive semi definite. The positive semi definite B, such that $B^T B = M$, is called the square root of M.

(iii) \Rightarrow (iv) Suppose there exists a matrix B such that $B^T B = M$.

Let the rows of B be u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n . Then from the definition of matrix multiplication,

$$\forall_{ij}: M_{i,j} = u_i^T u_j$$

(iv) \Rightarrow (i) Suppose M is the Gram matrix of vectors u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n . Let $x \in V$. Then,

$$x^T M x = \sum_{i,j} M_{i,j} x_i x_j = \sum x_i x_j (u_i^T u_j),$$

Where x_i is the i^{th} element of vector x.

$$\text{Define } y = \sum x_i u_i, \text{ then, } 0 \leq y^T y = \sum_{i,j} x_i x_j (u_i^T u_j) = x^T M x$$

Hence $x^T M x \geq 0$ for all x.

CHAPTER TWO

Diagonally Singularizable Matrices

Definition 2.1: Let $A = (a_{ij})$ and $B = (b_{ij})$ be matrices of the same size. We say A is less than or equal to B written as $A \leq B$ if, $a_{ij} \leq b_{ij}$ for each i, j

Example: Let $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 7 & 4 \\ 5 & 9 & -3 & 5 \\ 6 & -8 & 0 & 10 \end{pmatrix}$ and $B = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 5 & 7 & 6 \\ 5 & 10 & -2 & 8 \\ 7 & -6 & 0 & 12 \end{pmatrix}$, then

$$A \leq B \text{ as } a_{ij} \leq b_{ij} \text{ for each } i, j.$$

Definition 2.2: An interval matrix is a set of matrices of the form

$$[A - D, A + D] = \{C: |A - C| \leq D\} \text{ with } D \geq 0.$$

Example: Let $A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 4 & 1 \\ 3 & 0 & 2 \\ 4 & 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$, and $D = \begin{pmatrix} 10 & 12 & 16 \\ 11 & 9 & 14 \\ 6 & 15 & 10 \end{pmatrix}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} [A - D, A + D] &= \left[\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 4 & 1 \\ 3 & 0 & 2 \\ 4 & 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 10 & 12 & 16 \\ 11 & 9 & 14 \\ 6 & 15 & 10 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 4 & 1 \\ 3 & 0 & 2 \\ 4 & 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 10 & 12 & 16 \\ 11 & 9 & 14 \\ 6 & 15 & 10 \end{pmatrix} \right] \\ &= \left[\begin{pmatrix} -8 & -8 & -15 \\ -8 & -9 & -12 \\ -2 & -14 & -7 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 12 & 16 & 17 \\ 14 & 9 & 16 \\ 10 & 16 & 13 \end{pmatrix} \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} 9 & 4 & 14 \\ 5 & 7 & 10 \\ 6 & 11 & 8 \end{pmatrix} \in \left[\begin{pmatrix} -8 & -8 & -15 \\ -8 & -9 & -12 \\ -2 & -14 & -7 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 12 & 16 & 17 \\ 14 & 9 & 16 \\ 10 & 16 & 13 \end{pmatrix} \right]$$

Definition 2.3: A square interval matrix $\mathbf{\hat{A}} = [A - D, A + D] = \{C \mid |A - C| \leq D\}$ where $D \geq 0$ is called

- i) singular if it contains a singular matrix, and
- ii) regular if every element of the interval matrix is non singular.

Theorem 2.1: Let $A, D \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, $D \geq 0$. The interval matrix $\mathbf{\hat{A}} = [A + D, A - D]$ is regular if $\rho(G_R) < 1$ hold, for some matrix R , where $G_R = |I - RA| + |R|D$ (I is the identity matrix)

Definition 2.4: A square matrix A is called diagonally singularizable if $|A - S| \leq I$ holds for some singular matrix S , where I is the identity matrix.....(1)

Example: Let A be any singular matrix. Take $S = A$. Then $|A - S| = |A - A| = |0| = 0 \leq I$.

Hence any singular matrix is diagonally singularizable.

This means that A can be brought to singularity by shifting (only!) its diagonal entries by an amount of at most 1 each.

