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Abstract

Introduction: One of the most commonly used regional anesthetic techniques in pediatric surgeries is the caudal epidural block. Its main disadvantage remains the short duration of action. Hence, different additives have been used. The use of additives drug caudal anesthesia have increased in last decade by 58%. Uses of opioids additive has decreased from 36% to 18% due to high incidence of complications but other studies show that opioids prolong analgesia with minimum side effect; morphine is one of the opioid used additives used.

Objective: To compare the effectiveness of combination of bupivacaine with morphine and bupivacaine alone injected into the caudal space for relief of postoperative pain in pediatric undergoing below umbilical Surgery at Minilik II hospital from December – April 2017/2018.

Methods: The total of 60 pediatric patients from age 2-12 were participated in the study as standards of ASA I and II who underwent below umbilical surgery. After induction of general anesthesia, 30 children in Group-B received 1 ml/kg of 0.25% bupivacaine alone and the other 30 in Group-BM received 1 ml/kg of 0.25% bupivacaine with 20mic/kg morphine caudally. Demographic data, hemodynamic data before and after caudal, ASA status, duration of general anesthesia, duration of surgery, episode of post-operative nausea and vomiting (PONV) and pain score were recorded. Analgesic duration was defined as time from caudal injection to first rescue analgesic administration. Mann-Whitney test to compare median values and chi-square test and Fisher exact test for nominal data were used. A value “P<0.05” was considered as statistically significant.

Results: The median analgesic duration in Group-B was 360(495-338) minutes and 910(1200-705) minutes in Group-BM (p<0.001). The PONV incidence was observed in Group-B 6 (20%) and Group- BM 8 (26.7%) and respiratory depression 1 (3.3%) and itching within Group-BM 2(6.7%) but not statistically significant difference across the groups (p>0.05).

Conclusion and Recommendation: In routine clinical practice, addition of morphine to caudally administered bupivacaine prolongs analgesic duration without significant difference in postoperative complications.
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CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION

1.1 BACKGROUND
Pain is a highly unpleasant sensory and emotional experience further complicated in children by their inability to express and react (1). Various pharmacological agents and analgesic delivery systems have been employed to avoid under-treatment of pain in children (2). Caudal Epidural Block (CEB), which is technically easier in pediatrics than adults, can be used as a modality of treating pain due to below umbilical surgeries (3, 4).

Caudal block was first described in 1933 by Campbell, and it has become one of the most popular regional analgesic techniques today (5). Under general anesthesia caudal epidural block can be performed in the prone or lateral decubitus position. The first step is to identify the sacral hiatus and the caudal area is cleaned with an iodine or alcohol containing solution, which is allowed to dry. Drawing an equilateral triangle by connecting the two posterior superior iliac spines (PSIS) usually locates the sacral hiatus at the apex and using sterile technique, the caudal epidural space is entered using a short 23 or 22-gauge needle(6).

General anesthesia will often be required in addition to caudal block. This is due to the fact that pediatric patients do not generally tolerate surgery under regional anesthesia alone (7). The advantages of combined caudal block with general anesthesia is to reduce intraoperative inhalational or opioid agent consumption but also to obtain efficient postoperative analgesia for pediatric patients undergoing inguinal hernia, circumcision, hypospadias repair, orchidopexy, lower abdominal surgeries, superficial operations such as skin grafting, perineal procedures, and lower limb surgery(7).

The main disadvantage of caudal anesthesia is the relatively short duration of action, even with the use of long-acting local anesthetics such as bupivacaine (8). There is a concern regarding the use of caudal catheters to administer repeated doses or infusions of local anesthetic due to the risk of infection. To improve the duration of action and quality of analgesia of a single shot caudal block with bupivacaine, various additives have been used, for example, opioids, neostigmine, and clonidine, with their associated side-effects (9). Although addition of caudal opioids has been shown to provide sustained analgesia, their use can result in troublesome side-effects; including the potentially serious risk of respiratory depression (10).
1.2 Statement of the Problem

Pain management as one of the important components of balanced anesthesia is usually undertreated or neglected by many anesthetic providers in pediatrics patients. This is due to the belief that children do not feel pain or they do not respond to pain to the same degree as adults (11, 12). This was proven otherwise by different studies confirming that children do feel pain and they respond to pain in the same degree as adult (13). In Ethiopia intraoperative and postoperative analgesia is commonly achieved by the use of suppository paracetamol (PCM).

Alternative analgesia or analgesic technique is required in order to provide adequate analgesia with less side effects and complications in pediatrics patients. Peripheral and central blocks can be used as alternative method of providing perioperative analgesia.

