

**ADDIS ABABA UNIVERSITY
COLLEGE OF EDUCATION AND BEHAVIORAL STUDIES
DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATIONAL PLANNING AND
MANAGEMENT**

**COMMUNITY PARTICIPATION IN MATERIAL
RESOURCE MANAGEMENT IN PRIMARY
SCHOOLS OF DAWO WOREDA, SOUTH-WEST
SHOWA ZONE**

BY:

BEKELE KECHA SEBOKA

Phone: 0911-07 29 54

**A Thesis Submitted to the Department of Educational Planning and
Management in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements
For Degree of Master of Arts in School Leadership**

September, 2020

Addis Ababa, Ethiopia

**COMMUNITY PARTICIPATION IN MATERIAL
RESOURCE MANAGEMENT IN PRIMARY
SCHOOLS OF DAWO WOREDA, SOUTH-WEST
SHOWA ZONE**

BY:

BEKELE KECHA SEBOKA

**A Thesis Submitted to the Department of Educational Planning and
Management in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements
For Degree of Master of Arts in School Leadership**

September, 2020

Addis Ababa, Ethiopia

DECLARATION

I declare that this study entitled “Community Participation in School Material Resource Management in Primary schools of Dawo Woreda, South-West Showa Zone” is my work and that all the sources used have been duly acknowledged and shown in a complete list of references.

Name: *Bekele Kacha*

Signature: _____

Date: _____

APPROVAL

This thesis has been submitted for examination with my approval as the university advisor.

Advisor’s Name; Zenebe Baraki (PhD)

Signature: _____

Date: _____

APPROVAL BY BOARD OF EXAMINERS

As members of the Board of Examiners for the MA Thesis open Defense Examination, we certify that we have read and evaluated the thesis prepared by Bekele Kacha and examined the candidate. We recommended that the Thesis entitled: **Community Participation in Material Resource Management in Primary Schools of Dawo Woreda, South-West Showa Zone**, should be accepted as fulfilling the thesis requirement for the degree of Master of Arts in school Leadership.

_____	_____	_____
Advisor	Signature	Date
_____	_____	_____
Internal Examiner	Signature	Date
_____	_____	_____
External Examiner	Signature	Date
_____	_____	_____
Department Chair	Signature	Date

Abbreviations and Acronyms

MOE: Ministry of Education

NGO: Non-governmental Organization

PTA: Parent-Teachers -Association

SIC: School Improvement Committee

SIP: School Improvement Program

SMC: School Management Committee

SPSS: Statistical Packages of Social Science

WEO: Woreda Education Office

WETB: Woreda Education and Training Board

Table of Contents

.....edit again as final.

CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION	4
1.1. Background of the Study	4
1.4. Objectives of the study	7
1.4.1. General objective	7
1.4.2. Specific objectives	7
1.5. Significance of the Study	8
1.6. Delimitation of the Study	8
1.7. Definition of Key Terms	8
1.8. Organization of the study	9
CHAPTER TWO:	10
2. REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE	10
2.1.1. The government	10
2.1.2. The community	10
2.2. Community Participation in School Affairs	11
2.3. Material Resources	11
2.3.1. Material resources management	11
2.4. Roles of Community in Managing School Resource	12
2.5. School Leadership and Management	12
2.6. Rationale for community participation in school resource management	13
2.7. Practices of Community Participation in School Resource Management	13
2.8. Factors Affecting Community Participation in School Management	14
2.8.1. Community interest	14
2.8.2. Awareness of community	14
2.8.3. Fear	14
2.8.4. Insecurity and intimidation	15
2.8.5. Professional territory	15
2.8.6. Attitudes of principals, teachers and community	15
2.8.7. Communication factors	16
2.9. Strategies of Enhancing Community Participation in School Resource Management	16
2.9.1. Building the capacity of school principals and teachers	16

2.9.2. Creating a school environment conducive for participation	16
2.9.3. Giving orientation and training to community representatives	17
2.9.4. Providing community service	17
CHAPTER THREE	
3. THE RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODOLOGY	18
3.1. Research Design	18
3.2. Description of Study Area	18
3.3. Source of Data	18
3.3.1. The primary sources of data	19
3.5. Instruments of Data Collection	20
3.5.1. Questionnaire	20
3.5.2. Interview	21
3.6. Data Collection Procedures	21
3.7. Method of Data Analysis	22
3.7.1. Quantitative data	22
3.7.2. Qualitative data	22
CHAPTER FOUR	
4. ANALYSIS OF THE DATA AND INTERPRETATIONS	22
.CHAPTER FIVE	
5.1. SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	46
6. REFERENCES	53

LIST OF TABLES

Table1: Population, Sample Size and Sampling Technique-----	20
Table 2: Characteristics of Respondents by Sex, Age, Service Years and Qualification -----	23
Table3: How Community Participates in Material Resource Management in Primary Schools ----	25
Table 4: How school leaders motivate Community Participation in material Resource Management -----	33
Table5: Barriers to Community Participation in School Material Resource Management-----	38
Table 6: Strategies to Improve Community Participation in School Material Resource Management -----	43

ABSTRACT

The purpose of this study was to assess Community Participation in Material Resource Management in Primary Schools of Dawo Woreda, South-West Showa Zone, Oromia Regional State. The study employed a descriptive survey design, which is supplemented by mixed model approach. Thus, both probability and non-probability sampling techniques were used. Out of 28 government Primary schools in Dawo Woreda, 8 primary schools were randomly sampled. The subjects of the study were about 30 % among 121 teachers, but 21 vice principals, and all (100%) of the 8 Parent-Teacher-Association heads, 8 principals, 8 supervisors and 8 woreda education office-heads. The instruments used to collect data were both questionnaire and interview. The information obtained from questionnaire was then analyzed by means of statistical tools including frequency, Percentage, mean value and chi-square. The study revealed that community participation in school material resource management was weak in that PTA members haven't participated in coordinating, controlling and reporting of school material resources. The school leaders did not work sufficiently in initiating community participation. So also there were barriers such as teachers and school leaders' unwillingness to welcome PTA members. All these were found to be due to PTA members' lack of awareness, enthusiasm, commitment and basic skills of management. Moreover, teachers and school leaders professional territory, school leaders' lack initiation of to enhance community participation to work in collaboration from planning to reporting; lack of good communication between the school and PTA members; lack of capacity building training for all teachers, principals and PTA members. So, the study recommended that the school leaders, WEO heads, WETB, ZEO, REB and other educational stakeholders like teachers, school leaders should be ready to dispose their role and responsibility in the involvement of PTA at school level.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to express my special appreciation to my advisor, Dr. Zenebe Beraki for his encouragement, insightful guidance and professional expertise to the completion of this work. Also, I would like to thank Ethiopian Ministry of Education as well as (MoE) and Addis Ababa University department of Educational planning and management members for continuous support with good feelings and encouragement.

Also, my acknowledgment goes to Dawo Woreda of South-West Showa Zone Education office Head, experts and supervisors vice principals, SMC, PTA and teachers who took part in filling the questionnaire and gave their opinions for the interview.

I would like to thank my intimate friends: Temesgen Chifra, Amante Legesa, Megersa Gute, Abiyu Asefa and Tamiru Fayera who helped me by giving moral and technical support during my study time and thesis work. Besides, my honest appreciation goes to my wife Tigist Fisseha.

CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

This introductory chapter discussed about background of the study, statement of the problem, objective of the study, research questions, and delimitation of the study, significance of the study and operational definition of key terms.

1.1. Background of the Study

School resource management refers to the capacity of school principal and other education managers to deploy all kinds of resources of school with maximum effectiveness and efficiency and for maximum benefit to students (Dayaram, 2011). School principals cannot do it alone. They need to enlist and harness the active participation and support of numerous other role players including parents, teachers, students, school management committee, parent teacher association, local leaders and different NGOs. Today, greater decentralization of educational decision-making is becoming the common aspiration of many developing countries (De Grauwe, Anton and Candy Ludaz, 2011). Some researchers argue that the participation of communities and students in the day-to-day activities of the schools (for example, in supervision, monitoring and evaluation) is part of the decentralization of school management (Naidoo and Jordan, 2005). In some Asian countries, like Malaysia, school management has been improved because it involves students and communities in school decision-making (Luck, 2011). The same is true in South Africa where the participation of communities and students in decision-making has played a role in the improved and expanded school-based management (Naidoo, et al, 2005).

Community participation in School resource management is the active involvement of communities in the planning, designing, coordinating, executing, supervising, monitoring and evaluating allschool resources for better education delivery. Community participation is very important for school effectiveness and responsiveness to student's needs & management (Tekeu, 2011). Participation of community in school resource management is given different names in different parts of the world like school council, parent teachers' council, parent teachers' association (Mahmood, Majoka, Basharat and Ali, 2011).

Schools are social institutions that are intrinsically linked to their community's welfare and growth (Dayaram, 2011). As he stated, strong, sustained community participation in the school resource management can enhance transparency and accountability in the education system and promote a sense of ownership, agency and responsibility for positive change. The school management committee is

intended to be the basic unit of a decentralized governance model with active involvement of community in the school's functioning as they are the main stakeholders in the education process.

Community participation in school resource management can take different forms, ranging from parents sending their children to school to active participation in school-related meetings, assisting and managing with school construction and supporting teachers in achieving positive outcomes (Veena and Mahajan, 2005). As such, participation in school resource management constitutes an efficient strategy for achieving objectives such as improved access and quality, voicing community-specific needs and objectives, developing the curriculum collaboratively with school authorities, reaching marginalized groups, creating accountability and ensuring sustainability of programs that are implemented.

In this regard, MoE (2007b) declared that the SIC should comprise of teachers, management personal, students, parents and the community, and principal of each work as a committee chairman. This shows, the collection of SIC in SIP ensures the participation of many stakeholders (parents, community members, teachers, and student) in planning, implementing and monitoring of the program. In the same way, as stated by World Bank(2007), in Oromia region school based management system, responsibility and decision-making authority over school operations are transferred to principals, teachers, and parents and sometimes to students and other school community members; however, the position cannot accept them to participate in decision making.

Therefore, as stated by Dayaram, , community participation in school resource management has paramount importance as it can accelerate school effectiveness and responsiveness to students' needs; it can also enhance transparency and accountability between the school and the community that promote a sense of ownership which can share a responsibility for positive change. However, as stated by World Bank, the community is not welcomed to the school resource management as expected because under the control of school principal, PTAs and community members manage the school resources nominally. Thus, the researcher wants to know the hindrances of community participation in school material resource management in Dawo Woreda woreda of South West-Showa Zone.

1.2.Statement of the Problem

The research conducted by Dixon in Molnar (2006) outlined that the barrier to more community participation in school resource management is the lack of support from educators in the case of insecurity and intimidation between community and educators. In some cases, community actually felt that their students might be singled out or treated unfairly in grading by teachers if they participate in all school affairs particularly about their students. On the other hand, community describe their own

insecurity at meeting with other adults at home and school association meetings because teachers talk defensively about being verbally attacked by community and the community share discomfort entering schools due to their own level of education or past experience in schools. All these problems create walls between homes and school and limit community participation in school resource management.

Molnar (2006) also states that there is an insider-outsider tension that results between school staff and community members. Educators are never quite insiders because they fear that communities are stepping into their professional territory. He also further explained that, at times, teachers consider communities troublesome because they question, challenge, and take time. All these situations will develop a protection territory between school and community which influence community participation in school resource management negatively. On the other hand, principals and teachers may perceive that communities often have few decision making skills and they degraded the capacities of the community representatives to participate in the educational activities of the school.

Researchers who conducted studies on the area (Getachew, 2001; Matebe, 2006; Melesew, 2005) indicate that while community participation in contributing money and labor were found at medium level, school community relationships, community participation in school management and decision-making, and parent involvement in their children education were all at low levels.

In the earlier studies, Gebre Michael (2006) found out that community's efforts and outcomes in school administration was not successful at Kindaya Primary School in Tigray region. His findings showed that teachers' and directors disagree with the interference of community. They accepted the participation of community in the school affairs, but dissatisfied with their participation in the evaluation of teachers. Beside the effort of community participation in alleviating students disciplinary problem was low.

The same was true as stated in Eyasu (2011) that parent teacher participation in managing their respective schools and observing roles of PTA was not at desired level. As a result, they had weak role on motivating the school communities, improving students' discipline, meetings in convenient time. He also asserted that the contribution of PTA's participation in decreasing students' dropout and repetition rate, and increasing effectiveness of implementation in school programs respectively were low.