Example: Let $A = I$. Take $S = 0$. Hence S is singular. Moreover, $|A-S| = |I - 0| = |I| \leq I$.

Therefore, I is diagonally singularizable.

2.1. Theorems on Diagonally Singularizable Matrices

Lemma (Beek's Condition) 2.1: If A is nonsingular and $\rho(|A^{-1}| |B|) < 1$ holds, then

$\hat{A} = [A - |B|, A + |B|]$ is regular

Theorem 2.2: Let A and B be $n \times n$ matrices. If the interval matrix $[A - |B|, A + |B|]$ is regular then for each right hand side b the equation $Ax + B|x| = b$ has a unique solution

Proof: Since due to Beek's condition implies regularity of $[A - |B|, A + |B|]$, and according to theorem 2.2 we are done (Rex Georg and Rhon Jiri, n.d).

Theorem 2.3: An interval matrix $\hat{A} = [A - D, A + D]$ for $D \geq 0$ is singular if and only if the inequality

$$|Ax| \leq D|x| \text{ has a nontrivial solution (2.1)}$$

Proof: Suppose that the interval matrix $\hat{A} = [A - D, A + D]$ is singular. If \hat{A} contains a singular matrix S , then $Sx = 0$ for some $x \neq 0$, which implies

$$|Ax| = |(A-S)x| \leq |A-S||x| \leq D|x| \text{ (Rex Georg and Rhon Jiri, n.d)}$$

Conversely, suppose $|Ax| \leq D|x|$ holds for some $x \neq 0$.

Define $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$ by

$$y_i = \begin{cases} (Ax)_i / (D/x)_i & \text{if } (D|x|)_i > 0 \\ 1 & \text{if } (D|x|)_i = 0 \end{cases} \quad (i = 1, \dots, n) \text{ (2.2)}$$

And $z = \text{sign} x$

Then $T_z x = |x|$, hence

$$((A - T_y D T_z)x)_i = (Ax)_i - y_i (D|x|)_i = 0$$

For each i so that $A - T_y D T_z$ is singular, and since $|y_i| \leq 1$ for each i due to (2.1) it follows that

$$|(A - T_y D T_z) - A| = |T_y D T_z| \leq D, \text{ hence } A - T_y D T_z \in \mathbf{A} \text{ and } \mathbf{A} \text{ is singular.}$$

Theorem 2.4: If $\mathbf{A}_1 = [A - D, A + D]$ is regular, then the interval matrix

$$\mathbf{A}_2 = [DA^{-1} - I, DA^{-1} + I] \text{ is singular.}$$

Proof: Suppose that $\mathbf{A}_1 = [A - D, A + D]$ is regular. To prove the singularity of \mathbf{A}_2 , we use the fact that by theorem 2.2 regularity of

$$[A - D, A + D] = [A - |D|, [A + |D|]] \text{ implies existence of a unique solution } x^* \text{ of the absolute value equation}$$

$$Ax - D|x| = e, \text{ Where } e \text{ denotes the vector of whose all entries are all ones.}$$

$$\text{Then } Ax^* = D|x^*| + e > D|x^*|, \text{ so that } x = Ax^* \text{ satisfies}$$

$$|DA^{-1}x| \leq D|A^{-1}x| < x = I|x| \text{ and theorem 2.3 proves singularity of } \mathbf{A}_2.$$

Theorem 2.5: For each non singular square matrix A , either A or A^{-1} is diagonally singularizable.

Proof: Let A be a non singular square matrix. Consider the auxiliary interval matrix

$$\mathbf{B} = [A^{-1} - I, A^{-1} + I]. \text{ If } \mathbf{B} \text{ is singular, then there exists a singular matrix } S \text{ such that}$$

$$|A^{-1} - S| \leq I \text{ which means that } A^{-1} \text{ is diagonally singularizable.}$$

If \mathbf{B} is regular, then by theorem 2.4 the interval matrix

$$[I(A^{-1})^{-1} - I, I(A^{-1})^{-1} + I] = [A - I, A + I] \text{ is singular.}$$

This implies that $[A - I, A + I]$ contains a singular matrix S , Hence A is diagonally Singularizable

2.2 Diagonally singularizing a square matrix A

Example: Let $n \times n$ -matrix A be given, and consider the diagonal matrices of the same size as A .

$$I = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ & & \vdots & & & & \\ & & \vdots & & & & \\ & & \vdots & & & & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } J = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ & & \vdots & & & & & \\ & & \vdots & & & & & \\ & & \vdots & & & & & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Then $\det(I) = 1$ and $\det(J) = -1$.