Caudal anesthesia is widely used technique for providing regional anesthesia and analgesia in children undergoing below umbilical and lower limb surgeries and prolong its effect wide range of additives have been used in combination with local anesthetics to promote analgesia (14).

The use of additives drug caudal anesthesia have increased in last decade by 58% specially with ketamine 38% and clonidine 42%, whereas uses of opioids additive has decreased from 36% to 18% due to high incidence of complications(15). But various studies have reported the use of caudal morphine along with local anesthetic prolonged duration and the intensity of analgesia provided by it, still remains unparalleled provides analgesia with minimal side effects (16, 17, and 18).

The studies done in different countries are more depend on their own sociodemographic and different setups. So it’s better to conduct research in our country than adopted the result of other studies that more different from our country in sociodemographic and setup.

Up to my search there were no studies conducted in regards to the interested title in Ethiopia so far. Thus the present study was aimed to analyze the effectiveness of two groups of drugs by comparing the duration of postoperative analgesic efficacy and side-effects of single-dose among pediatric patients undergoing below umbilical surgery.

Undertaking such studies in resource limited area can improve pain treatment and patient comfort by counteracting the effect of high patients to nurse ratio. On the other hand, it will open the gate to bring quality education and used as a baseline for further research activities.
1.3 SIGNIFICANT OF THE STUDY

Pain management is very important during and after surgery for both children and adults. Perioperative pain management by the use of opioids in Ethiopia is feared by many anesthetic providers because of the associated side effect. Because of this, children have been denied medications during surgical interventions so as to avoid the side effect.

Caudal block in children is one of the most popular regional blocks which use different additives in order to increase duration of postoperative analgesia for children undergoing below umbilical surgeries. In Ethiopia, even if caudal anesthesia is one of the routinely done procedures, there is a few published or documented data available about the use of additive for caudal block in children. The introduction or adaptation of additive caudal block will become a good alternative analgesic technique in children undergoing below umbilical surgeries.

The study will give some information on the analgesic efficacy and side effects of a combination of morphine with bupivacaine with bupivacaine alone in children undergoing lower umbilical procedures.
CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW

Pain management is a very important component of anesthetic management in pediatric and general population. The provision of adequate analgesia is necessary during and after any surgery and it is all more important in children who have long been under-medicated with narcotics for pain relief (11). When compared to adult children do feel pain at the same intensity as adults, but their response to pain is by crying, increase in heart rate and change in facial expression it’s important that they receive the same care to alleviate pain (19).

Pediatrics response to analgesics varies according to age, site of surgery and additives given together with the local anesthetic agent, side effects are often unpredictable (20). There have been a number of recent advances that have allowed improvements in pain management in children. Regional anesthetic techniques have become one of the routine interventions in children and infants (21).

The most frequently used regional anesthetic technique are caudal and lumbar epidural blocks, ilioinguinal, iliohypogastric and penile nerve blocks, among those technique the most preferred pediatrics regional anesthetic technique is epidural block with a caudal approach. Indications for caudal block include anesthesia and analgesia below the umbilicus in pediatrics (22).

Caudal analgesia in combination with general anesthesia may affect the circulatory hemodynamic due to sympatholytic vasodilating effects where by lower body vascular resistance is reduced. A success or failure of caudal block can be determined by the hemodynamic changes following skin incision. Maintained or reduced value as compared to values before incision indicates a successful blocks. During surgery, adequate analgesia was defined by hemodynamic stability, as indicated by the absence of an increase in HR or SBP of more than 20% compared with baseline values obtained just before the surgical incision (23).

**Pharmacologic Considerations for Caudal Epidural analgesia in Children**

Drugs which are commonly used for caudal block includes, Lidocaine 1%, Bupivacaine 0.25%, levobupivacine, and ropivacaine. Bupivacaine is an amide local anesthetic with a slow onset and long duration of action (24, 25). Bupivacaine has a longer duration of action than lidocaine, is used more often and remains a commonly administered local anesthetic for single-dose caudal blocks. levobupivacaine and ropivacaine are also used because they have fewer side effects as compared to bupivacaine (26).
Research conducted at Muhimbili University of Health and Allied Sciences in Dar Es Salaam Tanzania, in 2013 by Angela Paul Muhozya show that duration of analgesia bupivacaine alone (8.2±2.1) hr(27).

Adjuvant to local anesthetics doses are:-
Additives to local anesthetic agents are very important because they improve the quality of the block intraoperatively, decrease the degree of motor block, increase the duration of action of the block, Lower the concentration of local anesthetics and have less Cardiovascular side effects compared to local anesthetics (28). All adjuvant should be preservative free. There are different types of additives that can be used opioid and non opioid. Non opioids adjuvant includes ketamine, neostigminne and midazolam.