Therefore, as stated by (Gebre Michael and Eyas 2006) there are different hindrances of community participation in school material resource management. For instances, the case of insecurity and intimidation between community and educators, schools' fear of questions and challenges from communities, principals and teachers may perceive that communities often have few decision making skills, the schools dissatisfaction with communities participation in the evaluation of teachers are some

of the reasons described in this statement of the problems. It can be deduced from the local researches that few researchers have given a due attention to conduct their research on this area recently, particularly, in Oromia region and still different studies are needed to investigate the hindrances deeply and exhaustively. In primary schools of Dawo Woreda of South-West Showa Zone, the main reason why the researcher is interested to conduct this research is that as stated by these researchers, the same problems prevailed in the particular woreda. Moreover, the community participation and willingness are different from one kebele to kebele, sub-kebele to sub-kebele in participation and resource management. So, the researcher wants to conduct the research on community participation in school material resource management to find out the roadblock between the school and community.

1.3 Research Questions

The study attempts to answer the following research questions.

1. What is the current status of school leaders in initiating community participation in school Material resource management in Primary schools of Dawo Woreda?
2. To what extent does community participate in school material resource management in Primary schools of Dawo Woreda?
3. What are the barriers of community participation in school material resource management in Primary schools of Dawo Woreda?
4. How can community improve participation in school material resource management in Primary schools of Dawo Woreda?

1.4. Objectives of the study

1.4.1. General objective

The major objective of this study is to assess the community participation in school material resource management in Dawo Woreda of South-West Showa Zone, Oromia Regional State.

1.4.2. Specific objectives

The specific objectives of this study will be to:

1. To examine the current status of school leaders in initiating community participation in school material resource management in Primary schools of Dawo Woreda of South-West Showa Zone
2. To assess the extent of community participation in school material resource management in Primary schools of Dawo Woreda of South-West Showa Zone

3. To identify the barriers of community participation in school material resource management in Primary schools of Dawo of south-West showa Zone

4. To suggest ways of improving community participation in school material resource management in Primary schools of Dawo Woreda of South-West Showa Zone, Oromia regional state.

1.5. Significance of the Study

This study has importance in helping SIC members to solve problems of current community participation in school resource management, and also encourage school leaders and practitioners to correct their weakness because some hard and soft copy of this research was distributed to the Dawo Woreda of South-West Shoa.

The other importance of this study is to suggest possible options for the current community participation in the school resource management in the Primary schools of Dawo Woreda South-West Showa zone as it provides opportunity to share experience from each other. In addition to that, it provides more information for those who may conduct further study on this area. In general, it provides valuable contribution to enhance community participation in the school resource management.

1.6. Delimitation of the Study

To carry out any research work, it is important to delimit the study both conceptually and geographically into manageable size. In this view, this research was focused only on assessing community participation in school material resource management in Primary schools of Dawo Woreda of South-West Showa Zone.

The study also delimited to investigating the on assessing community participation in school material resource management. Hence, the result would be generalized only on primary schools. To collect data from respondents, the researcher was used cluster sampling technique, purposive sampling technique, simple random sampling technique and availability sampling technique. The researcher was employed questionnaire and interview to collect data from respondents and also he uses SPSS.V.20, descriptive and inferential statistics to analyze data.

1.7. Definition of Key Terms

Community participation is the active involvement of the community representatives in the management of schools' material resource.

Community represents people who live around the schools and who directly or indirectly get benefit from the school.

Material is any equipment and tool with certain physical properties that is used as inputs to production or services. In educational context machines, computers, stationery materials, hand tools, furniture, laboratory equipment and chemicals etc.

Material Resource Management is the activity in which an institution uses its material resources in a proper way. It encompasses activities such as material requirement planning, purchasing, storing, utilization, inventory control, maintenance and disposal of unused material.

Resource is anything in the school or its environment that may be organized for use in the process of teaching learning in the school and that are understood as human resources, material resources, physical resources, financial resources and time resources.

Primary School is an educational system that includes Primary education of grades 1- 8

1.8. Organization of the study

This research report has five chapters. Chapter one presents the nature of the problem and its approach which comprised background of the study, statement of the problem, objectives of the study, significance of the study, delimitation of the study, definition of operational terms and organization of the study. Chapter two discusses the review of related literature. Chapter three concentrates on the research design and methodology which specifically includes research design, research method, study population, sample size and sampling techniques, data collecting instruments, data analysis and interpretations and also ethical considerations. Chapter four deals with presentation, data analyses and interpretation of the data. Chapter five summarizes the main findings, conclusions and recommendations.

CHAPTER TWO

REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE

This part of the research presents relevant literature reviews from materials such as published books, journals, policy documents and different school documents concerning to the general concept of community participation in school resource management. In this chapter literature on importance, barriers and objectives of community participation in school resource management was discussed.

2.1. Potential Partners in School

The potential partners in education are students, teachers, principals, local educational officials, and parents, leaders of the local community, NGO, community representatives and others. The provision and management of an effective education system cannot be achieved without the deliberate participation of these potential partners. An attempt to exclude these potential partners in any educational issues is likely to be suicidal on the part of the school because by its nature, education is a public commodity. Part of the partnership agreement is that boards, representing communities, are responsible for building part of the staff houses, the school kitchen, and that each parent with a student at the school paid some levy. The potential partners had made remarkable efforts in this regard with some achieving major projects such as the building of school hostels for the boarders and some had raised funds that purchased school vehicles and computers and also take part in managing all the school material resources (Moswela, 2007).

2.1.1. The government

In order to facilitate community participation, government of Australia initiated community strengthening initiative program. The government in order to address the local problems involves people in identifying local problems and come with positive solutions (Gorinski and Fraser, 2006). Therefore the governments have great contribution for the improvement of school through sustainable local government and community participation towards the school improvement. So, the government can improve community participation by establishing sustainable initiative programs and policies which will activate PTA members to willingly participate in managing the school material resources.

2.1.2. The community

In a community, people take responsibility for collective activity and are loyal to each other beyond immediate self interest. They work together on the basis of shared values. They hold each other accountable for commitments (Epstein, 2001). The involvement of community in education system

paves the way for their accountability which goes beyond the provision of material requirements for schools. Because it includes assisting, guiding, and extending whatever help to equally share the burden of education of their students with the school. Any school that implements an active program to stimulate community involvement will be rewarded. The rewards can range from voluntary labor to improved school grounds or enhanced pupil study habits, to financial resources and subsidies for school athletic and cultural events (Carino and Vaison 1991).

2.2. Community Participation in School Affairs

The word community participation is a concept with two components. These are community and participation. The word community could have different meanings in different contexts. According to (Bray, 1987) a community can be defined as a group of people who share social, economic and cultural interests. Its members recognize social obligation to each other, hold at least some common value, and share identity (Aynalem, 2012). According to Socio-cultural researchers 'community' as a 'community of practice' – that is, a group of people engaged in an activity driven by common or closely intersecting goals and interests. In pursuit of these goals and interests, they employ common practices, work with the same tools or resources and use specific discourse (Goos, et al 2003). Miranda (2007) stresses that without community participation; there is obviously no partnership, no development and no program. Hence the lack of community participation in decision making to implement educational development can lead to failure in the community development (Aref, 2010).

2.3. Material Resources

The term material resources have been defined by various experts in various ways. In connection to educational material resources, Bekuretsion (2005) states that material resources include renewable and immediate use items. They are any inputs or consumable items that are fixed or recurrent objectives used in the process of production. Each item is used for specific objectives and the integration of all items can help to promote the education process. Thus, the objectives of any organization can be attainable with great help of material resources.

2.3.1. Material resources management

Material resource management directs the flow of materials and services from the supplier, and keeps track of the suppliers' delivery and quality commitment, so as to avoid any disruptive surprises. Material resource management activities are frequently handled at the local or office level and involve regular communication with suppliers concerning requirements, such as order quantity and delivery dates (Leenders *et al.*, 2006). In addition to this, Chunawalla and Patel (2006), material resource

management concerned with those management functions such as planning, organizing, purchasing, warehousing, distribution, inventory control and disposal of material resources. So, when communities or PTAs participate in managing school material resources from planning process up to disposal process in every activity of the school, wastage and corruption of school resources will be minimized, quality of materials will be improved which is directly related with the efficiency and effectiveness of school activities.

2.4. Roles of Community in Managing School Resource

In a decentralized education system, the community participates in decision making, planning, monitoring and evaluating the activities of the school improvement program. It has been conclusively stated that community participation in the school resource management has a lot of benefits that include ownership of the school by communities, and ability of the communities to support the school financially and materially, and the school becoming environment where democracy is practiced (Kaunda, 2005). The main reason is that school principal cannot go it alone. They need to enlist and harness the active participation and support of numerous other role players. These reasons and many more made the government to devise that national strategy for community participation in SIP through committees or associations, such as WETB, SIC, PTA (MOE, 2002). So in relation to school management, the communities or their representatives can participate in planning process of all school activities, in decision making, monitoring and evaluating, and in creating conducive school environment.

2.5. School Leadership and Management

The school principals can play a great role in enhancing community participation in school material resource management if they are democratic leading the school but if they are dictatorial, community cannot get ways of participating in school activities. Harris and Lambert (2003) claimed that the school improvement strategies can result in changing school culture and that leadership has an important part to play in defining and shaping schools culture. Florez et al, (2001) in Agbo (2007) indicate that the levels of community participation in school decision making are dependent largely on the leadership style of the principal and that it is important that the principal promotes democratic leadership in schools.

Furthermore, the study by Telem (2003) in Agbo (2007), found that the principal plays a central role in promoting parental involvement and limited parental involvement could be related to the governance structure of the school, the leadership style of the principals' feeling about parent's status and power

and school climate. Where school is perceived as authoritarian institution, parents and community members do not feel welcome to participate in their children's education. They are not capable of taking any responsibility in school issues and tend to feel that education is something that should be taken care of by educational professional at school.

According to Mayer, J. (2007), the principal is responsible for encouraging the community representatives in school activities. School principals, therefore, not only welcome and encourage parents to participate in school resource management and other school activities, they need to be responsible for making sure that parents are involved and can have an impact. Furthermore, Mayer, J. (2007) explained that community need to participate to learn about the education process, determine the purpose of the school, implement the school program, and help appraise school program, so as to serve as important two way channels of combination between school and community. The principal, therefore, has to let them share in planning decision-making, development implementation, and evaluation; generally in the management of the school and other school activities.

2.6. Rationale for community participation in school resource management

Education is a goal oriented activity that requires the participation of community. The community plays a significant role in preparing students to be competent in the changing world. Community participation in the school resource management will contribute to the development of school policies and practices which could be most effective in improving educational relevancy, quality, access and academic performance of students and above all it increases feeling of ownership and responsiveness of community toward school (Bagin and Donald, 2005). Therefore, there must be active participation on the part of community in school resource management. It is through such participation that the community comes to know the school. It is as a result of such participation that the community will be able to raise questions, obtain information, express ideas, consider proposals and take positions on critical issues. It is also this that helps the community to become part of the decision-making process and assist the school to keep up with social change and bring about educational change.

2.7. Practices of Community Participation in School Resource Management

In a decentralized education system, the community participates in decision making, planning, monitoring and evaluating the activities of the schools. It has been conclusively stated that community participation in school resource management has a lot of benefits that include ownership of the school by communities, and ability of the communities to support the school financially or materially, and then managing all its activities at which democracy is practiced (Kaunda, 2005). These reasons and many

more made the government to devise that national strategy for community participation in school resource management through committees or associations, such as 'Woreda' Education and Training Board (WETB), SIC, PTA (MOE,2002).

2.8. Factors Affecting Community Participation in School Management

A number of factors are associated with the community itself when it comes to decrease its level to participation in school resource management. Some of them are below.

2.8.1. Community interest

Not all community members are willing to participate in school resource management. Some have negative schooling experiences to themselves. Others are illiterate and do not feel comfortable talking to teachers. In their effort to suggest solutions, Cummings and Dall (1995) note that when schools face with reluctance or resistance to their efforts, they have to make their programs transparent and reach the community using several mechanisms such as inviting elders dignitaries, and celebrities to schools.

2.8.2. Awareness of community

Community members need to know that their inputs are important for schools to accomplish the task effectively. In line with the Cummings and Dall (1995) stated that community members should understand that without their support schools face challenges to accomplish their tasks fully in order to achieve their intended objectives. As Jensen et al (1967) state that people have different perceptions about the support they are required to provide. Some look at financial support of schools program as a burden, while others see it as an imposition. Some others who have no children in schools question their being compelled to support the education of other people. He further claimed that misunderstanding of community members regarding the roles they have in relation to the schools is one of the drawbacks which affect both the school and the community. To avoid this misunderstanding, school should establish strong, public relations as a means for raising levels of understanding interrelationships as well as support.