If the number $k > 1$ is sufficiently large, then the matrix $A + kI$ is almost equal to kI , and $\det(A + kI)$ should be close to $\det(kI) = k^n$.

Similarly, if the number $\theta > 1$ is sufficiently large, then the matrix $A + J$ is almost equal to θJ , and $\det(A + \theta J)$ should be close to $\det(\theta J) = -\theta^n < 0$.

Therefore, the continuous real function

$$g(t) = \det(A + tkI + (1 - t)\theta J)$$

Changes its sign at the interval $t \in [0,1]$ in so far as

$$g(0) = \det(A + \theta J) \text{ and } g(1) = \det(A + kI)$$

By the intermediate value theorem, there is a number

$t^* \in [0, 1]$ such that the matrix $A + t^*kI + (1 - t^*)\theta J$ has zero determinant, i. e., it is singular.

Moreover, it is made of the matrix A by the perturbation $t^*kI + (1 - t^*)\theta J$ affecting only diagonal elements of A.

So, any matrix can be made singular by a suitable perturbation of its diagonal, but, of course, the magnitude of this perturbation plays an important role in practice. In our approach, it makes sense to confine ourselves to a fixed finite level of the perturbation magnitude, and the unit is the most natural choice. Then, being interested in investigating the specific magnitude of the diagonal perturbation, we can scale it up to a perturbation of a unit value and do the same with the matrix under study.

The formula in equation (1) is used for formulating a nontrivial assertion (see Theorem 2.5 above): for each nonsingular matrix A, either A or A^{-1} is diagonally singularizable. It was just this remarkable property that prompted this author to investigate the concept of diagonal singularizability in more detail, thus giving rise to the present project which brings several necessary and/or sufficient conditions for diagonal singularizability and

demonstrates another specific feature, namely existence of diagonal-singularizability-preserving operations and a theorem of symmetric alternative (Rohn and Shary, 2018).

Let A be an $n \times n$ matrix, $\rho(A)$ stands for the spectral radius of A and $\lambda_{\min}(A)$ denotes the minimum eigenvalue of a symmetric matrix A . Let us recall that by the Courant-Fischer theorem (Golub and van Loan, 1996).

$$\lambda_{\min}(A) = \min_{x \neq 0} \frac{x^T A x}{x^T x}$$

Continuity of the minimum eigenvalue follows from the Wielandt-Hoffman theorem (see (Golub and van Loan, 1996).

$$|\lambda_{\min}(A) - \lambda_{\min}(B)| \leq \|A - B\|_F$$

This holds for any two symmetric matrices $A, B \in R^{n \times n}$ where we use the Frobenius matrix norm

$$\|C\|_F = \left(\sum_{ij} C_{ij}^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

The definition (1) corresponds to the diagonal perturbation of the unit magnitude. The point is that, with the help of a suitable perturbation of only diagonal elements, any matrix can be made singular, but the magnitude of the perturbation required may be arbitrarily large.

2.3. Conditions for Diagonal Singularizability

2.3.1. Necessary and Sufficient Conditions

First, we have several necessary and sufficient conditions for diagonal singularizability.

Theorem 2.6: For a matrix $A \in R^{n \times n}$, the following assertions are equivalent.

- i. A is diagonally singularizable,
- ii. $[A-I, A+I]$ is singular,
- iii. $|Ax| \leq |x|$ for some $x \neq 0$
- iv. $\text{Det}(A) \text{det}(A - T_y) \leq 0$ for some $y \in \{-1,1\}^n$,
- v. $A - \tau T_y$ is singular for some $\tau \in [0,1]$ and $y \in \{-1,1\}^n$,
- vi. $|Ax| = \tau|x|$ for some $\tau \in [0,1]$ and $x \neq 0$.