Epidural opioids when given together with local anesthetic agent enhance and prolong analgesia. Opioids and non opioids adjutants may be combined in order to increase the analgesic effect and reduce side effects. luz studied the effect of combined clonidine 1mg/kg, morphine 30mcg/kg with local anesthetic effect which showed better results compared to when only one adjuvant is added(29).

The study conducted in Hebrew University, Jerusalem, Israel show that caudal anesthesia supplemented with low dose morphine provides a longer duration of analgesia without significant side-effects in children undergoing renal surgery (30).

Research done by Robert D etal 138 case report show that caudal morphine in a large group of relatively young pediatric patients demonstrates its usefulness as a means of providing effective postoperative pain control. At the same time the clinician should be aware of the increased incidence of respiratory depression in patients under 1 yr of age, especially those patients that have received supplemental intravenous narcotics or have been dosed with caudal morphine via 'high' caudal catheters (31).

The study done in India in 2004 by MK Arora etal. show that caudal analgesic using a combination of low dose morphine(0.03mg/kg) with bupivacaine offer a prolong duration of analgesia after pediatric urogenital, general surgical and orthopedic procedure lasting up to 26 hours without any significant increase in side effect (32).
Comparative study done in Egypt showed that the addition of dexmedetomidine to local anesthetic bupivacaine for single-dose caudal analgesia produced longer postoperative analgesia with fewer side effects in children undergoing lower abdominal surgery when compared with morphine, with better emergence from anesthesia and hemodynamic stability (33).
2.2 HYPOTHESIS
Ho1: - There is no statistically significant difference in duration analgesia post-operative in bupivacaine group alone and morphine added group
HA1: - There is statistically significant difference in duration analgesia post-operative in bupivacaine group alone and morphine added group
H02: - There is no statistically significant difference in pain severity between two groups
HA2: - There is statistically significant difference in pain severity between two groups.
HO3: - There is no association between complications between bupivacaine group alone and morphine added group
HA3: - There is association between complications between bupivacaine group alone and morphine added group
2.3 Conceptual frame work

Figure 1 Conceptual frame work
CHAPTER THREE: OBJECTIVES

3.1 General objective
To compare the effectiveness of combination of bupivacaine with morphine and bupivacaine alone injected into the caudal space for relief of postoperative pain in children undergoing below umbilical Surgery at Minilik II Hospital Addis Ababa Ethiopia from December, 1 –April, 30 2017/2018.

3.2 Specific objectives
I). To compare the incidence of postoperative pain severity relief provided by adding morphine to bupivacaine with bupivacaine alone into caudal block

II). To compare postoperative first analgesia request provided by adding morphine to bupivacaine with bupivacaine alone into caudal block

III). To compare the side effects of adding morphine to bupivacaine with bupivacaine alone
CHAPTER FOUR: - METHODOLOGY

4.1 Study Area and period
The study was conducted at Minilik II Hospital is one of Addis Ababa university affiliated referral hospital in Addis Ababa Ethiopia. Minilik II hospital provides high quality comprehensive health services to patients from all over the region for around 1.6 million people per year. The hospital offer services with different specialty such as; medicine, general surgery, chest, eye, urology, trauma and orthopedic and pediatric. Also has in patients and outpatient department. The hospital has three major functional operation theaters and one recovery room. A study was conducted from December 1, 2017 to April 30, 2018.

4.2 Study design
Institutional based prospective cohort study design was employed for 24 hour.

4.3 Population

4.3.1 Source Population
All pediatric patients who admitted for below umbilical procedures at Minilik II hospital

4.3.2 Study Population
All pediatric patients schedule for below umbilical surgery under general anesthesia at Minilik II hospital during study period that fulfills the inclusion criteria.

4.4 Sampling Technique and Sample Size Determination

4.4.1 Sample size determination
Two independent sample size formula based on the mean difference of time to first analgesia request among two groups were used to calculate sample size for each group. Having no previous study done in the study area, result adopted from literature has been used to calculate sample size based on the outcome variable. The study done in African countries show that (9.9 ± 1.2) hr in Egyptian (33) and (8.20±2.1) hr in Tanzania (27).
When; \( n_1 \)- a group of bupivacaine alone,

\( n_2 \)- a group of bupivacaine with morphine,

\[ \Delta = \mu_1 - \mu_2 \]

\[ Z = % \text{ equal to } 1.96, \]

\[ 1 - \beta = \text{the power of function } 90\% = 1.28, \]

\( \sigma_1 \)= Standard Deviation of bupivacaine alone,

\( \sigma_2 \)= Standard Deviation of morphine with bupivacaine,

\( \mu_1 \)= Mean of bupivacaine alone and

\( \mu_2 \)= Mean of morphine with bupivacaine.

\[ n = \left( 1.96 + 1.28 \right) \frac{\left( 2.4^2 + 1.2^2 \right)}{\left( 9.9 - 8.2 \right)^2} = 27 \]

\( n_1 = n_2 = 27 \)

Using 1:1 ratio between groups and when 10 % of contingency is included for dropouts, total sample of 60 patients or 30 patients per group was required, based on the outcome variables and to get the largest sample size, a total of 60 pediatric patients was involve in the study.