2.8.3. Fear

One of PTA members is teacher and can either positively or negatively affects community participation in school resource management. He or she can play a great role in enhancing community representatives to participate in school affairs if he or she does not have negative attitude towards them. Fear affects teachers and they may do little to encourage community involvement. When teachers are uncertain or insecure about their own teaching skills, they fear criticism of how they do their job and discourage community participation in their classrooms. When such condition happens communities are

made to feel unwelcome in many different ways (Barbour and Barbour, 1997). Such problem is then can also be the basis of distrust among teachers and communities. Molnar (2006) revealed in his research that suspicions and distrust will develop lack of confidence among teachers and communities.

2.8.4. Insecurity and intimidation

Research conducted by Dixon in Molnar (2006) out lined that the barrier to more community's participation is not the apathy communities but lack of support from educators in the case of insecurity and intimidation between parents and educators. In some cases, communities actually felt that their students might be singled out or treated unfairly in grading by teachers who deemed parents to be interfering. Teachers talk defensively about being verbally attacked by parents and parents share discomfort entering schools due to their own level of education or past experience in schools. All these problems create walls between homes and school and limit community participation in school resource management.

2.8.5. Professional territory

Molnar (2006) also states that there is an insider outsider tension that results between school staff and community members. Parents are never quite insiders because the minute they go too far they are subtle reminded that they are stepping into professional territory. He also further explained that, at times, teachers consider parents troublesome because they question, challenge, and take time. Educators feel threatened about intrusion into their territory and parents are not safe if they trust teachers or other parents. All these situations will develop a protection territory among all stakeholders which influence parental involvement negatively.

2.8.6. Attitudes of principals, teachers and community

The negative attitude of teachers and principals towards community participation can make the community to participate in school material resource management passively. Some teachers and principals purposely or unknowingly, discourage community participation (Kandasukmy and Lia, 2004). Supporting this, the report of UNESCO (2002) noted that principals and teachers sometimes refuse to encourage the school board and association board members or parents to actively participate for they fear that these community members would get overall control of the pedagogical matters which they have no mastery. On the other hand, principals and teachers may perceive that school board and association members often have few decision making skills and they degraded the capacities of the community representatives to participate in the educational activities of the school. Parents who had

unpleasant school experiences are often reluctant to participate in school affairs because they develop negative attitude to the school (Barbours and Barbours, 1997).

2.8.7. Communication factors

Communication barriers arise when the intent of the message and the content as perceived by the receiver differ, when the honesty of the message is questionable, when the language and cultural gap is large, when there is lack of understanding between parties, or the idea is not accurately communicated to the community (Schaffer and Bet, 1992).

2.9. Strategies of Enhancing Community Participation in School Resource Management

Schools should develop strategies to ensure the participation of community in school resource management. The following are from among the strategies.

2.9.1. Building the capacity of school principals and teachers

Principals and teachers play a pivotal role in the success of the school. In successful schools, principals create a strong sense of mission and vision; build a strong culture of collaboration; set appropriate curriculum implementation mechanisms; possess an instructional leadership that takes responsibility for students achievement; develop and communicate plans for effective teaching , and nurture cooperative relationship with community members in general (MOE, 2005). Accomplishing all these requires some degrees of competence and these, in turn, necessitate further and continuous training.

In the same way, teachers also need to undergo similar training for the same purpose of enabling them create a participatory management style by involving the local people in planning, decision making, implementing plans, developing school based budget and monitoring and evaluation (MOE, 2002), UNESCO (2002) also notes that school leaders and teachers need training in how to manage their autonomy and collaborative responsibilities. Lack of training creates problems of communication with community members. Supporting this idea Holliday in Bagin (2001), explains that educators communicate poorly because they have little communication experiences almost no meaningful communication training. Thus, training principals and teachers on how to involve the community in the school program activities is essential.

2.9.2. Creating a school environment conducive for participation

The school has to create an environment suitable for the community to participate in school resource management. It must initiate the community to participate and appreciate its contribution. When members of the community are welcomed and get respected in their participation, they would be more

motivated and exert more and better efforts (Morgan 2006). In addition to welcoming the participation of the community, schools have to adjust appropriate time and place for members of the community to participate on different school activities. Therefore, the school principals, teachers and others should create conducive school environment that invite the communities to participate in school resource management.

2.9.3. Giving orientation and training to community representatives

Community members may attend meeting passively without adding an input. This could be due to lack of confidence or knowledge. It is essential to give orientation and training for building their capacity which could enable them to understand their rights, play expected role, and discharge responsibilities accordingly (UNESCO, 2002). In agreement with this idea, the world bank (1997) states that if communities or their representatives get training, they will maximize their effort and participate actively in school programs.

2.9.4. Providing community service

The Ministry of education (MOE, 2005) states that some of the ways which are used to build community participation are arranging some programs such as bazaar, parents' day, plays and sport festivals. A program must be arranged for parents to come to school physically as a team or individually, to enable them to evaluate and give their suggestions about their students' academic progress. Community members who actively participate in school affairs must be acknowledged or awarded a recognition that gives them value in the society. Therefore, the school has to involve the community in its different programs through the committees or associations elected from the community. In addition to this, the school has to have planned communication mechanisms which enable the community to assist teachers and create a sense of ownership.

CHAPTER THREE

THE RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODOLOGY

This part includes research design, description of the study area, and sources of data, population, sample size and sampling technique, instrument of data collection, data collection procedures, method of data analysis, ethical considerations, and organization of the study

3.1. Research Design

In this study, descriptive survey design with both quantitative and qualitative approaches were used. But the qualitative data that is obtained from interview was applicable to support quantitative data. It was used as a means for convergence and integrating qualitative and quantitative data (Creswell, 2009). Descriptive survey design involves acquiring information about one or more groups of people—perhaps about their characteristics, opinions, attitudes or previous experiences. Similarly, Best and Kahan (2003) noted that descriptive research design helps to describe and interpret the current conditions.

3.2. Description of Study Area

Dawo Woreda of South West Shoa Zone was the study area. At the time of the study, there were 21 kebeles in the woreda's and one administrative town in the woreda. The geographical area of the woreda is divided into high land and low land. The climatic condition of the woreda is temperate, sub-tropical and tropical.

From the woreda in South west shoa zone, the researcher selected Dawo woreda because of representative choice. Most of the woreda people economic activities are depending on farming; the dominant religion practiced in the zone is Christianity and Afan Oromo is the dominant language in the area. Some people are merchants and a few are government workers. There are a lot of educational institutions in the zone. These are: one branch university, one poly Technique College, and three technical and vocational colleges, eleven preparatory schools, twenty eight secondary schools and four hundred nine primary schools.

3.3. Source of Data

The data were gathered from primary and secondary sources to accomplish the study, to get reliable and valid information.

3.3.1. The primary sources of data

The sources of primary data wasgathered from school principals, vice principals, teachers, school supervisors, woreda education heads and PTAs using questionnaire and interview.

3.3.2. The secondary sources of data

The secondary sources of data were PTAs documents on community participation in the schools.

3.4. Population, Sample Size and Sampling Technique

The target populations of this study were teachers, principals, vice principals, Primary schools supervisors, parent teacher association (PTA) from selected government primary schoolsand educational office heads of Dewo Woreda, South West-Showa Zone Oromia Regional State. The selection procedures are indicated as follows.

The study area was government primary schools of Dawo Woreda of South-West Showa Zone. The total number of primary schools is thirty (30). Out of 30 primary schools in Dawo primary schools, the researcher took 12 primary schools by using simple random sampling techniques particularly lottery method in order to give equal chances for each school. The sample schools were: Bifole, Roge, Inka, Gaba Jimata, Tajabi, Wajitu, Kalacho, Jara, Bombi Musgure, Kersa Galute and Sedare. Totally, twelve (40%) primary schools were selected for the study.

In general, in these twelve sample primary schools, the number of teachers varies from one other. So, the researcher was selected teachers from each school to give them equal chance using simple random sampling technique as shown in the table below. Totally, 394 teachers are found in these sample government primary schools and 198 (50.3) teachers were selected assample for the study using simple random sampling technique.

The total number of PTA representatives in the sample schools is 56as each school has 7 committee members. Out of 56 PTA members, the researcher was selected a sample of12 (14.3%) chairperson using purposive sampling technique because of their position and it is expected that they are partners either actual implementer or evaluators of each activity of the school. Regarding officials, each primary school has one principal, two vice principals, one high school supervisor, and total number of eight principals, thirteen vice principals, eight supervisors and One woreda education heads are selected using available sampling technique as they are small in numbers and are manageable. In general, 12(100%) principals, 13 (100%) vice principals, 6(100%) supervisors, 1 (100%) woreda education officers, 8(14.3%) PTAs and 198 (50.3%) of teachers will be included in the study.

In order to determine sample size, the researcher used the formula of finite (known population)

$$(Yemane, 1967) n = \frac{N}{1 + N(e)^2} = \frac{394}{1 + 394(0.05)^2} = \frac{394}{394(0.0025)^2} = 121$$

Table1. Population and sample size of the study atDawoWoreda

S.N	Schools	Total Population							Sample population						
		Principal	Vice principal	Supervisor	Teachers	PTA	WEO head	Total	principal	vice	Supervisor	Teachers	PTA	WEO head	Total
1 1	Bifole	1	2	1	50	7	1	62	1	2	1	18	1		24
	Roge	1	2	1	50	7	1	62	1	2	1	18	1		24
	Inka	1	1	1	24	7	1	35	1	1	1	8	1		13
	Gaba	1	1	1	85	7	1	96	1	1	1	25	1		30
	Tajabi	1	1	1	34	7	1	45	1	1	1	10	1		17
	Wajitu	1	2	1	45	7	1	69	1	2	1	12	1		16
	Kersa	1	2	1	57	7	1	57	1	2	1	15	1		21
	Gelete	1	2	1	49	7	1	61	1	2	1	15	1		21
	Total	8	13	8	394	56	8	487	8	3	8	121	8	1	166

Source: Dawo Woreda Education Bureau

3.5. Instruments of Data Collection

The study were employed both quantitative and qualitative data. Both types of data were gathered by using appropriate data collecting tools like questionnaire and interview, in order to obtain ample information from respondents. Thus, questionnaire was the major data collecting tool to be used in this study and all the questions were answered through it whereas, interview was used to get the view of respondents for further in-depth information to enrich the data obtained from questionnaires.

3.5.1. Questionnaire

Questionnaires were distributed to 121 teachers from 8 sample primary schools, 12 principals, 13 vice principals, 8 supervisors. The Questionnaire was used to get adequate information from large number of respondents within short period of time and all research questions was answered through it. The same kind of questionnaire was organized in to two sets: one set for teachers and the second set for principals, vice principals and supervisors. Both sets of questionnaires had three parts.

Part one: Personal information of the participants; part two: close-ended questions with the five rating scales and part three include the open ended questions. The type of questionnaire were close ended with five rating scales to get quick responses and also open ended not to restrict the respondents' ideas, feeling or opinion if any. The development of the questionnaire was commented by the advisor, two post graduate students and two language teachers for its relevance, completeness and clarity. Before being used for the actual purpose, the questionnaire was validated on one primary school which is out of the sample schools and checked for its appropriateness and certain changes were made.

Accordingly, after the advisors review the instruments, the designed questionnaire tried out at Gola and Kunche primary school of Dawo Woreda primary School which was included in the main study. The test was conducted with 20 teachers, 2 principal, two vice principals, 1 supervisor, 2 PTAs chairman and 1 woreda education office head. All respondents were selected by purposive sampling technique.

Based on the pilot test, the reliability and validity of the instruments will be calculated by using SPSS for all teachers, principals, vice principals, primary schools supervisors, parent – teacher association (PTA) and Woreda educational office heads.

3.5.2. Interview

Leedy and Ormrod (2005) described that interview is a major tool in which a qualitative evaluator seek to understand the perceptions, feeling and knowledge of people in program through in-depth, intensive interviewing. Based on this, the researcher organized two sets of Semi structured interview questions: one set for woreda educational office heads and the other set for 12 PTA chair persons to get their view for further information. The researcher used the interview to get in-depth response, more different ideas and opinion about the study which is impossible to obtain through the questionnaire. For all woreda education officers the same questions was developed in English as all of them are degree holders but for PTA chair persons as they are not from educational back ground, the questions will be translated to Afan Oromo to eliminate any ambiguity.