Proof: Let $A \in R^{n \times n}$. we shall prove that $i \Rightarrow ii \Rightarrow iii \Rightarrow iv \Rightarrow v \Rightarrow vi \Rightarrow i$

(i) \Rightarrow (ii) Suppose that A is diagonally singularizable. Then $|A - S| \leq I$ holds for some singular matrix S . Then $S \in [A-I, A+I]$. Hence $[A-I, A+I]$ is singular.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) Suppose $[A-I, A+I]$ is singular. Then there exists a singular matrix S such that $|A-S| \leq |I|$ with $Sx = 0$ for some $x \neq 0$ which implies that $|Ax| = |(A-S)x| \leq |A-S||x| \leq |x|$

iii \Rightarrow iv: Let $|Ax| \leq |x|$ for some $x \neq 0$. Put $t_i = \frac{(Ax)_i}{(x)_i}$ if $x_i \neq 0$ and

$t_i = 1$ otherwise ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4, \dots, n$), then $t_i \in [-1, 1]$ and $(Ax)_i$

$= t_i x_i$ for each i which can be written as $(A - T_t)x = 0$ where

$$t = (t_i), \text{ implying, } \det(A - T_t) = 0 \quad (1)$$

Now define a function $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $f(s) = \det(A) \det(A - T_s)$, $s \in \mathbb{R}^n$ (2)

We shall construct by induction numbers $y_i \in \{-1, 1\}$, $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$

Such that $f(y_1, \dots, y_i, t_{i+1}, \dots, t_n) \leq 0$ (3)

will hold for $i=0 \dots n$. for $i=0$ this follows from (1).

Thus assume that numbers y_1, \dots, y_{i-1} satisfying

$$f(y_1, \dots, y_{i-1}, t_i, t_{i+1}, \dots, t_n) \leq 0 \quad (4)$$

have been already constructed for some i , $1 \leq i \leq n$. Define a function of one variable.

$$\phi_i(\sigma) = f(y_1, \dots, y_{i-1}, \sigma, t_{i+1}, \dots, t_n)$$

and construct y_i as follows: Let $y_i = -1$ if $\phi_i(-1) \leq \phi_i(1)$ (5)

and set $y_i = 1$ otherwise. It follows from the Laplace expansion of the second determinant

in (2) along the i^{th} row that $\phi_i(\sigma)$ is linear in σ . Thus, if (5) holds, then $\phi_i(\sigma)$ is

nondecreasing and because $t_i \in [-1, 1]$ (see the definition of t_i above), we have

$$\phi_i(y_i) = \phi_i(-1) \leq \phi_i(t_i) \leq 0.$$

by induction assumption (4); if

$$\phi_i(-1) > \phi_i(1)$$

holds, then $\phi_i(\sigma)$ is decreasing and we have

$$\phi_i(y_i) = \phi_i(1) \leq \phi_i(t_i) \leq 0.$$

So that in both cases (3) holds which concludes the proof by induction. Now

from (3) for $i = n$ we obtain that $f(y) \leq 0$ which was to be proved.

iv \Rightarrow v: Let $\det(A) \det(A - T_y) \leq 0$ for some $y \in \{-1, 1\}^n$.

Define a real function g by $g(t) = \det(A - tT_y)$, $t \in [0, 1]$.

Then g is continuous in $[0, 1]$ and $g(0)g(1) = \det(A) \det(A - T_y) \leq 0$. Hence by the

intermediate value theorem means that $g(\tau) = 0$ for some $\tau \in [0, 1]$. Thus $A - \tau T_y$ is

singular.

$\mathbf{v} \Rightarrow \mathbf{vi}$: If $A - \tau$ is singular for some $\tau \in [0, 1]$ and $y \in \{-1, 1\}^n$,

then $(A - \tau T_y)x = 0$ for some $x \neq 0$ which implies that $|Ax| = \tau|x|$.