**4.4.2 Sampling technique:** Systematic random sampling technique was used to select study participants on daily operation schedule list. Depending upon average values of the previous surgery per 5 months on the log book, 112 patients were operated on pediatric who take caudal anesthesia for elective below umbilical surgery. The sampling interval \( k \) was determined using the formula: \( k = N/n \); where, \( n \) = total sample size, \( N \) = population per 5 months. Therefore, the sampling interval was 2 and the first study participant (random start) was selected using lottery method after which data collector recruit 1 patient for every 2 consecutive patients undergoes below umbilical surgery grouping based on whether they received caudal bupivacaine alone or bupivacaine with morphine till the required sample size is saturated.
Figure 2. Enrollment chart for elective pediatrics patients scheduled at Minilik II hospital Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, from December – April 2017/18
4.5 Eligibility criteria

4.5.1 Inclusion criteria
- Patients who received caudal anesthesia
- ASA status I and II
- Pediatric age between 2 and 12

4.5.2 Exclusion criteria
- Day case surgery
- Failed caudal anesthesia
- Patients take additional anti-pain perioperative
- Additive drugs used during caudal anesthesia other than morphine

Patients who fulfilled the inclusion criteria were divided into two groups based on exposure status:

**Group (BM):** 30 patients received 0.25% bupivacaine 1ml/kg + 0.02 mg/kg morphine added - exposed group

**Group (BA):** 30 patients received 0.25% bupivacaine 1ml/kg alone – unexposed group since bupivacaine is commonly used in caudal block.

4.6 Study variables

4.6.1 Dependent variables
- Duration of analgesia
- Incidence of complications (postoperative nausea and vomiting, respiratory depression)

4.6.2 Independent variables
- Age
- Sex
- Weight
- BMI
- ASA status
- Vital sign
- Duration of anesthesia
- Duration of surgery
- Bupivacaine alone
- Morphine with bupivacaine

4.7 Operational Definition

ASA physical status classification

The modern classification system consists of six categories, as described below.

ASA 1  Normal healthy patient
ASA 2  Patients with mild systemic disease
ASA 3  Patients with severe systemic disease
ASA 4  Patients with severe systemic disease that is a constant threat to life
ASA 5  Moribund patients who are not expected to survive without the operation
ASA 6  A declared brain-dead patient who organs are being removed for donor purposes

Adequate analgesia: - defined as less than 20% or no increment of blood pressure, heart rate or respiratory rate in response to surgical manipulation

Day case: - Patient discharge after surgery to their home on a day of surgery

Duration of surgery: - Time interval in minutes between skin incision and skin closure

Duration of analgesia: - time interval in minutes between caudal injections to first rescue analgesic administration

Failed caudal anesthesia: - increment more than 20% of blood pressure, heart rate or respiratory rate in response to surgical manipulation

FLACC scale - After recovery from anesthesia FLACC scale used to assess postoperative pain in PACU and wards.
**FLACC Scale**

Behavioral observation pain score rating scale

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Categories</th>
<th>Scoring</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Face</td>
<td>No particular expression or smile; disinterested</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legs</td>
<td>No position or relaxed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity</td>
<td>Lying quietly, normal position, moves easily</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cry</td>
<td>No crying (awake or asleep)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consolability</td>
<td>Content, relaxed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Each of the five categories (F)Face; (L)Legs; (A)Activity; (C)Cry; (C)Consolability is scored from 0-2, which results in a total score between 0 and 10.

In patients who are asleep: observe for 5 minutes or longer. Observe body and legs uncovered. If possible, reposition the patient. Touch the body and assess for tenseness and tone.

In patients who are awake: observe for 1 to 5 minutes or longer. Observe legs and body uncovered. Reposition patient or observe activity. Assess body for tenseness and tone. Initiate consoling interventions if needed.

Each category is scored on the 0–2 scale, which results in a total score of 0–10.

- 0: relaxed and comfortable
• 1–3: mild discomfort
• 4–6: moderate pain
• 7–10: severe discomfort or pain or both (41).

Post-operative nausea and vomiting: when a patients experience at least one episode of either nausea or vomiting within 24 hours.

Respiratory depression- when $\text{Spo}_2 < 93\%$ and respond to supplementation of oxygen without requirement of naloxane or respirator rate is <10.