3.6. Data Collection Procedures

At the beginning contacts was done through phone or face-to-face with primary school principals, supervisors and woreda education office heads to get their permission, build trust and describing the mission and purpose of the study. Next the instrument was developed for data collection then submitted for evaluation to the advisor in the process, before the actual data were employed on the studies. Finally, appropriate time chosen by respondents with help of assistants, and the questionnaires were

distributed. Orientation was given, administered and papers collected. After the completion of questionnaires interview with woreda education office was carried out.

3.7. Method of Data Analysis

In order to achieve the objectives, data obtained from the two sets of questionnaires were organized, tabulated and described quantitatively using frequency and percentage with the help of SPSS V.20 program software. For open ended questionnaire and interview the data were organized logically and narrated accordingly. Therefore, both quantitative and qualitative data were used and analyzed accordingly and systematically.

3.7.1. Quantitative data

The quantitative data which was collected from the two sets of questionnaires were computed and analyzed using SPSS V.20 program software to obtain the frequency, percentage, standard deviation and mean value of each item and then infer the data using inferential statistics. The responses were categorized and frequencies were tallied. Percentage and frequency tally was used to analyze the characteristics of the population as they help to determine the relative standing of the respondents. The items in the questionnaires were presented in tables according to their idea coherence

3.7.2. Qualitative data

The data were collected from semi-structured interview and open ended question items and was analyzed qualitatively. The written notes of interview was transcribed, categorized and compiled together into theme. The result of open-ended questions were summarized and organized with related category. Qualitative data analysis employed as a supplementary data analysis technique for triangulation and justification purpose. As a result, the data collected through interview and open ended questions was narrated under the data obtained through questionnaire items related to it.

3.8. Ethical Consideration

Having received official letter of cooperation from the department of Educational planning and Management of Addis Ababa University, the researcher was communicate all concerned bodies and individual participants. Respondents were made adequately aware of the type of information, the researcher want from them, why the information was being sought, what purpose it would be put to, how they were expected to participate in the study, and how it would directly or indirectly affected them. Any communication with the concerned bodies was accomplished at their voluntary consent without harming and threatening their personal and institutional well-being. The information obtained

from schools and individuals was kept confidential for anonymity of both organizations and respondent individuals. Moreover, the researcher took care of committing bias, inappropriate use of information and inappropriate reporting of results.

CHAPTER FOUR

PRESENTATION, ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION OF DATA

This chapter presents the description of the sample population, analysis and interpretation of the data based on the information obtained through the questionnaires, interviews and document analysis. It consists of two parts. The first part is concerned with the description of characteristics of the respondents whereas; the second part deals with the analysis and interpretation of the data obtained from questionnaire, interview and document analysis. The purpose of this data was to explore community participation in school material resource management in the primary schools of Dawo woreda of South West Shoa Zone, Oromia regional state. For this purpose, the questionnaire, the interview and document analysis were used to collect data from the respondents. The questionnaire data was collected from 119(98.3%) of teachers as two of them did not filled and returned the questionnaires, 8(100%) principals, 13(vice principals and 8(100%) supervisors. Whereas, 8(100%) primary school PTA chair persons and 8(100%)woreda education officers were interviewed. School documents and reports were also analyzed in this section of the study. Finally, the research analyses were done based on the obtained from questionnaire, interview results and document analysis.

Teachers, principals, vice principals and high school supervisors responded to both open and closed-ended questionnaire items. The closed-ended items across sub-categories were computed and analyzed using frequency, percentage, mean scores and chi-square. Percentage was utilized for easy presentation of frequency distribution and for comparison of the degree of the community participation in school material resource management. In addition, items across each category were arranged under the rating scale with five points. These five rating scale range from strongly agree = 5, agree = 4, undecided = 3, disagree = 2 and strongly disagree = 1.

Besides, data from interviews, and document analysis were triangulated to validate the findings. Mean scores and t-test were calculated from the responses. For the purpose of easy analysis and interpretation, the mean values and chi-square of each item were interpreted as follows.

4.1. Demographic Characteristics of the Respondents

The following parts deal with the characteristics of the respondents who were participated in the study.

The details of the characteristics of the respondents are given in table 2 below.

Table2: Characteristics of Respondents by Sex, Age, Service Years and Qualification.

Description		Teachers		School Leaders	
		N	%	N	%
Sex	Male	98	82.35	29	100
	Female	21	17.65	-	-
	Total	119	100	29	100
Age	10-20	-	-	-	-
	20-30	50	42	11	38
	31-40	61	51	15	52
	≥41	8	7	3	10
	Total	119	100	8	100
Total years of Services	0-5 years	23	19	-	-
	6-10years	19	19	10	34
	11-15years	61	51	19	66
	16-20	6	4	-	-
	21-25	8	4	-	-
	Above 26years	2	3	-	-
	Total	119	100	29	100
Educational Qualification	Diploma	2	1.7	-	-
	BA/BSC	114	95.8	25	86
	MA/MSC	3	2.5	4	14
	Total	119	100	29	100

As shown in table 2 above, out of 148 respondents, 127(85.8%) were males whereas 21(14.2%) were females. Concerning principals and primary school supervisors all respondents were males. This shows that the essential data was mainly obtained from male respondents. Moreover, one can understand that the number of females in the teaching profession is much lower compared to males in Primary schools of Dawo woreda of South West Shoa Zone, Oromia regional state. In the same way all the PTA chairpersons and woreda education officers interviewed were males which could be said that the number of female teachers coming to authority was also very low.

As can be seen from the above table 2, majority 61(51%) of teachers, 4(50%) of principals, 7(54%) of vice principals and 4(50%) of supervisors were belong to the age group of 31-40. Thus, this implies that all the respondents were experienced and matured enough to express ideas related to the study consistently and with better understanding. Therefore, their judgments and opinions can be taken as more acceptance to the study. With regard to work experience, the majority of respondents 61(51%) teachers, 6(75%) of principals, 9(69) vice principals and 4(50%) of supervisors had 11-15 years of service. This shows that adequate number of respondents can provide better and recent information on the extent of community participation in school material resource management and about its barriers.

Regarding to the educational back ground of respondents, 114(95.8%) of teachers, 8(100%) principals, 13(100%) vice principals and 4(50%) of supervisors were first degree holders. This implies that the qualification standards set by MoE were achieved. The standard states that minimum request qualification to teach at secondary schools is first degree (MoE, 2006). From this it can be concluded that all the respondents are experienced and matured enough to give valuable information for this research and can easily understand the purpose of the study. So, all the respondents can simply identify how community participates in school resource management and what hinders them.

4.2. How Community Participates in Material Resource Management in primary schools.

This part deals with different items such as knowledge and roles of PTA members, roles of school leaders, inventory control by PTA members, regularly checking of school material resources storage, protection fair distribution and of accountability school for misused school material resources among the users. Each item was analyzed based on the data obtained through questionnaires responded by teachers and officials (principals, vice principals, supervisors) and further backed by the data obtained from interview and document analysis. Therefore, the twelve items were interpreted as indicated in table 3 below.

Table3: How Community Participates in Material Resource Management in Primary Schools

No	Items	Responde nts	Rating scales										X ²	.Sig	
			S.disagre		Disagre		Undeci		Agree		S.Agre				Mea
			F	% F	F	% F	F	% F	F	% ⁿ	F	%			
1.	PTA members controlled the school material resources with careful inventory	Te	7	5.9	81	68	12	10	9	8	10	9	2.4	14.53	0.007
		Offi	6	21	12	41	8	28	2	7	1	4	2.3		
		Tot	13	9	93	63	20	14	11	8	11	7	2.3		
2.	PTA members regularly check how school materials are stored	Te	13	11	79	66	10	9	8	7	9	8	2.3	17.170	0.000
		Offi	9	31	10	35	6	21	3	10	-	-	2.2		
		Tot	22	15	89	61	16	10	11	8	10	7	2.2		
3	PTA members regularly check expire date of school material resources	Te	10	9	83	70	9	8	12	10	5	5	2.3	22.725	0.000
		Offi	7	24	9	31	9	31	4	14	-	-	2.4		
		Tot	17	12	92	62	18	12	16	10	5	4	2.3		
4	PTA members protected the school facilities from danger	Te	2	1.7	90	76	16	13	6	5	5	4	2.3	213.7	0.014
		Offi	5	17	16	55	5	17	2	7	1	3	2.3		
		Tot	7	5	106	72	21	14	8	5	6	4	2.3		
5	PTA members know their role and the role of school leaders	Te	5	4.3	61	51	19	16	21	18	13	1	2.8	15.251	0.004
		Offi	7	24	9	31	6	21	6	21	1	3	2.5		
		Tot	12	8	70	47	25	17	27	18	14	9	2.6		
6.	PTA members have had regular meetings with the school leaders about school material resources	Te	11	9.2	87	73	8	7	10	8	3	3	2.3	17.01	0.000
		Offi	8	27	10	35	6	21	4	14	1	3	2.2		
		Tot	19	13	97	66	14	10	14	10	4	3	2.2		
7	The school and PTA members usually work in collaboration to carry out inventory control	Te	11	9.2	68	57	13	11	10	8	17	1	2.6	12.807	0.007
		Offi	8	27	12	41	5	17	4	14	-	-	2.2		
		Tot	19	13	80	54	18	12	14	10	17	1	2.4		
8.	PTA ensures the accountability for misused school material resources	Te	16	13	60	50	14	12	21	18	8	7	2.5	4.935	0.236
		Offi	6	21	14	48	6	21	3	10	-	-	2.2		
		Tot	22	15	74	50	20	14	24	16	8	5	2.3		
9.	PTA ensures fair distribution of school material resources	Te	13	11	69	58	18	15	11	9	8	7	2.4	6.328	0.95
		Offi	8	27	14	48	4	14	2	7	1	3	2		
		Tot	21	14	83	56	22	15	13	9	9	6	2.2		
10	The school and PTA members usually work in collaboration for the maintenance of school material re	Te	17	14	93	78	9	8	-	-	-	-	1.9	15.64	0.000
		Offi	11	38	13	45	4	14	1	3	-	-	1.8		
		Tot	28	19	106	72	13	9	1	.7	-	-	1.8		
11	PTA find alternatives for maintenance with cost minimized	Te	15	13	90	76	10	8	3	3	1	.8	2	10.20	0.027
		Offi	4	14	15	52	8	27	1	3	1	3	2.4		
		Tot	19	13	105	71	18	12	4	3	2	1	2.2		
12	PTA find alternatives for maintenance with cost minimized	Te	11	9.2	81	68	16	13	7	6	4	3	2.2	2.203	0.757
		Offi	3	10	16	55	6	21	2	7	2	7	2.5		
		Tot	14	9.5	97	66	22	15	9	9	6	4	2.3		

Key: *Te*=teacher, *Prin*= officials, *Tot*= total, X^2 =Chi-square, Table value = 5.991 at 0.05 alpha

In item 1 of table 3, the respondents were asked to rate the extent of PTA members awareness about school material resources. Accordingly, 81 (68%) teachers and 12(44.4%) officials disagreed with the idea that PTA members know each of the school material resources in detail. In the same way, as we can see from the table the mean score 2.4 for teachers, 2.3 for Officials assured that PTA know each members of the school material didn't resources in detail. Additionally, the calculated chi-square value under $\alpha= 0.05$ level of significance ($\chi^2 = 14.533$) showed that there is statistically significant difference between the two group of respondents in rating the items. This means that more teachers agreed that PTA members had lack of awareness but only some officials agreed with this idea as they were responsible and it was expected from them to bring the change. So, this result reveals that PTA members had lack of awareness in managing school material resources. Furthermore, an interview held with a school PTA chairperson also supported this. He said:

We PTA members say that some basic activities are not our concern it should be done by school leaders. Particularly, some few of our members even do not know why the community selects them as PTA members; they don't their give due attention for that and don't care to know the school material resources in detail. But, we love our school and we want its development and change so we will work hard as much as possible being with all stake holders.

It can be seen from the interview that PTA members generally lacked awareness about their contribution of participating in the school material resource management. In the same way, school leaders lacked raising the awareness of PTA members in school material resources management.

In the same way, the result of document analysis also showed that there were only two minutes of meetings between school and PTA members in 5 schools that have agendas of school material resource usage which shows that the schools, PTA and WETB members almost were not working together. No reports were made in 5(62%) of schools regarding decisions made on school material resource usage: school to PTA and school to WETB.