$\mathbf{vi} \Rightarrow \mathbf{i}$: Let $|Ax| = \tau|x|$ for some $\tau \in [0, 1]$ and $x \neq 0$. Define $y, z \in \{-1, 1\}^n$, by $y_i = 1$

if $(Ax)_i \geq 0$ and $y_i = -1$ otherwise, and $z_i = 1$ if $x_i \geq 0$ and $z_i = -1$ otherwise

($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$), then $T_y Ax = \tau T_z x$ implying $(A - \tau T_y T_z)x = 0$

which shows that the matrix $S = A - \tau T_y T_z$ is singular and satisfies $|A - S| = |\tau T_y T_z| \leq$

I , hence, A is diagonally singularizable.

2.3.2. Sufficient Conditions

Next we have two sufficient conditions for diagonal singularizability and its negation.

Theorem 2.7: If A is nonsingular and

$\max_j |A^{-1}|_{jj} \geq 1$ holds, then A is diagonally singularizable.

Proof. Choose k for which $|A^{-1}|_{kk} \geq 1$. Then

$$|A^{-1}e_k| = |A^{-1}|e_k \geq e_k = |e_k| \quad (6)$$

Where e_k is the k^{th} column of the identity matrix I . Put $x = A^{-1}e_k$. Then

$x \neq 0$ and from (6) we obtain $|Ax| \leq |x|$

which by Theorem 1, (iii) means that A is diagonally singularizable.

Theorem 2.8: If A is nonsingular and

$$\rho(|A^{-1}|) \leq 1 \quad (7)$$

holds, then A is not diagonally singularizable

Proof

Let us recall that (7) implies $(I - |A^{-1}|)^{-1} \geq 0$ (Horn and Johnson). Assume to the contrary that A is diagonally singularizable, so that

$|Ax| \leq |x|$ for some $x \neq 0$ (Theorem 1, (iii)). Put $x' = Ax$, then $x' \neq 0$ and

it satisfies $|x'| \leq |A^{-1}x'|$ which implies

$$|x'| \leq |A^{-1}||x'|,$$

$$\text{hence } (I - |A^{-1}|)|x'| \leq 0$$

Moreover, premultiplying this inequality by the nonnegative matrix $(I - |A^{-1}|)^{-1}$

yields $|x'| \leq 0$ hence $|x'| = 0$ which contradicts the previously mentioned fact

that $x' \neq 0$.

2.4. Operations Preserving Diagonal Singularizability

In this section we show that three well-known matrix operations preserve diagonal singularizability.

Theorem 2.9: If square matrix A is diagonally singularizable, then so are

$$A^T, (A^T A)^{2^k} \text{ and } (AA^T)^{2^k} \text{ for } k=0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Proof.

(a) If A is diagonally singularizable, then from $|A - S| \leq I$ for some singular S it follows that $|A^T - S^T| \leq I$ where S^T is again singular, hence A^T is diagonally singularizable.

(b) We shall first prove diagonal singularizability of $A^T A$. By Theorem 1,

(iii) a diagonally singularizable A satisfies $|Ax| \leq |x|$ for some $x \neq 0$. Then we have

$$x^T A^T A x = (Ax)^T (Ax) \leq |Ax|^T |Ax| \leq |x|^T |x| = x^T x$$

$$\text{hence } x^T (A^T A - I)x \leq 0$$

Since $AA^T - I$ is a symmetric matrix, then we have

$$\lambda_{\min}(A^T A - I) = \min_{x' \neq 0} \frac{x'^T ((A^T A - I)x')}{x'^T x'} \leq \frac{x^T (A^T A - I)x}{x^T x} \leq 0$$

Now define a real function h by

$$h(t) = \lambda_{\min}(A^T A - tI), t \in [0, 1].$$

It is well defined because $A^T A - tI$ is symmetric for each $t \in [0, 1]$, and the above reasoning implies that $h(1) \leq 0$. Next, we have that $h(0) = \lambda_{\min}(A^T A) \geq 0$

because $A^T A$ is symmetric positive semi definite, and h is continuous in $[0; 1]$ since for each $t_1, t_2 \in [0, 1]$, we have by the Wielandt-Hoffman theorem that

$$|h(t_1) - h(t_2)| \leq |(t_1 - t_2)|_F = n^{1/2} |t_1 - t_2|.$$

Hence the intermediate value theorem implies existence of a $\tau \in [0, 1]$ such that $h(\tau) = 0$ which gives that $\lambda_{\min}(A^T A - \tau I) = 0$. Thus $A^T A - \tau I$ is singular and Theorem 1, (v) (with $y = e$, the vector of all ones) proves $A^T A$ to be diagonally singularizable.