Time to first analgesia request: time from caudal injection to first rescue analgesic administration

4.8 Data Collection Tools

The checklist was prepared in English which includes socio demographic data, perioperative data, severity of pain, first analgesic request time, analgesic consumption and adverse effects. Pediatric patients scheduled for elective below umbilical surgery that fulfills inclusion criteria and parents’ consent to take part in the study was instructed on how data collector uses to assess pain in the morning of operation day. Induction of anesthesia was done with Propofol or ketamine and maintenance with halothane or isoflurane. Baseline vital sign (blood pressure and heart rate) were measured and documented.

Patient monitoring included ECG, noninvasive blood pressure, pulse oximeter, precordial stethoscope and time to start induction was documented. IV line was secured for drugs and fluids administration, dextrose10% NaCl 0.9% (4-2-1regime) was given as maintenance during the operation. Atropine 0.02mg/kg was given for children based on anesthetist wish. Caudal block was done with bupivacaine alone 1ml/kg or bupivacaine (1ml/kg) with morphine (0.02mg/kg). Caudal was done left lateral position and performed by different hospital anesthetists and Msc anesthesia students. Pre incision parameters (blood pressure and heart rate) were measured 10 minutes after the block just before skin incision. Post incision parameters (BP&HR) were measured 5 minutes after skin incision. Inhalational concentration was reduced from 1.5% to 1% 10 minutes after incision, then hemodynamic parameters measured and documented. Ability to reduce inhalational concentration without increase in parameters by $>20$ points (blood pressure by $>20$mmhg, heart rate by $>20$ beats/minute) caudal block was considered successful. If the parameters increased by more than 20 points caudal block was considered unsuccessful and
those children were given iv analgesia for intraoperative and postoperative analgesia. Postoperative after recovery from anesthesia FLACC scale was used to assess postoperative pain in the recovery room and wards on age of patient a FLACC score of 4 or greater indicated pain those children were given rescue analgesia. Patient was observed by trained nurses & pain score was documented on arrival to recovery room, 1st hour, 2nd hour, 4th hour, 6th hour, 12th hour and 24th hour after end of surgery. First analgesia request as well as total analgesia consumption and adverse effects such as post-operative nausea and vomiting, itching, motor block and respiratory depression was documented when it is reported within 24 hours.

Intraoperative data was collected by two anesthetists while postoperative data was collected by four nurses after getting training and the principal investigator supervise the completeness of the data weekly.

4.9 Data Analysis and Interpretation

Data was entered into Epi-info 7 and exported to SPSS Version 20 for analysis. Shapiro Wilk test were used to test for distributions of data while homogeneity of variance were assessed using Levene’s test for equality of variance. Median (Interquartile range) for asymmetric numeric variables. Comparison of numerical variables between study groups were done using Manny Whitney test for asymmetric variable. Chi square and fisher’s exact test used to compare categorical variables. Frequency and percentage were used to describe categorical variable and statistical difference between groups were tested using Chi square. A p value <0.05 with power of 95% considered statistically significant.

4.10 Data Quality Control

Collected data were checked for completeness, accuracy and clarity. Incomplete data were not entered a data base prepared on Epi-info. Data clean up and cross-checking was done before analysis on SPSS. Supervision was done during data collection by principal investigator and M.Sc. anesthesia students.
4.11 Ethical consideration

Ethical clearance was obtained from the university ethical clearance committee before the start of the study. The importance of the study was explained & verbal informed consent was obtained from each participant relative by the data collector. Confidentiality was maintained at all levels of the study by avoiding identifiers and using codes to identify patients. Participant’s involvement in the study was on voluntary bases, participants who were not willing to participate in the study & those who wish to quit their participation at any stage was informed to do so without any restriction.

4.12 Dissemination plan

The results of the study will be presented to the department of anesthesia as part of M.Sc. in advanced clinical anesthesia thesis, communicated through annual students and staff research conference, annual National conference of Ethiopian Anesthetists Association (EAA) and will be sent to journals for publishing.
CHAPTER FIVE: RESULT

5.1 Socio-demographic characteristics

Sixty pediatric patients were analyzed based on whether they received caudal block with additive of morphine or bupivacaine alone after induction of anesthesia for analgesia supplementation for intra operative and postoperative period.

Majority of study participants were male about 81.67% (Table 1).