As indicated in the above table 3 item 2, the respondents were asked to give their view about the extent to which PTA members controlled the school material resources from buying to its disposal. Accordingly, 79 (66.4%) teachers and 10(35%) officials disagreed with the idea that states PTA members controlled school material resource from buying until its disposal with careful inventory. In addition to that, as one can see from the table, the mean score 2.3 for teachers, 2.2 for officials and the average mean value 2.2 help to conclude that the extent to which PTA members controlled the school material resources from buying to its disposal was weak. Additionally, the calculated chi-square value under $\alpha= 0.05$ level of significance ($\chi^2 = 17.171$) showed that there is statistically significant difference between the two group of respondents in rating the items.

This means that compared to officials, more teachers agreed that PTA m material resources from buying to its disposal carefully but less number of officials agreed withit thinking that blaming themselves as bringing more of the change expected from the officials themselves by mobilizing the community. So, this result reveals that PTA members couldn' t control school material resources from buying to its disposal. Furthermore, an interview heldwith a school PTA chairperson also supported this. He said:

'Primary schools' PTA members could give no attention to control the schoolmaterial resources some students' disciplinary problem don't have regular schedules' activitiesto andcome and resources how they can control each and every school material resources. So,unless strong strategies employed to enhance their participation, it is impossible to arrive at why the not it desiredispossiblebutit needsgoalscommitment... and determination.., he added.'

So, it can be said that school PTA members need awareness creation mechanisms to involve them in school material resource management as expected. The document analysis took place showed that there were no agenda, or no minute found with regard to school material resourcemanagement. So, from this it can be said t participated in school material resource management.

In item 3 table of 3, 83 (70%) teachers and 9(31%) officials disagreed and said that PTA members'regularly didn' t checkif the school material resources were stored in adequate spaces. In addition to that, as we can see from the table, the mean score 2.3 for teachers, 2.4 forofficials and the average mean valuecheck 2if.3 ap the school material resources were stored in adequate spaces. Additionally, the calculated chi-square value under $\alpha= 0.05$ level of significance ($\chi^2 = 22.721$) showed that there is statistically significant difference between the two group of respondents in rating the items. This means that more teachers agreed that there was lack of regularly checking the storage of materialresources in the school. So, this result reveals that PTA member storage of school material resources.

In item 4 of table 3, respondents were asked to rate the extent of regularly checking expire date of school material resource. The table shows those 90 (75.6%) teachers and 16(55%)officials responded that PTA members didn' t resource. In addition to that, as one can see from the table, the mean score 2.3 for teachers, 2.3for officials and the average mean value 2. the expiry date of school material resource. Additionally, the calculated chi-square value under $\alpha= 0.05$ level of significance ($\chi^2 = 13.718$) showed that there is statistically significant difference between the two group of respondents in rating the items. This means that more teachers agreed that there was lack of regularly checking expire date of material resources in the school but some officials agreed with it in fear of blaming themselves as they areresponsible persons. So, these results revealsmanage that expirydate ofPTA me school material resources.

In item 5 of table 3, the respondents were asked to answer the degree to which PTA members protected the school facilities from danger. The table shows those 61 (51.3%) teachers and 9(31 %) officials responded with disagreement saying that the degree to which PTA members protected the school facilities from danger was weak. However, 21 (17.6%) teachers and 6 (21%) officials responded with agreement that the degree to which PTA members protected the school facilities from danger was strong and encouraging. To sum up, as one can see from the table, the mean score 2.8 for teachers, 2.5 for officials and the average mean 2.6 ensure that the degree to which PTA members protected the school facilities from danger was foundamoderate circumstances.

Additionally, the calculated chi-square value under $\alpha=0.05$ level of significance ($\chi^2=15.251$) showed that there is statistically significant difference between the two group of respondents in rating the items. This means that more teachers agreed that the protection of PTA members for school facilities was not as expected. So, this result reveals that the protection that PTA members have done for school was moderate but still hard work is needed.

In item 6 of table 3, the respondents were asked to answer the degree to which PTA members knew their roles and the roles of the school leaders separately. The table shows those 87 (73%) teachers and 10 (35 %) officials disagreed with the idea that states PTA members knew their roles and the roles of the school leaders separately. In addition to the above data, as one can see from the table, the mean score 2.3 for teachers, 2.3 for officials and the average mean value 2.2 ensures that PTA members didn't know t separately. Additionally, the calculated chi-square value under $\alpha=0.05$ level of significance ($\chi^2=17.018$) showed that there is statistically significant difference between the two group of respondents in rating the items. This means that more teachers agreed with the idea that PTAmembers didn't know their roles and So, this resultthe role reveals that PTA members didn't know their.

In item 7 of table 3, the respondents were asked to answer the degree to which PTA members have had regular meetings with the school concerning school material resources. The table shows those 68 (57%) teachers and 12(41%) officials responded that PTA members have not had regular meetings with the school concerning school material resources. Moreover, as one can see from the table, the average mean value 2.3 shows that PTA members have not had regular meetings with the school concerning school material resources. Additionally, the calculated chi-square value under $\alpha=0.05$ level of significance ($\chi^2=12.807$) showed that there is statistically significant difference between the two group of respondents in rating the items. This means that compared to officials, more teachers agreed that PTA members had no regular meetings with the school concerning material resources because officials were responsible and expected to bring the change. So, this result reveals that PTA members have not had regular meetings with the school leaders.

In item 8 of table 3, the respondents were asked to answer the degree to which the school and PTA members work in collaboration in carrying out inventory control of the school material resources. The table shows those 60 (50.4%) teachers and 14 (48 %) officials responded that the school and PTA members didn't work in c the school material resources. However, as one can see from the table, the mean score 2.5 for teachers expresses that the school and PTA members work in collaboration in carrying out inventory control of the school material resources; whereas the average mean value 2.3 proves that the school and PTA members didn't was control of the school material resources. Additionally, the calculated chi-square value under $\alpha= 0.05$ level of significance ($\chi^2 = 4.935$) which is less than the critical value of $\chi^2 = 5.991$, showed that there is no statistically significant difference between the two group of respondents in rating the items. So, this result reveals that inventory control with the schools.

In item 9 of table 3, the respondents were asked to answer the degree to which PTA members ensure the accountability for misused school material resources. The table shows those 69(58%) teachers and 14 (48 %) officials re accountability for misused school material resources. Furthermore, the average mean value 2.2 proves that the degree to which PTA members ensure the accountability for misused school material resources was almost not functional. Additionally, the calculated chi-square value under $\alpha= 0.05$ level of significance ($\chi^2 = 6.328$) showed that there is no statistically significant difference between the two group of respondents in rating the items. So, this result reveals that PTA members didn't ensure the accountability for

In item 10 of table 3, the respondents were asked to answer the degree to which PTA ensures fair distribution of school material resources among the users. The table shows those 93(78.2%) teachers and 13 (45 %) officials' r distribution of school material resources among the users. In addition to the above data, as one can see from the table, the mean score is 1.9 for teachers, 1.8 for officials and the average mean value 1.8 witnesses' that contribution PTA of school members material resources among the users.

Additionally, the calculated chi-square value under $\alpha= 0.05$ level of significance ($\chi^2 = 15.640$) which is greater than the critical value $\chi^2=5.991$, showed that there is statistically significant difference between the two group of respondents in rating the items. This means that compared to officials, more teachers agreed that there was no ensured fair distribution of school material resources among the users. So, this result reveals that PTA members didn't ensure fair distribution interview held of with a head of woreda education office also supported this. He said:

Primary schools' PTA members could not control the school material resources. I hear that when some teachers blame directors about unfair usage of school material resources among them but PTA members do not see it as their concern; because, they don't have regular schedule the schools material.

In item 11 of table 3, the respondents were asked to answer the extent to which the school and PTA members work in collaboration in accomplishing and monitoring maintenance of the school material resources. The table shows those 90 (75.6%) teachers and 15 (42 %) officials responded that the school and PTA members didn't work in collaboration monitoring maintenance of the school material. In addition to the above data, as one can see from the table, the mean score is 2.0 for teachers, 2.4 for officials and the average mean value 2.2 tell us that the school and PTA members didn't work in monitoring maintenance of the school material resources.

Additionally, the calculated chi-square value under $\alpha= 0.05$ level of significance ($\chi^2 = 10.207$) which is greater than the critical value of $\chi^2= 5.991$, showed that there is statistically significant difference between the two group of respondents in rating the items. This means that more teachers agreed that there was no collaboration between the schools and officials in monitoring maintenance of the schools. So, this result reveals the in collaboration with school in the maintenance of school material resources.

In item 12 of table 3, the respondents were asked to answer the extent to which PTA members find alternatives to accomplish it with cost minimized to save the school budget.

The table shows those 81 (68%) teachers and, 16 (55 %) officials responded with disagreement expressing those PTA members almost didn't minimize to save the school budget. In addition to the above data, the average mean value 2.4 indicates that PTA member's almost hit with didn't minimized to save the school budget. Additionally, the calculated chi-square value under $\alpha= 0.05$ level of significance ($\chi^2 = 2.203$) which is less than the critical value of $\chi^2= 5.991$, showed that there is no statistically significant difference

between the two group of respondents in rating the items. So, this result reveals that P alternatives to save the school budget. Furthermore, an interview held with a head of woreda education office also supported this. He said:

Primary schools' PTA members could not control the school material resources. They were, of course, present to plan and run particularly school Grant budget as the directory cannot run it but serve as facilitator, in other cases PTA members didn't involve PTA themselves members do not see it as in their concern; because, they don't have the schools material.

So, it can be said that school PTA members only focused on some general activities but they didn't work on all kinds of activities to s quality of school material resources. The document analysis took place also showed that there were no reports made regarding decisions in finding alternatives to save the school budget.

4.3. How school leaders motivate Community Participation in material Resource Management

This section deals with the items related to the current status of school leaders in initiating community participation in school material resource management. Each item is analyzed based on the data obtained through questionnaires responded by teachers, principals, vice principals and supervisors and then further backed by the data obtained from interview and document analysis. Accordingly, the five items were interpreted as indicated in the table below

Table4: How school leaders motivate Community Participation in material Resource Management.

No	Items	Responde	Rating scales										Mea	X ²
			S.disagre		Disagre		Undeci		Agree		S.Agre			
			F	%	F	%	F	%			F	%		
1	The school has set annual plan on how to manage school material resources	Te	20	17	70	58	13	11	10	8	6	5	2.3	3.4 24
		offi	5	17	13	45	5	17	3	10	3	10	2.4	
		Tot	25	17	83	56	18	12	13	9	9	6	2.3	
2	The school has regular schedule to meet with PTA members on the issues related to community participation	Te	15	13	71	60	14	12	9	8	1	8	2.4	1.258
		offi	4	14	15	52	3	10	4	14	3	10	2.5	
		Tot	19	13	86	58	17	12	13	9	1	9	2.4	
3	Different meetings take place between school leaders and PTA members about school material resource management	Te	10	8	75	63	16	13	9	8	9	8	2.4	2.841
		offi	4	14	14	48	5	17	4	14	2	7	2.6	
		Tot	14	10	89	60	21	14	13	9	1	7	2.5	
4	School leaders are empowered to manage school material resources	Te	9	8	77	65	18	15	10	8	5	4	2.4	2.811
		offi	5	17	13	79	6	21	3	10	2	7	2.5	
		Tot	14	10	90	61	24	16	13	9	7	5	2.4	
5	Generally, the current status of school leaders in initiating community participation is in a good direction	Te	6	5	88	74	16	13	5	4	4	3	2.3	3.168
		offi	1	3	18	62	17	3	10	2	7	8	2.3	
		Tot	7	5	106	72	21	14	8	5	6	4	2.3	

Key: Key: Te=teacher, Offi= officials, Tot= total, X²=Chi-square, Table value = 5.991 at 0.05 alpha
 Officials: (Principals, vice principals and supervisors)

In item 1 of table 4 the respondents were asked to rate whether the school has set annual plan on how to manage school material resources. Accordingly, 70(58.3%) of teachers and 13(45%) of officials disagreed with the idea which shows that the schools were in problem in setting annual plan on how to manage school material resources. There is no significant difference between the two respondent groups. The mean value for teachers (2.3) and for officials (2.4) shows that the schools didn't give activity. The attention averages mean value offorthe response 2.3 implies that the schools were weak in setting annual plan on how to manageschool material resource management. Additionally, the calculated chi-square value under $\alpha= 0.05$ level of significance ($x^2 = 3.442$) which is less than the critical value of $x^2=5.991$, showed that there is no statistically significant difference between the two group of respondents in rating the items. This means that a considerable proportion of both teachers and offici

equally agreed that there was lack of setting annual plan on how to manage material resource management. So, this result showed the weakness of the schools in setting annual plan on how to manage school material resources.