(c) Next we prove by induction on k that $(A^T A)^{2^k}$ is diagonally singularizable for

$k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ The case of $k = 0$ has been proved in part (b).

Thus assume that $(A^T A)^{2^k}$ is diagonally singularizable for some $k \geq 0$.

Then, again by part (b), $((A^T A)^{2^k})^T (A^T A)^{2^k} = ((A^T A)^{2^k})^2 = (A^T A)^{2^{k+1}}$ is diagonally singularizable which concludes the proof by induction.

(d) If we apply the previous result to A^T , we obtain that $(AA^T)^{2^k}$ is diagonally singularizable for $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

We shall first prove diagonal singularizability of AA^T . By Theorem 1,

(iii) a diagonally singularizable A^T satisfies $|A^T x| \leq |x|$ for some $x \neq 0$. Then we have $x^T (A^T)^T A^T x = (A^T x)^T (A^T x) \leq |A^T x|^T |A^T x| \leq |x|^T |x| = x^T x$

hence, $x^T (AA^T - I)x \leq 0$

Which in view of symmetry of $AA^T - I$ implies that

$$\lambda_{\min}(AA^T - I) = \min_{x' \neq 0} \frac{x'^T ((AA^T - I)x')}{x'^T x'} \leq \frac{x^T (AA^T - I)x}{x^T x} \leq 0$$

Now define a real function h by

$$h(t) = \lambda_{\min}(AA^T - tI), t \in [0, 1].$$

It is well defined because $AA^T - tI$ is symmetric for each $t \in [0, 1]$, and

the above reasoning implies that $h(1) \leq 0$. Next, we have that $h(0) = \lambda_{\min}(AA^T) \geq 0$ because AA^T is symmetric positive semi definite, and h is continuous in $[0; 1]$ since for each $t_1, t_2 \in [0, 1]$, we have by the Wielandt-Hoffman theorem that

$$|h(t_1) - h(t_2)| \leq \|(t_1 - t_2)I\|_F = n^{1/2} |t_1 - t_2|.$$

Hence the intermediate value theorem implies existence of a $\tau \in [0, 1]$ such that $h(\tau) = 0$

which gives that $\lambda_{\min}(AA^T - \tau I) = 0$. Thus $AA^T - \tau I$ is

singular and Theorem 1, (v) (with $y = e$, the vector of all ones) proves AA^T

to be diagonally singularizable.

(e) Next we prove by induction on k that $(AA^T)^{2^k}$ is diagonally singularizable for $k=1, 2, \dots$

The case of $k = 0$ has been proved previously in (d).

Thus assume that $(AA^T)^{2^k}$ is diagonally singularizable for some $k \geq 0$.

Then, again by part (b), $((AA^T)^{2^k})^T (AA^T)^{2^k} = ((AA^T)^{2^k})^2 = (AA^T)^{2^{k+1}}$

is diagonally singularizable which concludes the proof by induction.

2.5. Symmetric Alternative

The situation with matrix inverse is different. The following theorem was proved in [1].

Theorem 2.10: For each nonsingular matrix A at least one of the matrices A , A^{-1} is diagonally singularizable.

We derive two consequences of this result. The minimum/maximum of Two matrices is understood entry wise.

Theorem 2.11: For each nonsingular matrix A the interval matrix $[\min \{A, A^{-1}\} - I, \max \{A, A^{-1}\} + I]$ is singular. (8)

Proof

By Theorem 2.4 at least one of the interval matrices $[A - I, A + I]$, $[A^{-1} - I, A^{-1} + I]$ is singular, therefore (8), the minimal (w.r.t. inclusion) interval matrix enclosing both these interval matrices, contains a singular matrix. Finally, we have this “symmetric alternative”.