Table 1: Demographic and peri operative characteristics of pediatric patients at Minilik II hospital December – April 2017/18 Addis Ababa, Ethiopia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Bupivacine alone group (n=30)</th>
<th>Bupivacine with Morphine group (n=30)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age #</td>
<td>6(3-8)</td>
<td>4(3-6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (Male/Female)</td>
<td>23/7</td>
<td>26/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight #</td>
<td>17(14-23)</td>
<td>15(12-18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI #</td>
<td>22(19-24)</td>
<td>23(19-25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASA (I/II)</td>
<td>26/4</td>
<td>27/3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of surgery</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urogenital, n (%)</td>
<td>23(76.7%)</td>
<td>22(73.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GI surgery, n (%)</td>
<td>7(23%)</td>
<td>8(26.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of surgery #</td>
<td>48(35-55)</td>
<td>50(50-82)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of anesthesia #</td>
<td>52(40-60)</td>
<td>53(50-82)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hint # = median( interquartilerange), n (%)=number(proportion)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.2 Preoperative, before skin incision and after skin incision Vital Sign between two groups

As regards the vital sign preoperative, before skin incision and after skin incision the recorded mean arterial pressure and pulse rate showed no statistically significant difference between the two groups p value >0.05 (Table 2).

Table 2 vital sign pre operative, before skin incision and after skin incision at Minilik II hospital Addis Ababa, Ethiopia from December – April 2017/18

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vital sign</th>
<th>Bupivacaine alone group (n=30)</th>
<th>Bupivacaine with Morphine group (n=30)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baseline pulse rate (beat/minute)</td>
<td>127(115-130)</td>
<td>117(102-130)</td>
<td>0.157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline mean arterial pressure (mmhg)</td>
<td>65(61-68)</td>
<td>69(63-72)</td>
<td>0.290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Before skin incision vital sign</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulse rate (beat/minute)</td>
<td>120(114-123)</td>
<td>122(110-130)</td>
<td>0.727</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean arterial pressure (mmhg)</td>
<td>75(72-79)</td>
<td>75(70-78)</td>
<td>0.542</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After skin incision vital sign</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulse rate (beat/minute)</td>
<td>112(107-119)</td>
<td>106(100-117)</td>
<td>0.057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean arterial (mmhg)</td>
<td>70(66-73)</td>
<td>67(60-72)</td>
<td>0.065</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Hint # = median( interquartilerange)

5.3 Comparison of Postoperative Pain Severity by FLACC between two groups

Manny Whitney test showed that there is statistically significant difference in pain score severity by FLACC between two groups (4, 6, 12, and 24) hour after the surgery with p value of <0.001 (Table 3).
Table 3. Comparison of postoperative pain severity using FLACC at Minilik II hospital Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, December – April 2017/18

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pain score postoperatively #</th>
<th>Bupivacaine alone group (n=30)</th>
<th>Bupivacaine with Morphine (n=30)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Immediately postoperatively</td>
<td>0(0-0)</td>
<td>0(0-0)</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st hour postoperatively</td>
<td>0(0-0)</td>
<td>0(0-0)</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd hour postoperatively</td>
<td>1(1-2)</td>
<td>1(1-2)</td>
<td>0.277</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4th hour postoperatively</td>
<td>3(2-3)</td>
<td>1(1-2)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6th hour postoperatively</td>
<td>5(3-6)</td>
<td>1(1-2)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12th hour postoperatively</td>
<td>5(5-5)</td>
<td>3(2-4)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24th hour postoperatively</td>
<td>5(4-5)</td>
<td>4(4-4)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Hint # = median( interquartilerange), * statistically significant

5.4 Comparison of Time to First Analgesia Request between Groups

As regards the total duration of postoperative analgesia as indicated by the FLACC score when it becomes 4 or greater, there was a statistically difference between group BA 360(495-337.50) and group BM 910(1200-705) with p value <0.001 (Table 4).

Table 4. Comparison of time to first analgesia request in minutes between two groups at Minilik II hospital Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, December – April 2017/18

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time to first analgesia request median (IQR) in minutes</th>
<th>Bupivacaine group (n=30)</th>
<th>Bupivacaine with Morphine group (n=30)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>360(338-495)</td>
<td>910 (705-1200)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Hint –IQR InterQuartile Range, * statistically significant
5.5 Incidence of postoperative complications two between groups

The incidence of complication in recovery room and ward after recovery was as follow: six patients of group BA had nausea and vomiting, whereas in group BM eight patients had nausea and vomiting, one patient respiratory depression and two patients had itching. The other complications like hypotension and bradycardia not recorded but no statistically significant difference between two groups with p value >0.05 (Table 5).