In item 2 of table 4, the respondents were asked to rate that whether the schools have regular schedule to meet with PTA members on the issues related to community participation in school material resource management. As we can see from the responses, from the respondents 71 (60%) teachers and 15 (52%) officials disagreed with the idea which implies that the schools have no regular schedule to meet with PTA members on the issues related to community participation in school material resource management. The mean value for teachers (2.4), for officials (2.5) and the average mean value is 2.4 imply that the activity was not functional.

Additionally, the calculated chi-square value under $\alpha = 0.05$ level of significance ($\chi^2 = 1.258$) showed that there is no statistically significant difference between the two group of respondents in rating the items. This means that a considerable proportion of both teachers and officials equally agreed that the school the PTA members didn't. An interview held with a woreda education officer also revealed this. He said:

The schools didn't with PTA meet members on a regular base as expected and not encouraging which still needs active work to bring a change. The schools alone have planned some activities that the PTA members can do. But a lot of support system was not formed to increase community participation. All schools tend to only include the community participation in their plan but they only want other bodies, for example, the woreda education office and REB to give them whatever they want.

It can be seen from the interview that the school leaders have no regular schedule or very few efforts to involve PTA members regularly in the school material resource management. And also the document analysis made showed that there were only 4 meetings conducted in 4 (50%) and only 3 meeting in other 4 (50%) of the sample schools throughout the year which revealed that there were no regular meeting between the school and PTA members.

In item 3 of table 4, the respondents were asked to give their opinion if there was different meetings takes place between school leaders and the community about school material resource management. Accordingly, 75 (63%) teachers and 14(48%) officials expressed their disagreement in responding that school leaders and the community have not carried out different meetings together; in the same way, as indicated in the table, the mean score 2.4 teachers expressed that the activity was in the negative condition. However, the mean score 2.6 for Officials and the average mean value 2.5 indicates that the activity was in the moderate circumstances. Thus it can be concluded that the different meetings that took place between school leaders and the community was rare which cannot bring an expected change. Additionally, the calculated chi-square value under $\alpha= 0.05$ level of significance ($\chi^2 = 2.841$) showed that there is no statistically significant difference between the two group of respondents in rating the items. Moreover, an interview of a woreda education officer and PTA chairperson confirmed this. Both said that:

Most of the school leaders called the community particularly when serious students' misbehaviortherewasnoregularoccursmeeting on differentb issues. The school leaders usually meet with teachers on regular base for any activities with regard to school but not with PTA members.

So, it can be said that school leaders haven' t welcomedmembersat different PTA times for different school activities. This means that they haven' t thesebodiesinvitetoschoolactivities as their part takers. In the same manner, the document analysis showed that there were a few minutes that made between school principals and PTA members about School Grant budget plan in each of eight sample schools because school grant budget cannot be implemented without PTA members and there were a few decisions made by school principals in collaboration with PTA members particularly about teachers and students disciplinary problems; however, there were no deep discussion made about School material resource management among school leaders, PTA and WETB members.

In item 4 of table 4, the respondents were asked to give their suggestion on the power of school leaders to manage school material resources. In this regard, 77 (64.8%) teachers and 13(79%) officials responded with disagreement that the power of school leaders to manage school material resources was weak. The mean value for teachers (2.4), for officials (2.5) and the average mean value 2.4 showed that the power of school leaders to manage school material resources was weak. Additionally, the calculated chi-square value under $\alpha= 0.05$ level of significance ($\chi^2 = 2.811$) showed that there is no statistically significant difference between the two group of respondents in rating the items. This means that a considerable proportion of both teachers and officials equally agreed on the idea. So, this result revealed that the power of school leaders to manage school material resources was weak.

In item 5 of table 4, the respondents were asked to give their idea whether the current status of school leaders in managing school material resources was weak or strong. In this regard, 88 (74%) teachers and 18(62%) officials responded that the current status of school leaders is not encouraging in initiating community participation to manage school material resources. In the same way, as indicated in the table, all the mean score: 2.3 for teachers, 2.3 for officials and the average mean value is 2.3 indicate that the current status of school leaders is not functional in the school in initiating community participation to manage school material resources. Additionally, the calculated chi-square value under $\alpha= 0.05$ level of significance ($\chi^2 = 3.168$) showed that there is no statistically significant difference between the two group of respondents in rating the items. This means that a considerable proportion of both teachers and officials equally agreed on that it was not encouraging. Generally, it can be said that the school leaders were not found in good direction in initiating the community to participate in setting annual plan, in putting regular schedule and in sharing responsibility to manage material resources actively.

4.4. Barriers with Community Participation in School Material ResourceManagement

This part deals with the items related to the teacher and the school lead whether they want the coming of community to school; and also the feeling of communitymembers in coming to school. Moreover, it deals with the time allotted for school activities byPTA and the strong relationships between the school and community. Each item is analyzed based on the data obtained through questionnaires responded by teachers and officials (principals, vice principals and supervisors); and further backed by the data obtained from interview and document analysis. Therefore, the eight items are interpreted as indicated in the table below.

Table5: Barriers to Community Participation in School Material Resource Management

No	Items	Responde	Rating scales										Mean	X ²
			S.disagre		Disagre		Undeci		Agree		S.Agr e			
			F	%	F	%	F	%	F	%	F	%		
1	Teachers do not want the PTA members come to school	Te	-	-	6	5	91	77	10	8	12	10	3.2	32.11
		Offi	2	7	4	14	9	31	11	38	3	10	3.3	
		Tot	2	1.4	10	7	10	68	21	14	15	10	3.2	
2	The school leaders do not want PTA members come to school	Te	6	5	3	2.5	70	47	30	25	10	8	3.3	12.57
		Offi	4	14	3	10	8	28	9	31	8	28	3.2	
		Tot	10	7	6	4	78	53	39	26	18	12	3.2	
3	PTA members do not feel good about coming to school	Te	10	8	8	7	80	67	12	10	9	8	3	15.841
		Offi	2	7	4	14	10	8	8	28	5	17	3.2	
		Tot	12	8	12	8	90	61	2	14	14	9	3.1	
4	PTA members feel that they do not have enough skill to participate in managing school material resources	Te	4	3	2	1.7	82	69	11	9	20	25	3.3	27.226
		Offi	1	3.4	3	10	8	28	12	41	5	17	3.5	
		Tot	5	3.4	5	3.4	90	61	23	16	25	17	3.4	
5	PTA members do not have enough time	Te	5	4	5	4	86	72	9	8	14		3.2	24.29
		Offi	3	10	3	10	8	28	10	8	5	17	3.3	
		Tot	8	5	8	5	94	64	19	13	19	13	3.2	
6	PTA members feel that managing school material resource regularly is a burden	Te	2	1.7	4	3.	31	26	69	58	13	11	3.7	10.380
		Offi	1	3.4	2	7	10	8	8	28	8	27	3.7	
		Tot	3	2	6	4	41	28	77	52	21	14	3.7	
7	There is weak relation between the school and PTA members	Te	2	1.7	9	8	16	13	83	70	9	8	3.7	12.11
		Offi	-	-	2	7	11	38	12	41	4	14	3.6	
		Tot	2	1.4	11	7	27	18	95	64	13	9	3.6	
8	PTA members feel that participating in school material resource management is not their role and responsibility.	Te	2	1.7	8	7	10	8	92	77	7	6	3.8	14.835
		Offi	2	6.8	2	7	8	28	13	45	4	1.	3.5	
		Tot	4	2.7	10	7	18	12	10	70	11	7.	3.6	

Key: Key: Te=teacher, Offi= officials, Tot= total, X²=Chi-square, Table value = 5.991 at 0.05 alpha

Officials: (Principals, vice principals and supervisor

In item 1 of table 5, the respondents were asked to answer whether teachers blocked the coming of community members to the schools or not. The table shows those 91 (76.5%) teachers want to keep silent or didn't % officials want responded to de that teachers do not the coming of community members to school. To sum it up, as one can see from the table, the mean score is 3.2 for teachers, 3.3 for officials and the average mean value 3.2 all are above average and shows that teachers do not have good feeling for the coming of PTA members to the school although it was not a direct resistance. Additionally, the calculated chi-square value under $\alpha=0.05$ level of significance ($\chi^2 = 32.118$) which is greater than the critical value of $\chi^2=5.991$, showed that there is statistically significant difference between the two group of respondents in rating the items. This means that more teacher decide because it was not encouraging. So, this result reveals that teachers do not have good feeling for the coming of PTA members to the school.

In item 2 of table 5, the respondents were asked to answer whether school leaders blocked the coming of community members to the schools. The table shows those 70 (47.3%) teachers kept themselves under undecided; 9 (31 %) officials responded that the school leaders became blockage to the community. Generally, as one can see from the table, the mean score is 3.3 for teachers, 3.2 for Officials and the average mean value 3.2 all are above average mean and show that the school leaders do not have good feeling for the coming of community members to the school although it was not a direct resistance like teachers. Additionally, the calculated chi-square value under $\alpha=0.05$ level of significance ($\chi^2 = 12.578$) showed that there is statistically significant difference between the two group of respondents in rating the items. This means that more teachers kept themselves under undecided because they thought not to blame the officials and some officials agreed with the idea.

In item 3 of table 5, the respondents were asked to answer whether PTA members did not feel good in coming to school. The table shows those 80 (67.2%) teachers and, 10 (8.4 %) officials did not want to decide about the idea that states community members do not feel good in coming to school. In the same way, as one can see from the table, the mean score 3 for teachers, 3.2 for officials and the average mean value 3.1 show that PTA members did not feel good in coming to school. Additionally, the calculated chi-square value under $\alpha=0.05$ level of significance ($\chi^2 = 15.841$) showed that there is statistically significant difference between the two group of respondents in rating the items. This means that more teachers agreed that PTA members didn't feel good So, this result in revealed coming that PTA members to the s didn't feel good in coming to school.

In item 4 of table 5, the respondents were asked to answer whether PTA members felt that they did not have enough skill to participate in managing school material resources. The table shows those 82 (68.9%) teachers did not want to decide about the idea that states PTA members feel that they do not have enough skill to participate in managing school material resources; however, 12 (41 %) officials agreed with the idea that PTA members feel that they do not have enough skill to participate in managing school material resources. In line with this, the mean score 3.3 for teachers, 3.5 for officials and the average mean value 3.4 proves that PTA members did not have full confidence on their skill to participate in managing school material resources. Additionally, the calculated chi-square value under $\alpha= 0.05$ level of significance ($\chi^2 = 27.226$) showed that there is statistically significant difference between the two group of respondents in rating the items. This means that compared to officials, more teachers agreed that PTA members felt they did not have enough skill to participate in managing school material.

In item 5 of table 5, the respondents were asked to answer whether PTA members did not have enough time to participate in school material resource management. The table shows those 86 (72.3%) teachers did not want to decide whether they have enough time or not to participate in school material resource management. However, 10(34 %) officials responded that PTA members did not have enough time to participate in school material resource management. In the same way, the mean score is 3.2 for teachers, 3.3 for officials and the average mean value is 3.2 indicates that PTA members did not participate in school material resource management as expected due to shortage of time. Additionally, the calculated chi-square value under $\alpha= 0.05$ level of significance ($\chi^2 = 24.293$) showed that there is statistically significant difference between the two group of respondents in rating the items. This means that more teachers agreed that PTA members did not have enough time to participate in school material resource management.

The interview made with a head of woreda education office supported the idea. He stated that:

PTA members are interested to participate in school material resource management but they usually are not present at the time they are needed. They consider school activities as secondary one that is why they usually procrastinate any meetings belong to the school searching for free time.

As can be seen from the interview, PTA members haven't participated resource management as expected. Even though they love their school, they considered that only school principals and woreda education officers are responsible for school. In the same manner, PTA document analysis shows that there were very few minutes have been recorded in 6 (75%) schools; which can be said that almost there was no collaboration between the schools and PTA members in managing school material resources.

In item 6 of table 5, the respondents were asked to answer whether PTA members feel burden in managing school material resources regularly. The table shows those 69 (57.9%) teachers agreed with the idea that PTA members feel burden in managing school material resources regularly. However, 10 (34 %) officials did not want to decide whether PTA members feel burden or not in managing school material resources regularly. In the same way, the mean score 3.7 for teachers, 3.7 for officials and the average mean value 3.7 provide information that PTA members feel burden in managing school material resources regularly. Additionally, the calculated chi-square value under $\alpha = 0.05$ level of significance ($\chi^2 = 10.380$) showed that there is statistically significant difference between the two group of respondents in rating the items. This means that more teachers agreed that there PTA members feel burden in managing school material resources regularly. So, this result reveals that have enough PTA time members to participate in school material resource management.