Theorem 2.12: For each square matrix A at least one of the inequalities

$$i. |Ax| \leq |x|$$

$$ii. |x| \leq |Ax| \text{ has a nontrivial solution.}$$

Proof

If A is singular, then a nontrivial solution to $Ax = 0$ solves (i). If

A is nonsingular and (i) does not possess a nontrivial solution, then A is not diagonally singularizable, hence the inverse A^{-1} is diagonally singularizable by Theorem 2-4.

So that $|A^{-1}x'| \leq |x'|$ has a nontrivial solution x' then

$x = A^{-1}x'$ is a nontrivial solution to (ii)

Summary

A square matrix A is called diagonally singularizable if $|A - S| \leq I$ holds for some singular matrix S (I is the identity matrix). This means that A can be brought to a singularity by shifting (only!) its diagonal entries by an amount of at most 1 each.

The above definition corresponds to the diagonal perturbation of the unit magnitude.

The point is that, with the help of a suitable perturbation of only diagonal elements, any matrix can be made singular, but the magnitude of the perturbation required may be arbitrarily large.

The project brings several necessary and/or sufficient conditions for diagonal singularizability and demonstrates another specific feature, namely existence of diagonal singularizability preserving operations and a theorem of symmetric alternative.

Bibliography

- [1] M. Baumann, A regularity Criterion for Interval Matrices, in: J. Garloff (Ed.), Collection of Scientific Papers Honouring Prof. Dr. K. Nickel on Ocession of his 60th Birthday, Part I, Albert-Ludwigs-Unviersitat, Freiburg, P 45-50, 1984,.
- [2] H.Beeck, ZurProblematik der Hullenbestimmung von Intervallgleichungssystemen, in: K. Nickel (Ed.), Interval Mathematics. Proceedings of the International Symposium, Karlsruhe, West Germany, May 20-24, 1975, Vol. 29 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1975, pp. 150-159.
- [3] D. Gale and H. Nikaido, TheJacobian Matrix and Global Univalence of Mappings, MathematischeAnnalen P81-93, 159 (1965).
- [4] G.H.Golub and C.F.Van Loan, Matrix Computations, The Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, 1996.
- [5] E. Kreyszig, Advanced Engineering Mathematics 9th Edition, Wiley international Edition
- [6] E. Hassen, A Theorem on Regularity of Interval Matrices, Reliable Computing 11(6) P495-497 (2005).
- [7] R. A. Horn and C. R.Johnson, Matrix Analysis, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1985.
- [8] R. Kearfott, M. Nakao, A. Neumaier, S. Rump, S. Shary and P. Van Hentenryck, Standardized Notation in Interval Analysis, Computational Technologies 7-13. 15 (1) (2010)
- [9] A. Neumaier, Interval Methods for Systems of Equations, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [10] J. Rohn, Systems of Linear Interval Equations, Linear Algebra and Its Applications P39-79, 126 (1989).
- [11] J. Rohn, Forty Necessary and Sufficient Conditions for Regularity of Interval matrices, A survey, Electronic Journal of Linear Algebra P500-512,18 (2009).

- [12] J. Rohn, An algorithm for Solving the Absolute Value Equation, *Electronic Journal of Linear Algebra* P589-599, 18 (2009).
- [13] J. Rohn and S. P. Shary, Interval Matrices: Regularity Generates Singularity, *Linear Algebra and Its Applications* P149-159,540(2018).
- [14] S. Rump, Verification Methods for Dense and Sparse Systems of Equations, in: J. Herzberger (Ed.), *Topics in Validated Computations*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1994, pp. 63-135.
- [15] S. Shary, On Full-Rank Interval Matrices, *Numerical Analysis and Applications* P241-254, 7(3) (2014).
- [16] S. Shary, *Finite-Dimensional Interval Analysis*, XYZ Publishers, Novosibirsk, 2017.
- [17] Rohn Jiri and Shary: *Sergey Interval matrices: RegularitybGeneratesSingularity ;November 2017.*
- [18] Rhon Jiri: *Diagonally SingularizableMatrices : Institute of computer Science, Czch Academy of Sciences, Prague, Czch Republic; June 2018.*
- [19] Rex Georg and Rhon Jiri: *Sufficient conditions for regularity and singularity of interval matrices; (n.d).*