Table5. Postoperative complication within 24hour within two groups at Minilik II hospital Addis Ababa Ethiopia, from December – April 2017/18.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Postoperative complications within 24hours n (%)</th>
<th>Bupivacaine alone group (n=30)</th>
<th>Bupivacaine with morphine group (n=30)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nausea and vomiting, n (%)</td>
<td>6(20%)</td>
<td>8(26.3%)</td>
<td>0.754</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory depression, n (%)</td>
<td>0(0%)</td>
<td>1(3.3%)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Itching, n (%)</td>
<td>0(0%)</td>
<td>2(6.7%)</td>
<td>0.492</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

n (%) - number (proportion)
CHAPTER SIX

6.1 DISCUSSION

Pain after surgery is common and the relief of acute pain has been inadequate in most places. The situation is worse in children, since they have long been denied or under medicated for acute pain (11). Caudal block provides excellent analgesia during surgery as well as during postoperative period following infra umbilical surgeries in children (23). Caudal epidural analgesia is a widely used technique for providing regional anesthesia and analgesia in children undergoing below umbilical surgeries and to prolong its effect wide range of additives have been used in combination with local anesthetics (35).

Various adjuncts are added morphine was one of the first additives used in 1981. Since then more studies have been conducted to prove its efficacy. Morphine placed in epidural space may undergo into epidural fat, systemic circulation, or may diffuse across the dura into cerebrospinal fluid (CSF). It produces analgesia by acting on mu, kappa and delta receptors (42).

In the present study, we found that the addition of low dose morphine 0.02 mg/ kg body weight to 0.25% bupivacaine (1 ml/kg body weight) significantly improved the quality as well as the duration of analgesia after below umbilical surgical procedure in children aged between 2-12 years. The done by fernades et al showed that duration of pain relief was significantly longer using morphine with bupivacaine range from 610-2195 min and 245-515min with bupivacaine alone group with statistically difference with p value >0.001.

Our study also shows comparable result with study done in India by Mahesh K. et al in 2005 on comparison of caudal bupivacaine and morphine for relief of post operative pain in children showed that the duration of analgesia was range from 5-12 hours in bupivacaine group and range 12-26 hours in morphine mixed with bupivacaine group. In our study result was duration analgesia range from 240- 660 minutes in unexposed group and range 420 – 1560 minutes in exposed group post operative. The likely explanation for the similarity between two studies is the block was given with similar dose.

The study done by Fernades et al. showed that the duration of analgesia post operative was range from 610-2195 min in exposed group and 245-515min in unexposed group. Contrary our finding is due to the dose of morphine they used (0.05mg/kg).
Our finding shows the overall incidence of nausea and vomiting in both group after surgery in the first 24 hours was 21.7%. This proportion is less high in the exposed group with incidence of 26.7% compared to 20% in the unexposed group. Though there is a proportion difference, there is no statistical difference between two groups with regard to decreasing the incidence of nausea and vomiting in the first 24 hours (p > 0.05). These shows compare figures to study done by Mahesh k. Arora et al postoperative nausea vomiting over all 18.75% in bupivacaine alone group was 15% and 22.50% in morphine group but on respiratory depression in across the groups but in our study we found that 3.3% in morphine group. Other study showed that PONV increase with the parallel with dose of morphine M CESUR et al in 2007 done on the effect of reduction of the caudal morphine doses on quality of postoperative analgesia in dose of 0.01mg/kg,0.015mg/kg and 0.03mg/kg the incidence of nausea and vomiting was 13.3 %, 20 % and 46.7% respectively but no respiratory depression across the groups.

In our study effectiveness was evaluated based on FLACC for Pain scores. The FLACC has been tested, and its validity and interpreter reliability were established in 1997 (40). Von Baeyer et al. (41) found that the FLACC has moderate concurrent validity with the Faces Pain Scale and good validity with Visual Analog Scale for Pain. They noted that the FLACC is highly recommended for use in studies since it has excellent evidence of reliability, validity and responsiveness.

In our study demonstrate the median (interquartile) pain score by FLACC at (4, 6, 12 and 24) hour after surgery higher in unexposed group than exposed group with P value <0.001. The result of this study is in line with study done by Fernades et al (2012), FLACC Pain score at (6,12 and 24) after surgery higher in group Bupivacaine Alone than group Bupivacaine Morphine with P value <0.05.
6.2 Limitation of the Study
The main limitations of this study were:
Inabilities to assess urinary retention as many of our study patients were catheterized. Lack of randomization and control. Variability in the performance of the caudal block since different anesthetists were involved. Most of our studies we used for comparison were randomized control trial.

6.3 Strength of the study
The strength of our study participants were homogenous between the two groups.
CHAPTER SEVEN: CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

7.1 CONCLUSION

We conclude that morphine added to bupivacaine in caudal block increased the duration of postoperative analgesia. Provided stable hemodynamic and minimal side effects in pediatric patients. Besides, using morphine with bupivacaine decreases the number of doses of rescue analgesic required in the first postoperative day without significant complications. So it can be termed as a suitable additive for caudal block in children.