In item 7 of table 5, the respondents were asked to answer if there was weak relationship between the school and community. The table shows those 83 (69.7%) teachers and 12 (41%) officials responded that there was weak relationship between the school and community was. Similarly, the mean score 3.7 for teachers, 3.6 for officials and the average mean value 3.6 proves that there was weak relationship between the school and PTA members.

Additionally, the calculated chi-square value under $\alpha = 0.05$ level of significance ($\chi^2 = 12.118$) showed that there is statistically significant difference between the two group of respondents in

rating the items. This means that more teachers agreed that there was weak relationship between the school and community. So, this result reveals that there was weak relationship between the school and PTA members.

In item 8 of table 5, the respondents were asked to answer whether PTA members feel that participating in school material resource management is not their role and responsibility. The table shows those 92 (77.3%) teachers and 13 (45 %) officials agreed with the idea that states PTA members feel as if participating in school material resource management is not their role and responsibility. Additionally, the mean score 3.8 for teachers, 3.5 for officials and the average mean value 3.6 approves that PTA members felt as if participating in school material resource management was not their role and responsibility. Additionally, the calculated chi-square value under $\alpha= 0.05$ level of significance ($\chi^2 = 14.835$) showed that there is statistically significant difference between the two group of respondents in rating the items. This means that more teachers agreed that participating in school material resource management is not their role and responsibility

4.5. Strategies to Improve Community Participation in School Material Resource Management

This part deals with the items related to community capacity building program to educate the community about their role in managing school material resources; and also about a need of designing strategy to sustain community participation; and finally about school leaders to initiate involving PTA members in the school material resource management. Therefore, the three items are interpreted as indicated in the table below.

Table6: Strategies to Improve Community Participation in School Material Resource Management

No Items	Rating scales												Sig.
	S.disa F	Disagre F %	Undeci F %	Agree F %	S.Agre F %								
1	There is a need to initiate Community capacity building program to educate PTA members about their role in managing school material resources.												15.934 0.000
	Te	-	-	4	3.4	15	13	90	76	10	8	3.9	
	offi	-	-	1	3.4	13	45	13	45	2	7	3.5	
2	There is a need for designing Strategy to sustain community participation in school resource Management												11.923 0.000
	Te	-	-	1	0.8	19	16	87	73	12	10	3.9	
	offi	-	-	3	10.3	8	28	14	48	4	14	3.7	
3	There is a need for school leaders to initiate involving PTA members in the school material resource management												22.947 0.000
	Te	-	-	-	-	17	14	92	77	10	8	3.9	
	offi	-	-	4	13.8	9	31	14	48	2	7	3.5	
Tot												6	

Key: Key: Key: Te=teacher, Offi= officials, Tot= total, X²=Chi-square, Table value = 5.991 at 0.05 alpha
Officials: (Principals, vice principals and supervisors)

In item 1 of table 6, the respondents were asked to answer if there is a need to initiate community capacity building program to educate PTA members about their role in managing school material resources. The table shows those 90 (75.6%) teachers and 13 (45%) Officials responded that there is a need to initiate community capacity building program to educate PTA members about their role in managing school material resources. Similarly, the mean score 3.9 for teachers, 3.5 for officials and the average mean value 3.6 indicate that there is a need to initiate community capacity building program to educate PTA members about their role in managing school material resources. Additionally, the calculated chi-square value under $\alpha= 0.05$ level of significance ($x^2 = 15.934$) showed that there is statistically significant difference between the two group of respondents in rating the items. This means that more teachers agreed that there was a need to

Initiate community capacity building program to educate PTA members about their role in managing school material resources. So, this result reveals that there was a need of intensive capacity building trainings for PTA members to equip them with skills of school martial resource management. This idea was supported by interview made with a PTA chairman. He said:

The school directors call us whenever there is critical problem particularly about conflict among students or among teachers which couldn't be resolved by the school. The disobeyed the school otherwise we didn't can do for schools. And we need training on what and how to do something...

This shows that there was no training conducted to build capacity of PTA members to take part in school material resource management recently. As a result, the benefits that should have gained from the community, that is sustaining community participation for meaningful results, (Pratt and Earle, 2004) are likely that they are ignored in the sample schools. Moreover, the PTA documents of the schools showed that there was no minute recorded and no report was done with regard to training given for PTA members which ensured that there were no trainings given for them.

In item 2 of table 6, the respondents were asked to answer if there is a need to design strategy to sustain community participation in school material resource management. The table shows those 87 (73%) teachers and 14 (48.3 %) officials responded that there is a need to design strategy to sustain community participation in school material resource management was high at school level. Additionally, the mean score 3.9 for teachers, 3.7 for Officials and the average mean value 3.8 ensure that there is a need to design strategy to sustain community participation in school material resource management. Additionally, the calculated chi-square value under $\alpha = 0.05$ level of significance ($\chi^2 = 11.923$) showed that there is statistically significant difference between the two group of respondents in rating the items. This means that more teachers agreed the idea than officials. So, this result reveals that there was a high need to design strategy to sustain community participation in school material resource.

Lastly, in item 3 of table 6, the respondents were asked to answer if there is a need that school leaders to initiate involving PTA members in the school material resource management. The table shows those 92 (77.3%) teachers and 14 (48.3 %) officials responded that there is a need that school leaders to initiate involving PTA members in the school material resource management. Similarly, as one can see from the table, the mean score 3.9 for teachers, 3.5 for Officials and the average mean value 3.7 indicate that there is a high need for school leaders to initiate involving PTA members in the school material resource management. Additionally, the calculated chi-square value under $\alpha= 0.05$ level of significance ($\chi^2 = 22.947$) which is greater than the critical value of $\chi^2=5.991$, showed that there is statistically significant difference between the two group of respondents in rating the items. This means that more teachers agreed that there was there is a need that school leaders to initiate involving PTA members in the school material resource management.

CHAPTER FIVE

5. SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Chapter five deals with the summary of the major findings, the conclusions drawn based on the findings and recommendations that are assumed to improve the community participation in material resource management in government Primary schools of Dawo woreda, South-West Shoa Zone, Oromia regional state.

5.1. Summary of the Findings

The Objective of this study was to assess community participation in school material resource management in government primary schools of Dawo woreda, South-West Showa zone, Oromia regional state. The research focused on four basic questions: the awareness of PTA members about community participation; the current status of school leaders in initiating community participation; the barriers of community participation, and the measures to be taken to improve community participation in these schools. In order to answer these four basic research questions, the researcher employed descriptive survey method. To meet the objectives of the study the basic research questions were addressed under here:

1. What is the current status of school leaders in initiating community participation in school Material resource management in Primary schools of Dawo Woreda?
2. To what extent does community participate in school material resource management in Primary schools of Dawo Worada?
3. What are the barriers of community participation in school material resource management in Primary schools of Dawo Woreda?
4. How can community improve participation in school material resource management in Primary schools of Dawo Woreda?

Out of twenty-eight government primary schools in Dawo woreda, South-West Shoa Zone, and eight primary schools were sampled using simple random sampling technique. The subjects of the study were 30.7% (n=121) of teachers, 100% (n=8) PTA chairpersons, 100% (n=8) principals, 100% (n=13) vice principals, 100% (n=8) supervisors and 100% (n=8) woreda education office heads from sa selection was carried out using simple random, purposive and available sampling techniques. Simple random sampling technique was used for teachers; purposive sampling technique was used for PTA chair persons, while, available sampling techniques were used for principals, vice-

principals, supervisors and woreda education office heads in each of sampled secondary schools. The information obtained from questionnaire was then analyzed by means of statistical tools including frequency, Percentage, mean value and independent sample t-test.

According to the result of the study, the summary of the key findings are categorized under the following thematic areas: characteristics of respondents, the awareness of members about community participation, and the current status of school leaders in initiating community participation, the barriers of community participation and measures to improve community participation.

Firstly, regarding the characteristics of respondents, out of 148 respondents, 127(85.8%) were males whereas 21(14.2%) were females. Concerning principals and primary school supervisors all respondents were males. The data shows that in the sampled schools, the number of female teachers is less than those of male teachers, and also concerning positions all officials in the schools are males. The majority 61(51%) of teachers, 4(50%) of principals, 7(54%) of vice principals and 4(50%) of supervisors were belong to the age group of 31-40. Thus, this implies that all the respondents were experienced and matured enough to express ideas related to the study consistently and with better understanding, and 114(95.8%) of teachers, 8(100%) principals, 13(100%) vice principals and 4(50%) of supervisors were first degree holder. Therefore, their judgments and opinions can be taken as more acceptable to the study.

Secondly, based on the analysis and interpretation of the data, the study found out that community participation in material resource management in the zone was found to be weak and passive. The result of the findings showed that PTA members didn't look of the school materials in detail; they didn't leaders separately; they had no regular meetings with the collaboration with the schools; fair distribution of material and they resources among the users. The study also found out that there was a need of intensive capacity building training that can create awareness for PTA members to identify their roles and responsibilities in managing school material resources. Concerning the two groups of respondents, the result of chi-square showed that there is statistically significant difference between the two groups of respondents in rating the items. This means compared to 60 % of teachers, only 43 % of officials agreed with the idea that states PTA members participated in school material resource management passively.

Thirdly, with regard to school leaders the study found out that about 60% of the respondents agreed that there was lack of school commitment leadersto involve PTA

and WETB members in major school activities such as planning, organizing, coordinating and controlling and there was the fact that the school had lack of fixed time schedule to deal with the PTA and WETB members. The study also showed that there were no regularly planned meetings, conferences or discussions between the school and PTA members. Principals that were the first body in this issue and expected to play pivotal role as external catalyst in creating smooth communication among stakeholders were not committed in carrying out their priority tasks; and generally the current status of school leaders in initiating community participation is not in a good direction. Concerning the two groups of respondents, the calculated chi-square showed that there is no statistically significant difference between the two groups of respondents in rating the items. This means that a considerable proportion of both teachers and officials equally agreed on the idea.

Fourthly, the study distinguished the presence of barriers to community participation in material resource management. They were unwillingness of school leaders and teachers towards the coming of PTA members to school and in turn unwillingness of PTA members to come to school; PTA members shortage of time, lack of skills, PTA members wrong perceptions in thinking that managing schools was burden and not their roles and responsibilities and lack of good communication between the school and PTA members. Concerning the two groups of respondents, the result of chi-square showed that there is statistically significant difference between the two groups of respondents in rating the items.

Lastly, the study found out that there was a need of intensive capacity building programs to educate school leaders, teachers, and PTA and WETB members so as to create awareness about the importance of community participation in school resource management. Concerning the two groups of respondents, the calculated chi-square showed that there is statistically significant difference between the two groups of respondents in rating the items. For instance, 75% of teachers agreed on the necessity of the capacity building programs and only about 47% officials agreed with it; others about 35 % officials keep themselves under undecided.

5.2. Conclusions

Relying on the findings presented above, the researcher drew the following conclusions: PTA members' participations in school material resource management was passive. This was mainly due to: lack of awareness, lack of knowledge, lack of time and reluctance and lack of commitment that caused them to participate in school material resource management passively. Unwillingness of the PTA members to participate in identification of the need assessment, planning, implementation and evaluation process was due to lack of adequate awareness about their roles and responsibilities as they were not equipped with basic skills and knowledge to be initiated and act on managing school material resources. Moreover, absence of initiating PTA members by the school to participate in school material resource management drew them back not to participate in the management. Particularly, creating awareness for PTA members on first hand is expected from school leaders themselves that was why some of them kept themselves under undecided in rating the items and only few of them agreed that awareness creation is needed for PTA members to enhance their participation in material resource management.

On the other hand, the major problem for the weakness of community participation in school material resource management was that the school leaders particularly principals didn't make members participate PTA in major school activities such as in planning, organizing, coordinating and controlling due to lack of commitment to bring about a difference; the school had lack of fixed-time schedule to deal with the PTA members to participate in school material resource management; as a result, there was no smooth communication between the school and PTA members, because there were no regularly planned meetings, conferences or discussions between them. Moreover, inadequate knowledge of school principals in planning strategic and operation plan with regard to school material resource management through community participation. So, it can be said that the concerned principals who were expected to play pivotal role as external catalyst in creating smooth communication among stakeholders were not committed in carrying out these priority tasks. The result of chi-square showed that a considerable proportion of both teachers and officials equally agreed on the idea because it was obviously known

and indisputable that the school leaders had shortcomings in initiating PTA members to participate in material resource management in the schools.