7.2 Recommendation

We recommend that a use of additive morphine for caudal block for pediatric patient is an effective for post-operative analgesia.

We also recommend additional randomized controlled study.
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Annex

Annex I: - INFORMED CONSENT
My name is……………. I am anesthesia provider. We are doing study on caudal block (CEB). I am going to give you information and invite your child to be part of this research. You do not have to decide today whether or not your child will participate in the research. Before you decide, you can talk to anyone you feel comfortable with about the research.

The study procedure: I the principal investigator (Zewdie) will give you the explanation of the study. The anesthetist who will administer the anesthetic may also collect and record data on my behalf. He/she will also be in position of explaining further procedures for you. Your identity will be protected with utmost confidentiality during the study and only the initials and your medical registration number will be used to identify you.

I________________________ have understood the explanation of this study.

I have allowed my child to participate in this study and understand that whether or not my child participates, the care he/she receive will not be compromised in any way whatsoever.

I also understand that I may choose to withdraw my child from the study at any stage without any penalty.

Signature: ____________________

Patient (Parent or guardian): ____________________

Anesthetist ____________________

Date ___________________
Annex II- Questionnaire

Addis Ababa University, College of Health Sciences Department of Anesthesia
Prospective Cohort Study, Comparison of Postoperative analgesic Effectiveness of Bupivacaine with Morphine to bupivacaine alone Caudally In Pediatric Patients undergoing below umbilical Surgery at Minilik II Hospital, Addis Ababa Ethiopia

Section I: Socio Demographic Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Card number:</th>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Bed no.</th>
<th>Code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Serial no.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101</td>
<td>AGE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102</td>
<td>WEIGHT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103</td>
<td>BMI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104</td>
<td>ASA I/II</td>
<td>1. ASA I 2. ASA II</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105</td>
<td>SEX M/F</td>
<td>1. Male 2. Female</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Section II: Data during pre and intraoperative period

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. NO.</th>
<th>Question</th>
<th>RESPONSE</th>
<th>CODE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>201</td>
<td>Diagnosis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>202</td>
<td>Procedure:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>203</td>
<td>Base line heart rate</td>
<td>..........BPM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>204</td>
<td>Baseline blood pressure</td>
<td>....../......(.......mmhg)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>205</td>
<td>Mean arterial pressure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>206</td>
<td>Baseline spo2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>207</td>
<td>Dose the patient take Analgesic</td>
<td>1. yes 2.no</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>208</td>
<td>If yes for the above question, what was the drug? specify the dose?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>209</td>
<td>Premedication drugs (mg)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>210</td>
<td>Induction agents</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>211</td>
<td>Induction time</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>212</td>
<td>Time of caudal block</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>213</td>
<td>Time taken to perform block</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>214</td>
<td>Drug used for caudal block?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>215</td>
<td>Maintenance anesthesia used</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>216</td>
<td>Vital sign before skin incision?</td>
<td>BP:....../......(......)mmhg PR:.........bpm Spo2.........</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>217</td>
<td>Vital sign after skin incision?</td>
<td>BP:....../......(......)mmhg PR:.........bpm Spo2.........</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Section III Post-Operative Observation
Vital sign at recovery room

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vital sign</th>
<th>At Arrival</th>
<th>20min</th>
<th>40min</th>
<th>60min</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPO2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLACC/NRS SCORE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analgesia given</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(dose in mg)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SECTION IV POST OPERATIVE OBSERVATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.no</th>
<th>Follow up time</th>
<th>0 hr</th>
<th>1st hr post op</th>
<th>2nd hr post op</th>
<th>4th hr post op</th>
<th>6th hr post op</th>
<th>12th hr post op</th>
<th>24th hr post op</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>301</td>
<td>FLACC/NRS SCORE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>302</td>
<td>Analgesia given</td>
<td>Type and mg</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

303) First Analgesic required time _______ PM/AM (time per 24hr/date/month/E.C)
304) Duration till first analgesic request _______
305) Total and type of analgesic consumption within 24 hours after the patient arrived in recovery/ward_________________

SECTION V Complications observed
401) Does the patient have nausea within the first 24 hours of surgery? 1. YES 2. NO
402) Does the patient develop vomiting within first 24 hours of surgery? 1. YES 2. NO
403) Does the patient develop leg weakness within first 24 hours of surgery? 1. YES 2. NO
404) Does the patient develop respiratory depression within first 24 hours of surgery? 1. YES
2. NO

405) Does the patient develop urine retention within first 24 hours of surgery? 1. YES 2. NO

406) If “Yes” for above question does the patient catheterized? 1 YES 2 NO

407) Does the patient develop any other complication within 24 hours of surgery? 1 YES 2 NO

408) If ‘YES ‘for above question then please list down the complication………………..