In addition to these, the communication gap between the school staff and PTA members has resulted in misperception, particularly, to PTA members as if their involvement was not important for school material resource management. This gap could have been filled by WETB, in connection with the school principals, by providing necessary capacity building trainings for school staff and PTA members to avoid misperception in general, because this could have led to coordination and integration of stake-holders and would have enabled them to implement the policy, as it was designed to achieve the intended educational goals.

Finally, it can be said that there was no adequate designed strategy to build capacity of the School leaders, teachers and PTA members to sustain community participation in school material resource management. So, the provision of training, addressing clear roles and responsibilities, and creating conducive environment were some of the major possible measures suggested to be taken to improve community participation in school material resource management in the Government primary schools of the zone. However, the success of these measures strongly relies on the collaborative effort of all educational personnel beginning from school to regional level. The result of chi-square showed that, compared to officials, more teachers agreed that intensive capacity building is needed to bring about a change because designing strategy to build the capacity of stake holders can start from the school itself.

5.3. Recommendations

Depending on the findings and conclusion of the study, the researcher has recommended the following points: The result of the study revealed that community participation in school material resource management is weak in government primary schools of Dawo woreda South-West Showa zone, Oromia regional state. Therefore, the school leaders in connection with WETB should spread communication channels to use public meetings, discussions with school staff and PTA members to create awareness; and to inform the about their roles and responsibilities and also to enhance awareness about the importance of community participation in school material resources management.

The result of the study showed that community participation in school material resource management was weak because the school principals were not committed to initiate the issue. School principals are policy implementers and are expected to share responsibility with PTA members to improve the management of school material resources like setting goals, planning, organizing, directing and controlling from buying to disposal, because it is impossible to arrive at desired educational goals without community participation particularly in this dynamic world.

Regarding communication, the study showed there was lack of co-ordination and smooth communication between stake-holders. Therefore, WETB and school leaders should strengthen cooperation with educational actors and other social service sectors, NGOs, individuals and institutions to build the capacity of school leaders and PTA members through training. WETB should find ways and means with school leaders, PTA, SIC, & other stakeholders to enhance community participation in school material resource management in discussion through meetings, conferences and workshops.

Lastly, the study showed that the other main reason for the passiveness of community participation in school material resource management was lack of intensive capacity building programs. There for, REB should give intensive capacity building trainings and forums for school leaders and WETB on how to create awareness for PTA members and teachers about the importance of community participation in school material resource management, because capacity building training and forums will avoid misconceptions and pave the way for experience sharing among different model secondary schools and individuals. REB should establish supporting, monitoring, controlling and evaluating strategies and structures from region to school level that enhance community participation in school material resource management.

REFERENCES

- Agbo,S.A. 2007. *Addressing school–community relations in cross-cultural context; A Collaborative action to Bridge the Gap Between nations and the school*. Journal of research in rural education, Vol.22, No.8.
- Aref, A. 2010. *Community Participation for Educational Planning and Development*. Department of Social Science, Tehran Education, Ministry of Education, Iran. *Journal of Nature and Science*. 8(9): 1- 4
- Aynalem Aboye. 2012. *The Status of Community Participation in the Management of School Improvement*. The case of urbanes governmental primary school, in Dre-Daw administration. M.Sc thesis, Haremaya Universit, Haremaya, Ethiopia.
- Begin, Donald, R. (2005). *The School and Community Relations (7thed)*.London; Alyn and Bacon.
- Best, J.W.Andkahn, J.W.(2003).Research in education .New Delhi: prentice hall PLC.
- Botha, R.J. (2004). Excellence in Leadership; Demands on the Professional School Principal. *South African Journal of Education*, 24(3), 15-17
- Bray, Mark (1996).Decentralization of Education: Community Financing .Washington, Dc: World Bank.
- Creswell, J.W (2009).Research design; Qualitative, Quantities and mixed methods Approaches(3r ed.).Los Angeles: SAGPublication, Inc
- Cummings, w and Dall, F.(1995). ImplementingQuality Primary Education for Countries in Transition. New York; Jordan press foundation Al Rai
- Dodd, Ann Westcott and Konnzal, Jean. L.(2002). How communities build stronger schools, Stories, strategies
- Best, J.W..Andkahn, J.W.2003.*Research in education* .New Delhi: prentice hall PLC.
- Bekuretsion Haileselasse.2005.*Utilization of material Resources in implementing the curriculum in skill development centers of Tigray*. A.A.U: MA Thesis.
- Bray, 1996. *Decentralization of Education: Community Financing*. Washington, Dc:world Bank.
- Carino, I. and Vaison, M. 1991. *The parent learning support system: A school and community collaboration for raising pupil achievement*. In Sheldon Shaffer (ed),

- collaborating foreducation change.The role of teachers, parents, and the community in school improvement. Paris. International Institute for Educational Planning.
- Chuna walla, S. A. and Patel, D. R. 2006.*Productions and Operations Management*. (6th ed). Himalaya Publishing House. Mumbai.
- Creswell ,J,W.2009.*Research design ; Qualitative ,Quantities and mixed methods Approaches*(3r ed.).Los Angeles :SAG Publication , Inc
- Cummings, w and Dall, F.1995. *Implementing Quality Primary Education for Countries in transition*. New York; Jordan press foundation Al Rai
- Dayaram 2011. *School Management Committees and the Right to Education Act 200, Resource Material for SMC Training*. American, India Foundation.
- De Grauwe, Anton and Candy Lugaz with Tiberius Barasa, Pulane J. Lefoka, MathaboTsepa, Samuel Kayabwe and Wilson Asiimwe 2011*Strengthening Local Actors: The Path to Decentralizing Education, Kenya, Uganda, and Lesotho*, Paris: International Institute for Educational Planning and UNESCO
- Epstein, J. L. 2001. *School, Family and Community Partnerships: Preparing Educatorsand Improving Schools*. Boulder, CO: West view Press.
- Yemane Taro. (1967): *Statistics. An introduction Analysis*, Second edition, New Work, Harper and row, USA.

APPENDIX A

ADDIS ABABA UNIVERSITY

Department of Educational Planning and Management

Questionnaire Filled by Teachers, School Principals, Vice Principals and School Supervisors

I would like to express my appreciation in advance for taking your time to fill the following questionnaire. I am a student of Addis Ababa University, school of Graduate Studies, enrolled for master of School Leadership. Currently I am conducting a research entitled "Community Participation in fulfilling school Material Resource and Management in Dawo Woreda, South-West Showa Zone, Oromia Regional State". The quality of my own the information gained from you. Therefore, you are kindly requested to fill in the questionnaire with the necessary information. Your honest responses will have great benefit to the research work. You do not need to write your name. Your identity will be kept strictly confidential.

Section 1. personal information

Please fill in with your personal information. (Put a tick mark [X] in the box provided)

Qualification: Diploma BA degree/ Be.d MA /Msc other

Sex: Male Female

Position or job in school: Teacher School Principal Vice Principal
School Supervisor

Section 2: General direction

All questionnaires focus on community participation in school material resource storage, utilization and maintenance/repairing. School material resources include such material as computers, desks, chairs, blackboard, textbooks, reference books, laboratory equipment and chemicals, sport materials, teaching materials and pedagogical materials (models such as glob).

5= strongly agree 4= agree 3= undecided 2= disagree 1. Strongly disagree

Tick [X] marks in one of the boxes to show your degree of agreement with the items in the boxes.

No	How community participates in school material resource management.	5	4	3	2	1
1	The school has set annual plan on how to manage school material resources					
2	The school has regular schedule to meet with PTA members on the issues related to community participation in school material resource management					
3	There are different (formal and informal meetings) take place between school leaders and the community about school material resource management					
4	School leaders are empowered to manage school material resources					
5	Generally, I would say that the current status of school leaders in initiating community participation is a good direction					
II. The extent of community participation in school material resource management						
6	PTA members know each of the school material resource in detail					
7	The PTA members controlled the school material resources from buying until its disposal with careful inventory					
8	PTA members regularly check if the school material resources are stored in adequate spaces					
9	PTA members regularly check the expiry date of the school material resources					
10	The PTA members protected the school facilities form danger, theft and corruption with continuous follow-up					
11	The PTA members know their roles and the roles of the school leaders separately					
12	The PTA members have had regular meetings with the school concerning school material resource issues					
13	The school and PTA members usually work in collaboration in carrying out inventory control of the school material resources					
14	PTA ensures the accountability for misused school material resources					
15	PTA ensures fair distribution of school material resources among the users					

16	The school and PTA members usually work in collaboration in accomplishing and monitoring maintenance of the school material resources					
17	PTA find alternatives to accomplish it with cost minimized to save the school budget					
Barriers with community participation in school material resource management						
18	Teachers do not want the coming of PTA members to school					
19	The school leaders do not want the coming of PTA members to school					
20	PTA members do not feel good in coming to school					
21	PTA members feel that they do not have enough skill to participate in managing school material resources					
22	PTA members do not have enough time					
23	PTA members feel that managing school material resource regularly is burden					
24	There is weak relation between the school and PTA members					
25	PTA members feel that participating in school material resource management is not their role and responsibility.					
Strategies to improve community participation in school material resource management.						
26	There is a need to initiate community capacity building program to educate the community about their role in managing school material resources.					
27	There is a need of designing strategy to sustain community participation in school material resource management					
28	There is a need that school leaders to initiate involving PTA members in the school resource management					

Section 3: Open ended question, give your short and brief answers for the following.

- To what extent does the school encourage community participation in the annual planning, particularly, on how to manage school material resources? _____

- What other contributions are made by the PTA members in managing school material resources? Please mention them

- What do you think are the challenges that the school face while working with PTA members? Please mention

4. What do you think are the challenges that the PTA members face while working with the school? Please mention

5. What do you think are the strategies should be used to strengthen school community relation in managing school material resources?

6. What means of communication should be used to attract PTA members to school material resource management? Please mention

Appendix- B

Interview questions for Woreda education office heads and for PTA chair persons

My name is Bekele Kacha and let me explain why I am here. I am a student of Addis Ababa University, School of Graduate studies, enrolled for Master of school leadership. Currently I am conducting a research entitled “Community Participation in School Material Resource Management in Primary Schools of Dawo Woreda of South-West Showa Zone, Oromia regional s to give me some information about your school. Of course the questions I will ask you are those related to my research title, and the quality of my work depends on the information gained from you. Your identity will be kept confidential. I will not write down your name so that no one will know what you will tell me. The idea will be used only for the research purpose.

1. How often does the school meet with PTA members to discuss about the usage of school material resources?
 - a. Formally
 - b. Informally
3. What other contributions are made by the PTA members in managing school material resources? Please mention them
4. What do you think are the challenges that you face while working with school leaders in school material resource management? Please mention
5. What do you think are the challenges that PTA members face while working with the school regarding school material resource management? Please mention
6. What do you think are the structure and strategies should be used to strengthen school community relation in managing school material resources?
7. What actions do you think are taken by the school leaders to enhance community participation to take part in school material resource management?
 - a. The effort of school leaders in encouraging PTA members to continually control the usage of school material resources from buying up to its disposal
 - b. The status of PTA in decision making about how to use school material resources.

- c. Ways of developing communication between school and PTA members using different means
8. Is there any community mobilization workshop/seminar/training given for teachers, School principals, supervisors and PTA members to create awareness on how to manage school material resources?
- a. If so, how often?
 - b. What are the indicators?
 - c. When was it?
 - d. How important was it?

Appendix C

Document Analysis Check List

Time of observation: Date _____ Name of school _____

Name of observer _____

Type of the document observed

I. Minutes of meetings having the agendas of school material resource management		Yes	No	Frequency of minute making
1	Parent teacher association (PTA)			
2	School management committee (SMC)			
3	Woreda Education Board (WED)			
4	Other meetings _____ _____			

II. Letter communications made regarding community participation in school material resource usage		Yes	No	Frequency of minute making
1	School to parent teacher association (PTA)			
2	School to woreda education board (WED)			
3	Parent teacher association to school			
4	Woreda education board to school			
4	School to others _____ _____			
5	Others to school _____ _____			

III. Plans prepared regarding school material resources by PTA		Yes	No	Remarks
1	The school and PTA jointly has			

IV. Reports are made regarding decisions made on school material resource usage		Yes	No	
1	School to parent teacher association (PTA)			
2	School to woreda education board (WED)			
3	School to others _____ _____			

